Statement of Service Report for 2022/23

Environmental and Regulatory

OUR LEVEL OF SERVICE AND HOW WE MEASURE PROGRESS

| Achie | ved | Not achieved | Not ye | et achieved | Not measu | ured Not yet measured | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| PERFORMANCE | MEASURE | OUTCOME | 2022/23 TARGET | DECEMBER 22 RESULT | 2021/22 RESULT | NARRATIVE | | | |
| Councils intended level of service is to: Provide a legally compliant service | | | | | | | | | |
| Timeliness of processing building consents and resource consents | Building consents | | 100% processed within statutory timeframes | 99% | 91.52% | 125 out of 126 building consents were processed within timeframes. | | | |
| | Resource consents | | 100% processed within statutory timeframes | 97% | Land use consents: 100% Subdivision consents: 95.4% | Only one resource consent was overdue, out of 28 resource consents issued. This consent was signed within timeframes but due to an administrative error was issued one day late. | | | |
| Animal Control -Timeliness of response (i.e the Request for Service has been acknowledged) and completion (i.e the Request for Service has been signed off by officers). Results will be presented as the median • Priority 1's = Any Dog Attack / Found Dog / Rushing Dog / Wandering Stock • Priority 2's = Animal Welfare Concern / Barking Dog / Property Inspection / General Enquiry / Lost Animal / Microchip Dog / Multi-dog Inspection / Roaming Dog / Animal Control Bylaw Matter | Response to Priority 1 call outs | | 90% responded within 0.5 hours | 93% | 98% responded to in time | | | | |
| | Completion of Priority 1 call outs | | 90% completed within 20 working days | 81% | 90% completed on time | The time taken to resolve an issue is not always within Council's control, with reliance on factors such as the owner's situation or witness availability. Council seeks to meet the expected resolution times wherever possible. | | | |
| | Response to Priority 2 call outs | | 90% responded within 24 hours | 87% responded to in time | 87% responded to in time | Due to the differing urgency of priority 1 and priority 2 callouts, there are times when officers are required to prioritise their time to complete a priority 1 callout. Rostering changes have improved staff availability during office hours, but with only 1 officer on call between two districts, it is not always possible to respond to callouts within the timeframe specified. | | | |
| | Completion of Priority 2 call outs | | 90% completed within 20 working days | 57% completed on time | 72% completed on time | The time taken to resolve an issue is not always within Council's control, with reliance on factors such as the owner's situation or witness availability. Council seeks to meet the expected resolution times wherever possible. | | | |

Statement of Service Report for 2022/23

Environmental and Regulatory

OUR LEVEL OF SERVICE AND HOW WE MEASURE PROGRESS

| Achieved N | | ot achieved Not ye | | et achieved Not measu | | red Not yet measured |
|---|---|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| PERFORMANCE | MEASURE | OUTCOME | 2022/23 TARGET | DECEMBER 22 RESULT | 2021/22 RESULT | NARRATIVE |
| Environmental health Timeliness of response (i.e the site has been attended) and completion (i.e the Request for Service has been signed off by officers). Results will be presented as the median. | Response to Noise Control call outs | | 90% responded to in 1.5 hours | 94% | 98% | |
| | Completion of Noise Control call outs | | 90% completed in 2 hours | 96% | 98% | |
| | Response to Food Premises call outs | • | 90% responded to in 24 hours | 75% | 100% | The 75% reflects that only one call out was not responded to on time, as only 4 call outs were received in this period. Council has had some difficulties in sourcing and maintaining a contracted officer for this role. |
| | Completion of Food Premises call outs | | 90% completed in 72 hours | 100% | 100% | |