

Right of Reply to Greg Carlyon's report Plan change to Industrial land in Wings Line.

Submitter Robert Gunn,

Firstly Thank you for allowing me to respond to Mr Carlyon's revised document. I have read his report and see that there have been quite a lot of changes which to my unprofessional eye seem to contradict themselves. As I have not been able to get clear understanding on his response I will list my immediate thoughts below and hopefully they are relevant.

- 1- Reduction in rezoning to 40ha. Whilst my preference is for the complete 217ha's I accept his recommendation of 40ha as long as there is the ability for expansion later if all requirements are met.
- 2- I do not understand the difference between Restricted Discretionary Activity and Restricted Activity. His report seems to jump between the two. I am unclear at what he trying to achieve.
- 3- I do not see why it must be a mandatory requirement for a Public notification of any industrial development within the industrial zone. In my opinion any activity should only be notified to the affected parties in the immediate vicinity.
- 4- Heavy traffic movements onto and from Wings line I agree should not be allowed but do not see why light traffic such as employees cars cannot use this entrance.

In conclusion I am in support of any decision that is going to allow for economic growth within our community and create future job opportunities for our young people. If this land change is not allowed to proceed in an appropriate manner the future and viability of our community in my opinion is at risk.

Thank You

Regards Robert Gunn

Submitter.