



Rangitikei District Council

Telephone: 06 327-0099

Facsimile: 06 327-6970

Rangitikei
UNSPOILT...

Assets/Infrastructure Committee Meeting

Order Paper

Thursday 9 February 2017, 9.30 am

Council Chamber, Rangitikei District Council
46 High Street, Marton

Website: www.rangitikei.govt.nz

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Chair
Cr Dean McManaway

Deputy Chair
Cr Ruth Rainey

Membership

Councillors Richard Aslett, Cath Ash, Nigel Belsham, Jane Dunn, Angus Gordon,
Soraya Peke-Mason, Lynne Sheridan and Dave Wilson
His Worship the Mayor, Andy Watson (ex officio)

Please Note: Items in this agenda may be subject to amendments or withdrawal at the meeting. It is recommended therefore that items not be reported upon until after adoption by the Council. Reporters who do not attend the meeting are requested to seek confirmation of the agenda material or proceedings of the meeting from the Chief Executive prior to any media reports being filed.

Rangitikei District Council

Assets and Infrastructure Committee Meeting

Order Paper – Thursday 9 February 2017 – 9:30 AM



Contents

1	Welcome.....	2	
2	Council Prayer.....	2	
3	Apologies/Leave of Absence.....	2	
4	Confirmation of order of business.....	2	
5	Minutes of Previous Meeting	2	Attachment 1, pages 6-15
6	Chair's Report	2	<i>Tabled Document</i>
7	Strategic Intentions for the 2016-19 Triennium	2	Attachment 2, pages 16-23
8	Activity Management	2	<i>Tabled Document</i>
9	Renewal of Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant – Update	3	<i>Tabled Document</i>
10	Procurement for Upgrade of Taihape Pool – Exemption from open advertising ...	3	Attachment 3, pages 24-26
11	Review of Fees and Charges for 2017/18	3	Attachment 4, pages 27-52
12	Resource Consent Compliance – January 2017	3	
13	Late Items	4	Attachment 5, pages 53-65
14	Future Items for the Agenda	4	
15	Next Meeting	4	
16	Meeting Closed.....	4	

The quorum for the Assets/Infrastructure Committee is 6.

Council's Standing Orders (adopted 3 November 2016) 10.2 provide: The quorum for Council committees and sub-committees is as for Council, i.e. half the number of members if the number of members (including vacancies) is even or a majority if the number of members is odd.

1 Welcome

2 Council Prayer

3 Apologies/Leave of Absence

4 Confirmation of order of business

That, taking into account the explanation provided why the item is not on the meeting agenda and why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting, be dealt with as a late item at this meeting.

5 Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting from 15 September 2016 are attached for the Committee's information.

6 Chair's Report

A report will be tabled at the meeting.

File ref: 3-CT-13-4

Recommendation

That the Chair's Report to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 9 February 2017 be received.

7 Strategic Intentions for the 2016-19 Triennium

At its meeting on 26 January 2017, Council decided that the draft strategic directions documents (compiled following the planning day on 22 November) would be referred to each of the three standing committees to review the identified projects (and points for further discussion). Suggestions from those meetings will be incorporated in a revised document and presented to Council at its meeting on 23 February 2017 for adoption. Following that, each Committee will be asked (at the March meetings) to develop a work plan for the topic areas in its terms of reference.

The document 'Towards 2019...' is attached.

8 Activity Management

The Activity Management Templates for the following asset-based groups of activities will be tabled at the meeting and circulated electronically on 7 February 2017:

- Roading and footpaths
- Water (including rural water supplies)

- Sewage and the treatment and disposal of sewerage
- Stormwater drainage
- Community and leisure assets (including parks)
- Rubbish and recycling

Recommendation

That the activity management templates for December 2016 and January 2017 for Roding, Water (including rural water supplies), Sewerage and the treatment and disposal of sewage, Stormwater drainage, Community and leisure assets, and Rubbish and recycling be received.

9 Renewal of Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant – Update

A report will be tabled at the meeting.

File ref:

Recommendation

That...

10 Procurement for Upgrade of Taihape Pool – Exemption from open advertising

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 3-PY-1-7; 6-RF-2-3

Recommendation

That the memorandum 'Procurement for Upgrade of Taihape Pool – Exemption from open advertising' be received and that the intended use of the direct source process with Filtration & Pumping Commercial Ltd be noted.

11 Review of Fees and Charges for 2017/18

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 1-AP-2-1

Recommendations

- 1 That the memorandum 'Review of Fees and Charges for 2017/18' be received.
- 2 That the following amendments be incorporated into the consolidated draft Schedule of fees and charges to be considered by Council at its meeting on 23 February 2017.....

12 Resource Consent Compliance – January 2017

The reports for December 2016 and January 2017 are attached.

File ref: 5-EX-3-2

Recommendation

That the reports 'Consent compliance – December 2016' and 'Consent Compliance – January 2017' to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 9 February 2017 be received.

13 Late Items

14 Future Items for the Agenda

15 Next Meeting

Thursday 9 March 2017, 9.30am

16 Meeting Closed

Attachment 1

Rangitikei District Council

Assets/Infrastructure Committee Meeting

Minutes – Thursday 15 September 2016 – 9:35 a.m.

Contents

1	Welcome	3
2	Council Prayer	3
3	Apologies/Leave of absence	3
4	Confirmation of order of business	3
5	Confirmation of minutes	3
6	Chair's Report	3
7	Options in considering a third-party provider	4
8	Queries raised at previous meetings	4
9	Activity management	4
10	Wrap up of Wanganui Road Project	6
11	Bridge Management Professional Services	6
12	Mangaweka Bridge Major Maintenance Strategy	6
13	Tutaenui Pre-feasibility study	7
19	Late items	7
14	Taihape Pool – upgrade to filtration and heating	7
15	Glyphosate use on Council parks and reserves	7
16	Parks Upgrade Partnership Application - Mt Stewart Reserve	7
17	Consent compliance – August 2016	8
18	Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant as at 8 September 2016	8
20	Future items for the agenda	9
21	Next meeting	9
22	Meeting closed – 11.45am	9

Present: Cr Dean McManaway
Cr Cath Ash
Cr Nigel Belsham
Cr Angus Gordon
Cr Soraya Peke-Mason
Cr Ruth Rainey
Cr Lynne Sheridan
His Worship the Mayor, Andy Watson

In attendance: Mr Michael Hodder, Community & Regulatory Services Group Manager
Ms Joanna Saywell, Asset Manager - Utilities
Mr John Jones, Asset Manager - Roading
Mr Glenn Young, Utility Projects Manager
Mr Reuben Pokiha, Operations Manager - Roading
Mr Andrew van Bussel, Operations Manager - Utilities
Ms Gaylene Prince, Community & Leisure Assets Team Leader
Mr Athol Sanson, Parks and Reserves Team Leader
Ms Samantha Kett, Governance Administrator

Tabled documents:

Item 6	Chair's Report – Chair's Report
Item 12	Mangaweka Bridge Major Maintenance Strategy – Mangaweka Bridge Major Maintenance Strategy
Item 19	Late Items – Emergency Works Update, June 2016 – Roading Structures

1 Welcome

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2 Council Prayer

Cr Peke-Mason read the Council Prayer.

3 Apologies/Leave of absence

That the apologies for absence from Cr Harris and Cr Jones be received.

Cr Belsham / His Worship the Mayor. Carried

4 Confirmation of order of business

Resolved minute number 16/AIN/098 File Ref 6-RT-5-18

That, taking into account the explanation provided why the item is not on the meeting agenda and why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting, **Emergency Works Update, June 2016 – Roading Structures** be dealt with as a late item at this meeting.

Cr McManaway / His Worship the Mayor. Carried

5 Confirmation of minutes

Resolved minute number 16/AIN/099 File Ref

That the Minutes of the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting as amended held on 11 August 2016 be taken as read and verified as an accurate and correct record of the meeting.

Cr Belsham / His Worship the Mayor. Carried

6 Chair's Report

The Chair spoke to his tabled report. His Worship the Mayor congratulated the Chair and the Committee on a successful triennium.

Resolved minute number 16/AIN/100 File Ref 3-CT-13-4

That the Chair's Report to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 15 September 2016 be received.

Cr McManaway / Cr Rainey. Carried

7 Options in considering a third-party provider

This envisaged presentation from Dwell Housing Trust did not take place as Dwell had decided not to proceed further with its Expression of Interest to manage the Council's community housing.

Ms Prince narrated a short presentation on the options available in considering a third-party provider. These will be included in the evaluative report for Council's consideration at its meeting on 29 September 2016. She noted that the current occupancy was 90%.

The Committee discussed the potential for Council to establish a Trust to manage Council's stock of Community Housing and the current occupancy of Council's community housing.

8 Queries raised at previous meetings

Broadway, Marton – kerb and channelling

Mr Pokiha spoke briefly to the item. There are issues with the design of the kerb and channelling for this project because of the supports for much of the veranda within the footpath (and the need to provide temporary veranda support during the project. This would mean a substantial increase to the cost for this project and it will be postponed until 2017/18.

He informed the Committee that Utilities portion of this project was still envisaged to be completed this financial year. That would cause minimal disruption to foot and vehicular traffic.

Cost-benefit analysis of slip-lining technology

Mr Young informed the Committee that he had been trying to coordinate with the providers of this technology to attend the meeting and present on the positive results that have been achieved using this technology, but had not been able to achieve this. It is envisaged that this will be discussed at a future workshop meeting for Council.

Potential to extend operating hours at Bulls Waste Transfer Station

No further work has been done on a potential trial of extended operating hours at the Bulls Waste Transfer Station.

9 Activity management

The Activity Management templates were each discussed individually:

- Roading and footpaths – Mr Pokiha
- Water (including rural water supplies) – Ms Saywell and Mr Young
- Sewage & the treatment and disposal of sewerage – Ms Saywell and Mr Young
- Stormwater drainage – Ms Saywell and Mr Young
- Community and leisure assets (including parks) – Ms Prince
- Rubbish and recycling - Ms Saywell

The Committee discussed the following points:

Roading

- The Wanganui Road, Marton, project will be completed soon (weather dependent). A report on this project will then be provided to Council.

Cr Peke-Mason left the meeting 10.17 am returned 10.19 am.

- Kaka Road, Taihape has become a larger project than originally thought and there may be a need to defer the Robin Street, Taihape project until the 2017/18 financial year. The Committee then discussed the specifics of the Robin Street project. It was noted that the heavy-vehicle movements over this section of footpath (to park trucks in the vacant gravel pit) had damaged the footpath and that the replacement footpath would be narrower and thicker to better cope with these movements. It was suggested that this project be put to the Taihape Community Board for comment on the necessity of this footpath.

Utilities

- The high turbidity levels found at the Bulls Water Treatment Plant was only found within the plant itself and not in the supply. It is unclear if this is a true result or the cause of faulty data.
- The issues with protozoa non-compliance at the water treatment plants is due to a lack of ability to demonstrate the establishment of correct UV treatment (currently an automated response so there is no way of showing the steps taken to address issues of high protozoa levels). This process is being reworked to address this issue.
- The Committee asked for confirmation that all of its water supplies are chlorinated and that a notice be posted to Ratana residents around ensuring that the water they receive from rain-water tanks is of an acceptable quality.

Cr Ash left the meeting 10.37 am, returned 10.41 am.

- The contractor for the shed for the new Ratana Water Supply Treatment Plant has been placed on a seven-day notice to begin construction or they will be in breach of the contract. This could lead to a formal dispute. The security fence at the site is currently being erected.
- A new Trade-Waste Officer has been appointed under the shared-services agreement with Manawatu District Council; she has started reviewing all of Council's trade-waste agreements.
- There was no definitive answer from Riverlands whether they wished to be included in the Bulls wastewater treatment. The Committee noted that Council would need to make the decision to include Riverlands; doing so would mean withdrawing the current consent application. Riverlands was a significant business in the District.
- The Committee asked for an explanation as to the number of renewal works that need to be done in Paradise Terrace, Taihape. This is relatively new infrastructure.
- The Committee asked that all affected parties be included in discussions around the Harris Street, Marton Stormwater project.

Community & leisure assets

- There was no progress to report on the investigation into the potential to sell Council-owned properties (apart from those in Bulls which were currently being reviewed).
- Julie Oliver had been contacted about the mural for the Marton Library, but had not yet replied.

- The Committee asked that consideration for a cohesive colour scheme for Council-owned buildings be undertaken when painting Council-owned buildings.

Resolved minute number **16/AIN/101** **File Ref**

That the activity management templates for July 2016 for Roothing, Water (including rural water supplies), Sewerage and the treatment and disposal of sewage, Stormwater drainage, Community and leisure assets, and Rubbish and recycling be received.

Cr Rainey / Cr Gordon. Carried

10 Wrap up of Wanganui Road Project

This project is not yet complete. A report will be prepared for a later meeting of Council.

11 Bridge Management Professional Services

Mr Jones spoke briefly to the report and answered questions from the Committee around the scope of the contract.

Resolved minute number **16/AIN/102** **File Ref** **6-RT-1-0**

That the Assets/Infrastructure Committee recommends that Council approves the award of Contract C1035 Bridge Management Professional Services to MWH New Zealand Ltd for a value of *Two Hundred and Fifty-Two Thousand, Six Hundred and Ninety-Nine Dollars and Eighty Cents excluding GST. (\$252,699.80 excl GST).*

Cr Rainey / Cr Sheridan. Carried

12 Mangaweka Bridge Major Maintenance Strategy

Mr Jones spoke to the tabled report.

Repair works on the bridge will begin on 5 October 2016 and will undoubtedly uncover other faults with the bridge that will require repair. A letter has been circulated to all affected parties by the bridge closure outlining alternative routes that can be taken while it is closed.

The Committee suggested that once investigations into the overall condition of the bridge are complete a community meeting be held to convey the findings of the report and next steps. Mr Jones noted that a definitive outcome was some months away.

Resolved minute number **16/AIN/103** **File Ref** **6-RT-1-69**

That the report 'Mangaweka Bridge Major Maintenance Strategy' to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 15 September 2016 be received.

Cr Peke-Mason / Cr Belsham. Carried

13 Tutaenui Pre-feasibility study

Mr Hodder informed the Committee that the Chief Executive is negotiating with potential consultants for this project and a formal report will be brought to Council.

19 Late items

Emergency Works Update, June 2016 – Roading Structures

Resolved minute number	16/AIN/104	File Ref	6-RT-5-18
------------------------	------------	----------	-----------

That the value of C1022 (Bundle 8) for retaining walls on Turakina Valley Road, awarded to Higgins Contractors Limited, be increased to \$257,908.72.

Cr McManaway / Cr Belsham. Carried

14 Taihape Pool – upgrade to filtration and heating

Ms Prince gave a brief verbal update to the Committee, noting that a formal report (including scoping for the works, costs etc.) would be provided to Council.

Taihape Community Development Trust have committed \$100,000 in reserve funds to the project; this leaves a \$200,000 shortfall to complete the projects. The Trust has agreed to apply for funding from external funders but can see potential issues with the works being seen as operational in a Council-owned facility.

Resolved minute number	16/AIN/105	File Ref	6-RF-2-3
------------------------	------------	----------	----------

That the update on the proposed upgrade to filtration and heating at the Taihape Pool be received.

His Worship the Mayor / Cr Peke-Mason. Carried

15 Glyphosate use on Council parks and reserves

Mr Sanson spoke briefly to the report.

Resolved minute number	16/AIN/106	File Ref	6-RF-1-1
------------------------	------------	----------	----------

That the memorandum 'Glyphosate use on Council parks and reserves' to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 15 September 2016 be received.

Cr Sheridan / Cr Peke-Mason. Carried

16 Parks Upgrade Partnership Application - Mt Stewart Reserve

Mr Prince and Mr Sanson spoke briefly to the report. A model of the proposed structure has been built by a member of the Friends of Mt Stewart group and was presented to the

meeting. The Committee discussed the need for a roof on the structure and whether this was significantly increasing the cost of the project. The Committee were informed that if the funding was not secured to cover the cost of the roof then it wouldn't be completed.

Resolved minute number	16/AIN/107	File Ref	6-RF-1-1
-------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------

- 1 That the 'Parks Upgrade Partnership Application – Mt Stewart Reserve' be received.
- 2 That funding of \$14,226.00 from the Parks Upgrade Partnership Fund be allocated to the provision of a new Lookout Platform at Mt Stewart Reserve, Taihape, as outlined in the Expression of Interest received from the Friends of Mt Stewart and subject to successfully securing an additional \$28,453.40 from the community or other non-Council sources.

Cr Gordon / Cr Sheridan. Carried

17 Consent compliance – August 2016

Ms Saywell spoke briefly to the report.

A meeting has been held with Horizons Regional Council staff and a pathway to compliance with all of Council's consents has been agreed.

Staff will lodge a variation to consent conditions for the Taihape Water Treatment Plant to mitigate issues with non-compliance with extraction limits.

Staff are still awaiting a confirmed decision from Riverlands in Bulls regarding the acceptance of their discharge into the Bulls Wastewater Treatment Plant. Horizons prefers this.

It was discussed that the Ratana Community needed to be engaged to consider the needs of the community when renewing the consent for the Ratana Wastewater Treatment Plant.

Resolved minute number	16/AIN/108	File Ref	5-EX-3-2
-------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------

That the report 'Consent compliance – August 2016' to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 15 September 2016 be received.

Cr Sheridan / Cr Gordon. Carried

18 Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant as at 8 September 2016

Ms Saywell spoke briefly to the report. The Committee identified the need to include in the agreed leachate management plan with Mid-West Disposals Ltd that they are responsible for the leachate while it is stored in the tanks at the Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant until it is accepted into the Plant itself.

The possible ramifications of a leak from the tanks onsite was discussed. Ms Saywell assured the Committee that the tanks had been located on the site so that if this was to occur any leachate would end up in the anaerobic ponds at the plant.

Resolved minute number

16/AIN/109

File Ref

6-WW-1-4

That the report 'Marton Wastewater Treatment Plant as at 8 September 2016' be received.

Cr Sheridan / Cr Belsham. Carried

20 Future items for the agenda

Nil

21 Next meeting

This is the Committee's last meeting for the triennium.

22 Meeting closed – 11.45am

Confirmed/Chair: _____

Confirmed/Chief Executive: _____

Date: _____

Attachment 2

Towards 2019...

Advancing the key issues identified in the Council's strategic planning day, 22 November 2016

Key priority issues

1. Infrastructural service levels
2. Economic development
3. Future-looking community facilities
4. Earthquake-prone buildings
5. Communication/engagement and collaboration
6. Rates level/affordability/value

The six priority issues identified for the 2013-16 triennium remain relevant. However, the focus of issue 3 has shifted from 'Unused facilities/rationalisation' to 'Future –looking community facilities' – more obviously allowing for the possibility of new facilities.

Many potential projects have been identified (left-hand panel): it is intended that the relevant Council Committee will prioritise them with timelines, taking into account those topics requiring further discussion (bottom right panel) and their potential relevance for the 2018/28 Long Term Plan.

The suggested purpose statements and definitions have been refined from the presentations of ideas and the discussion of those: changes from the statement reviewing the 2013-triennium are highlighted. The projected decline and ageing of the population has been arrested during the past two years in Marton (and to a lesser extent in Bulls) but the need to stimulate job creation and create an attractive, family-friendly environment was accepted as a highly significant District-wide concern.

Earlier versions of this statement were discussed at Council's workshops on 1 December 2016 and 15 December 2016.

Key priority issue 1	Infrastructure service levels		
Assets/Infrastructure Committee	Ensuring services meet appropriate standards and are affordable		
Identified projects	Purpose statement	Definition	Impact of changing population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secure government support for continued reticulated water and wastewater in smaller communities Understand implications of the One Road Network Classification¹ and plan/advocate accordingly, taking into account the need for a resilient network and opportunities from using local knowledge Research the merits of a development contributions policy.² Seek a collaborative solution for maintaining roads needed for forestry logging³ Reassess potential shrinkage of infrastructure in centres of declining population and the viable options. <p>The key wastewater upgrades at Marton, Bulls, Ratana and Koiatiata have already been flagged in Council's Long Term Plan/Annual Plan.</p>	<p>Council is concerned that the expectations in the community of maintaining present levels of service in the provision of roading, water, wastewater and stormwater services will prove excessive because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the government's subsidy on local roads is very likely to reduce from 2018 onwards; the government's (and thus the regional council's) requirements over wastewater disposal will inevitably increase; more stringent testing of potable water supplies will be required; there is significant renewal work required in the reticulation networks in all the District's towns; and the government's preference for CCOs to manage water and wastewater, i.e. at arms lengths from elected members. 	<p>To ensure that future infrastructure service levels are based on clear assessments of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> comparative benefit (i.e. public health and wealth creation); the contribution to sustainable economic growth; benefits to significant users of the infrastructure and any additional costs or damage arising from that use; and realistic options or alternatives to the present level of service. 	<p>The existing infrastructure was designed for a larger population than now, but new subdivisions may need greater capacity to provide an acceptable level of service. For example, the planned expansion of wastewater in Ratana⁴ and Koiatiata will need new infrastructure</p> <p>An ageing population will require more attention to the provision of footpaths which are safe for mobility scooters.</p> <p>There may still be an issue over affordability to replace systems to meet increasingly strict consent requirements.</p> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p><i>What does a development contributions policy mean?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ reduce additional costs falling on existing ratepayers? ○ disincentive for new developments? ○ costly to draft and consult on?

¹ This is a congestion-based model which doesn't take count of the value of what is being transported. It won't start until 2018 and a transition period is likely.

² If the case is accepted, it will be a proposal for inclusion in the draft 2018/28 Long Term Plan Consultation Document. Proposed changes to the Resource Management Act will remove the opportunity to take financial contributions for new developments: clause 155, Resource Legislation Amendment Bill would repeal section 111 of the RMA.

³ The outcome of work by a national representative body is expected by 31 March 2017. Some councils have already addressed the issue, e.g. Ruapehu, as foreshadowed in its 2015/25 Long Term Plan, with its 50% loading (on the roading rate) on land being used for commercial forestry from 2016/17. This is projected to yield an additional \$167,000. Council's Roading team has arranged for a study from Moore & Associates.

⁴ A capital provision of \$1.2 million was made for the expanded, upgraded treatment plant at Ratana in 2016/17 (to be carried-forward into 2017/18); a one-off contribution is sought from Te Puni Kokiri for this, comparable to what has been done in other, similar places. The infrastructure within the new subdivision at Ratana is part of the development, for which Council funding is not required.

Key priority issue 2 ⁵	Economic development		
Finance/Performance Committee	Facilitating growth through infrastructure investment, an enabling regulatory framework and collaboration		
Identified projects	Purpose statement	Definition	Impact of changing population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unlock Maori land-locked land • Hunterville/Tutaenui rural water feasibility (and implementation) • Kensington Road development • Continue momentum with town centre upgrades – and place-making initiatives • Direct the District's promotion⁶ • Rangitikei as a destination – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ be more self-reliant? an app? ○ tell the Rangitikei story⁷ ○ a virtual 'SH3A' to Marton?⁸ • Investigate/promote Ohakea as a freight cargo hub (highlighted in Accelerate 25)⁹ • Regional collaboration –e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ facilities ○ soil analysis – targeted crops¹⁰ • Get ultra-fast broadband¹¹ • Policy incentives – new housing/residents • Work collaboratively with businesses to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ increase job opportunities ○ explore international opportunities 	<p>Council wants the District derive the maximum benefit from the regional growth study (and the associated Te Pae Tawhiti). It has already committed to investing in town upgrades as being catalysts for CBD regeneration in Bulls, Marton and Taihape.</p> <p>Equally, its continuing co-investment with MPI to gain a greater appreciation of the water resources available and the potential increase in productivity and diversity recognises the critical impact farming has on the District's economy and the community.</p> <p>Council's interest in tourism is recognised by its long-standing provision of staffed information centres in Bulls, Marton and Taihape.</p>	<p>To ensure that the maximum potential for economic growth in the District is realised by a consistent Council focus on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • being explicit on how the District makes best use of its natural advantages (e.g. irrigation and tourism); • being business-friendly; • being an advocate to potential businesses coming to the District while nurturing existing businesses (including schools); • looking for opportunities for job creation; • developing sports facilities to a high standard; • securing ultra-fast broadband; • looking for collaborative opportunities with Iwi. 	<p>Acknowledging the projected population change does not imply that the District's wealth will diminish, simply because the number of locally-based consumers (in some parts of the District) will be smaller. The District's natural advantages are not affected by such change and there may be potential for business activity reflecting that change, e.g. a major retirement village. In addition, technology provides a much larger marketplace – if reliable ultra-fast broadband capability is in place.</p> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p>Who is promoting the Rangitikei? What relationships are needed for success?</p> <p>Potential from charging stations for electric vehicles – or leave to market?</p>

⁵ This was agreed as an area where there was a lot going on – and where collaborating with regional initiatives would be very important.

⁶ Provide leadership, potentially take over running of rangitikei.com and use tourism operators as an advisory group

⁷ This would include understanding how the now successful medium-sized businesses survived and grew. ("We want ten Hautapu Pines.")

⁸ Both the concept of being a niche town – but also available alternative to the Wellington death-trap, easily within reach of Whanganui and Palmerston North.

⁹ This would be likely to change the nature of farming in the lower half of the North Island as it would reduce time to market. But Palmerston North is unlikely to be convinced. Is there a strong business case? Need to remove Air Force from the mix – it might need change of legislation for civilian use of the Base's facilities.

¹⁰ Cf. initiative taken by Taranaki District Council.

¹¹ This is critical for business growth – but may entail an investment/grant from the Council to attract a provider. Impossible for Council to make an investment on the scale of South Taranaki. Time to make submissions has been extended to 3 April 2017. Council has already given feedback on mobile black-spots on Turakina Valley Road and Taihape-Napier Road. An important pre-requisite for the idea of hosting other people's information.

Key priority issue 3	Future-looking community facilities ¹²		
Assets/Infrastructure Committee	Ensuring community facilities are future-fit and appropriately managed		
Identified projects	Purpose statement	Definition	Impact on changing population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gain clarity over funding and management of pools Future arrangement for groups in former Taihape College Facility upgrades in Bulls Domain, Marton Park and Taihape Memorial Park¹³ Reassess the need for each rural halls¹⁴ Determine the long-term approach for provision of community housing (and the extent to which Council might build new housing) Tackle new opportunities – e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better access to the Rangitikei River Cycleway Bulls to Kakariki Paddleway on the Rangitikei Public access to Marton B and C Dams Skatepark in Marton Public toilet in Mangaweka Santoft Domain – restore public space Toilets in more remote recreational areas (potential¹⁵) 	<p>Council is concerned that there are too many under-used community facilities and that the pace of rationalisation must accelerate to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reflect what the community wants; provide facilities which will be useful in the coming decades; secure viable alternative management or ownership arrangements; and find alternative uses (or demolish) surplus facilities to avoid the spectre of poorly maintained and vandalised buildings. <p>Council also want to look at what amenities could be developed, in the interests of local residents as well as attracting visitors.</p>	<p>To ensure that there is a process agreed with the community to evaluate and give effect to the realistic future of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> towns and rural halls, community housing, park facilities, pools, libraries, and public toilets. <p>It would be feasible to prioritise this work on the basis on Council's current investment in these categories. However, communities may prefer a whole-of-town approach.</p> <p>This is potentially the most contentious issue for Council to provide leadership – in part because of community unwillingness to see old facilities disappear, in part because of division within any community about the nature and design of new facilities.</p>	<p>Population change is one factor in rethinking what will be useful community facilities. Even more important is the changing needs of the community, already evident in reduced use of halls and new uses within libraries.</p> <p>The adaptability of the community needed to be appreciated – cf. the use of the former Taihape College. In general, however, people don't like using run-down facilities.</p> <p>One tension evident in Marton is the pressure on pre-school places, but there was a consensus that it was not Council's role to help address that.</p> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p><i>District toilet strategy.</i></p> <p><i>Council as 'honest broker' in providing facts about housing developments, aged care etc.</i></p>

¹² This issue had previously been titled 'Unused facilities/rationalisation'. The November 2016 discussion was more focussed on new opportunities, without dismissing the need to deal with outmoded, run-down and little used facilities. A strong linkage with economic development.

¹³ This includes a decision on the location of the new amenity block and the future of the grandstand.

¹⁴ One impediment, ironically, is the generosity of the Dudding Trust to fund renewal work in these halls.

¹⁵ Freedom camping is not yet a significant issue in the Rangitikei. But there are instances, e.g. at Simpson's Bush (DoC) and Queens Park in Hunterville.

Key priority issue 4	Earthquake-prone buildings ¹⁶		
Policy/Planning Committee	Reducing the people-risk from Council-owned earthquake-prone buildings and providing a leadership/support role for other earthquake-prone buildings		
Identified projects	Purpose statement	Definition	Impact of changing population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead community discussions of the impact of new building legislation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> meet with building owners to explain MBIE methodology and how Council has applied it; gain clarity over 'priority buildings'¹⁷ Use Marton heritage precinct project to gain stronger understanding (and use) of the heritage offset provisions in the recently amended District Plan Safeguard water and wastewater treatment plants 	<p>Council has committed to a strategy by which it will vacate its own earthquake-prone buildings for new, structurally safe ones.</p> <p>However, Council remains concerned that the cost of meeting the new legislative requirements could result in withdrawal of business (especially in the urban centres) and an increase in the number of abandoned and vandalised buildings. These economic implications are common for all rural New Zealand – particularly those in the high seismic risk area.</p>	<p>To ensure that Council minimises the financial impact of the government's projected requirements over earthquake strengthening by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ensuring building owners have ready access to information about techniques for strengthening; undertaking the initial assessments as rapidly as possible; continuing the financial support for building owners seeking to demolish and replace or to strengthen. 	<p>A declining population (at least in the northern towns) means fewer local customers and thus represents a risk to the economic viability to retail businesses in the urban centres. However, local population is not the sole source of trading for the CBD areas: visitor spending is significant in Bulls, Marton and Taihape.</p> <hr/> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p><i>How (and when) will Council exercise the leadership required in the new legislation?</i></p> <p><i>Should Council arrange a collective booking with engineers?</i></p> <p><i>Should Council provide financial assistance for the engineers' assessment which must be provided for buildings identified by Council as earthquake-prone?</i></p>

¹⁶ This was regarded at the November 2016 workshop as still significant, although the level of urgency had changed with the coming into effect from 1 July 2017 of the provisions of the Building (Earthquake-prone buildings) Amendment Act. Rangitikei is in the high seismic risk area. Territorial authorities are responsible for identifying potentially earthquake-prone buildings; it is the building owners' responsibility to get an engineer's assessment.

¹⁷ Cf. Minister Smith stating that every CBD is to be regarded as a high priority area. This wasn't how the provision in the Bill was understood.

Key priority issue 5	Communication/engagement and collaboration ¹⁸		
Policy/Planning Committee	Ensuring communities are well-informed and engaged in decision-making, and productive partnerships are established/maintained		
<p>Identified projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stronger governance for shared services Iwi participation on Council committees Bilingual signage at Council Promote and engage with community-led projects Identify optimal structure(s) for engaging and collaborating people of different ages, ethnicity & circumstances – e.g. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the new and expanding Samoan community older people and youth Maori outside Te Roopu Ahi Kaa Review significance and engagement policy (February)¹⁹ Act as catalyst for developing response to climate change and sustainability challenges Making Council visible. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Government Excellence Programme Review Council brand 	<p>Purpose statement</p> <p>Council is concerned that it has not given enough attention to letting people within the District (and others potentially interested) know what it is doing. The consequences of this isolation are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> over-reliance on statutory consultative processes; excessively technical plans, reports and other publications; misunderstanding by the community of Council's intentions, its achievements and the issues it faces; minimal governance engagement with shared services initiatives with other local councils; and loss of partnership opportunities from community groups and businesses <p>Council will be excellent when it gets lots of feedback (because that implies Council is interested in what people think and will act on the views expressed).</p>	<p>Definition</p> <p>To ensure that Council strengthens its profile with the people in the District, as well as neighbours and the local government sector generally by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> providing regular communication of plans and programmes; improving feedback on submissions and requests for service; looking for stronger engagement with Community Boards and Community Committees; looking for (potentially different) opportunities to engage and collaborate with older people and youth; leading or supporting initiatives for shared services with other councils; and mixing with other industry groups and participating in their projects. 	<p>Impact of changing population</p> <p>Population change – particularly an increasing proportion of older people and an increasing number of Maori youth and Samoan families– implies more targeted communication/engagement and collaboration.</p> <hr/> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p><i>How do we want to engage with our communities? (How do they want us to engage with them?) Is a reference panel a key element alongside District-wide surveys and consultation?</i></p> <p><i>How do we make Council more visible? (How to turn Council's clients into advocates?)</i></p> <p><i>What are the community's aspirations?</i></p>

¹⁸ This issue was the most discussed at the November 2016 workshop, with several large areas of focus identified for more in-depth discussion and analysis. The continued publication of the Rangitikei Bulletin and Rangitikei Online has been included in the draft 2017/18 budget, as has the funding of the MoU Groups (Taihape Community Development Trust, Project Marton, Bulls & Districts Community Trust and Rangitikei Tourism) preparation of the Consultation Document for the 2017/18 Annual Plan

¹⁹ For inclusion within Consultation Document for the 2017/18 Annual Plan.

Key priority issue 6	Rates level/ affordability/value		
Finance/Performance Committee	Ensuring rate levels are prudent and value to ratepayers demonstrated		
<p>Identified projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rates modelling software (in time for 2018/28 Long Term Plan preparation) • Local Government Excellence Programme • Stronger use of website in publicising major contracts, responses to service requests, and LGOIMA requests to Council • Full review of revenue and financing policy (and associated fees and charges) • MW LASS collaboration– insurance and debt recovery 	<p>Purpose statement</p> <p>Council is concerned that the level of rates is high by comparison with larger, urban councils – yet the range of services delivered is less.</p> <p>Although the Local Government operational effectiveness survey and the forthcoming Local Government Excellence Programme give the Council a chance to tell its story, there are underlying tensions, especially:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • affordability (given the comparatively lower income profile in the District); • urban and rural differences (given the different availability of services); • disproportionate rates on low-value properties; • the value of remissions and their cost (to other ratepayers) and • value for money (given the tendency towards district-wide or even multi-district and whole-of-government contracts, and the sense that their commitment is less than a local provider/contractor) 	<p>Definition</p> <p>To ensure that Council gives greater attention to securing affordable level of rates and a high value for money proposition by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • undertaking a test of relevance in all new or reviewed policies and programmes; • engaging fully with benchmarking studies and surveys; • requiring (and publicising) evaluation of whole-of-government procurement and local procurement as options where the annual contract value exceeds \$50,000; • reviewing 'public good' rates for water, wastewater and stormwater • requiring (and publicising) information on the monitoring of performance of all contracts approved by Council; • having access to accurate data and the ability to model rates impact on all properties so that the range within the mean increase/decrease is explicit; • advocating to government for larger rates rebates for rural communities. 	<p>Impact of changing population</p> <p>The projected decline in population in parts of the District is likely to mean fewer ratepayers since there is no expectation of a compensating increase in non-residential ratepayers (cf. Ruapehu or Thames-Coromandel). That could mean the rates burden on each ratepayer would most probably increase, even if the total rates required were unchanged year on year.</p> <p>However, where growth of business means the expansion of existing premises or building new ones, there is an increase in rateable value and thus the rates associated with such properties.</p> <hr/> <p>FURTHER DISCUSSION</p> <p><i>What is the tipping point for the District? (What factors are relevant? Debt? Climate change?...)</i></p> <p><i>How to define rates affordability better?</i></p>

25 January 2017

Attachment 3

Memorandum

To: Assets/Infrastructure Committee

From: George McIrvine

Date: 3 February 2017

Subject: **Procurement for upgrade of Taihape Pool - Exemption from open advertising**

File: 3-PY-1-7; 6-RF-2-3

Last year, consideration was given to the upgrade of the Taihape Pool's filtration and heating systems. A detailed quotation obtained from Filtration & Pumping Commercial was peer-reviewed by NZ Environmental Technologies Ltd and also Council's Operations Manager (Andrew van Bussel). The budget provision of \$446,000 reflects the estimated cost from that exercise. The scope of work is:

- a. Upgrade main switchboard and internal wiring to meet the power load and compliance,
- b. Upgrade lighting to meet the Code, and make a saving on power using LED lighting,
- c. Upgrade of Diatomaceous earth backwash system to sewer for all three pools,
- d. Install separate chlorine systems for all pools to maintain required levels independently to meet NZS 4441, also changing to hth calcium hypochlorite to meet Health & Safety requirements,
- e. Build a new plant room to service the Toddlers Pool Plant,
- f. Upgrade treatment and filtration of Learners and Toddlers pools to meet NZS4441 requirements. This will allow all three pools to run independently to enable each pool to be isolated when issues arise, and
- g. Upgrade heat pumps to all pools to meet the temperature requirements for each pool (and achieve power savings as the present system is expensive to run).

Rule 12 of Council's procurement policy requires open advertising of all contract opportunities exceeding \$250,000. However, this is a highly specialised field and Filtration & Pumping Commercial is the only viable supplier in New Zealand. On that basis, Rule 13 will be invoked, which allows the Chief Executive or a Group Manager to endorse exemption from open advertising in such circumstances.

A direct source process will be used. That means that Council will request a formal proposal from Filtration & Pumping Commercial and assess its value for money, with reference to the quotation previously provided. Any significant difference (i.e. more than 10%) will be subject to peer review by NZ Environmental Technologies before a contract is negotiated. In addition, the normal evaluation of capacity and capability and risk will be undertaken.

It is intended that work begins as soon as possible after the pool closes for the current swimming season.

Recommendation

That the memorandum 'Procurement for upgrade of Taihape Pool - Exemption from open advertising' be received and that the intended use of the direct source process with Filtration & Pumping Commercial Ltd be noted

George McIrvine
Group Manager, Finance and Business Support

Attachment 4

Memorandum

To: Assets/Infrastructure Committee
Policy/Planning Committee

From: Michael Hodder

Date: 3 February 2017

Subject: Review of fees and charges for 2017/18

File: 1-AP-2-1

Council consults on its proposed fees and charges at the same time as the Annual Plan (or Long Term Plan). That is because changes to some fees require the use of the social consultative procedure. The attached draft copy of the workbook (Appendix 1) is being presented to both Committees so consideration can be given to fees in the activities which each Committee is concerned with. The outcome of those discussions will be incorporated into a revised document for adoption (for consultation) by Council at its meeting on 23 February 2017.

In general, all fees have been increased by 1.9% (the BERL local government inflation factor) used in developing budgets, and then (normally) rounded to the nearest dollar. In some cases, however, rounding is not applied as it would introduce too much distortion. Examples are the trade waste charges. Those fees set by statute or regulation are not inflation adjusted.

The suggested variations to this approach are as follows:

Ratana cemetery – no change: recommendation to be sought from the Ratana Communal Board of Trustees

Halls – new fees for three hour hireage are suggested for both the main body of the hall and meeting/supper rooms. Sports groups, in particular, look for that length of time, and the cost is reduced a little for that. The one-fifth of the specified fee for local, non-profit community organisations would continue.

Building control – deposit fees for residential projects proposed on a sliding scale as being a more accurate recognition of the different amount of inspection work. A new fee has been proposed for the Building and Town Planning certificate required for liquor licensing. Urgent LIMS have been deleted. This required a turnaround time of two days which has proved intrusive to other planned work.

Resource Management Act administrative charges – clarification on the criteria for charging a fixed fee for controlled activity signage. The proposed fee for 2017/18 is \$325 rather than \$255, being half the fee set for rural setback applications. Clarification is added that external technical experts and consultant planners will be at cost plus disbursements.

Solid waste – it is proposed to increase waste transfer station costs to reflect the increased rates charged at the Bonny Glen landfill from 18 October 2016. This in turn reflects the rising price of carbon units to MidWest Disposals (who operate the landfill) under the Emissions Trading Scheme. The price for long-haul tyres has increased to \$22.00 which better reflects their disposal cost.

Recommendations

1. That the memorandum 'Review of fees and charges for 2017/18' be received.
2. That the following amendments be incorporated into the consolidated draft Schedule of fees and charges to be considered by Council at its meeting on 23 February 2017.....

Michael Hodder
Community & Regulatory Services Group Manager

Appendix 1

Cemetery Charges

Charges for the cemeteries under the administrative control of the Rangitikei District Council at Bulls, Mt View, Taihape, Mangaweka, and Turakina:

Plot	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Adult – over 12 years	\$809.00	\$824.00	\$824.37
Child – up to and including 12 years of age	\$309.00	\$315.00	\$314.87
Ashes – all sections	\$180.00	\$183.00	\$183.42
Memorial Wall Plaque – Mt View	\$98.00	\$100.00	\$99.86
Rose Berm – Mt View	\$98.00	\$100.00	\$99.86
Interment Fees			
Wall Niche – Bulls	\$180.00	\$183.00	\$183.42
Adult – over 12 years	\$809.00	\$824.00	\$824.37
Child – up to and including 12 years of age	\$335.00	\$341.00	\$341.37
Stillborn	\$207.00	\$211.00	\$210.93
Ashes	\$212.00	\$216.00	\$216.03
Ashes – placed by family	\$39.00	\$40.00	\$39.74
Extra depth – extra charge	\$162.00	\$165.00	\$165.08
Saturday's sexton fees – extra charge	\$475.00	\$484.00	\$484.03
Extra charge for all out of District interments- does not apply to ashes, stillborn, or child interments	\$798.00	\$813.00	\$813.16
Disinterment/re-interment charges	\$1,750.00	\$1,783.00	\$1,783.25
Disinterment of ashes	\$196.00	\$200.00	\$199.72
Monumental permit - fee will be waived if an image of the headstone is supplied	\$30.00	\$31.00	\$30.57
RSA Burials at Marton and Taihape - Interment Fees only apply			

Ratana Cemetery

For all interments arranged and carried out by the Ratana Community. The cemetery is managed by the Ratana Communal Board of Trustees and details of plot maintenance and interment charges are available from the Board. This includes limits to the number of plots that can be reserved at any one time and possible additional charges to out-of-District residents for plot maintenance and interment.

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Adult – over 12 years	\$476.00	\$476.00	
Child – up to and including 12 years of age	\$138.00	\$138.00	
Ash plot	\$138.00	\$138.00	

Parks and Reserves

Fees below are for exclusive use of Council-owned parks. Anyone may use Council-owned parks for leisure and recreational activities. Where exclusive use is required, the schedule of fees and charges applies and reflects the wear and tear on the grounds of various activities. These fees, but not deposits against damage, can be waived at the discretion of the Chief Executive. Where an organisation or group wishes to have exclusive use of a Council facility not otherwise specified in the Schedule, the fee (if any) will be determined by the Chief Executive or his nominee.

Turakina Domain is managed by the Turakina Reserve Management Committee. For bookings, please contact Laurel Mauchline Campbell on 06 327 8279

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Memorial Park – Taihape			
Annual users per annum*			
Nos 1, 2 and 3 Fields (each)	\$557.00	\$568.00	\$567.58
Taihape Area School – for a maximum of 5 days exclusive use of all three fields (with the exception of any equestrian event)	\$1,694.00	\$1,726.00	\$1,726.19
Casual one-off exclusive users per use (1 day)			
No 1, 2 and 3 Fields (each)	\$190.00	\$194.00	\$193.61
Huntermville Domain			
Annual users per annum*	\$318.00	\$324.00	\$324.04
Casual one-off exclusive users per use (1 day)	\$190.00	\$194.00	\$193.61
Bulls Domain, Marton Park, Centennial Park and Wilson Park			
Annual Users per annum (per ground)*	\$557.00	\$568.00	\$567.58
Casual one-off exclusive users per use (1 day) (per ground)	\$190.00	\$194.00	\$193.61
All Parks			
Special Event Users (per day) to include circus, equestrian events, festivals and tournaments	\$669.00	\$682.00	\$681.71
Refundable deposit against damage**	\$614.00	\$626.00	\$625.67
Refundable key deposit***	\$50.00	\$50.00	
Weighting of deposit/fees specified below at all parks			
Horse trials/events	200% of deposit	200% of deposit	200% of deposit
Other animals outside defined enclosures	200% of deposit	200% of deposit	200% of deposit
Rugby (including league), soccer	100% of fee	100% of fee	100% of fee
Hockey, cricket, softball, horse trials/events, other animals outside of enclosures	50% of fee	50% of fee	50% of fee
Athletics, marching other contact sports	25% of fee	25% of fee	25% of fee
Non-contact sport, non-profit recreational users	10% of fee	10% of fee	10% of fee
After-hours staff call out	\$45.00	\$46.00	\$45.86

* Annual User charges give sole use of a ground to a sporting code for Saturday and practice night. Actual electricity use to be charged to clubs by measured and metered arrangement.

** Where the damage costs are more than the deposit, the actual cost of reparation will be charged

*** Where the replacement cost is more than the deposit, the actual cost will be charged

Halls

The charges outlined below relate to hiring the whole facility or dedicated meeting rooms. The full fee is payable by any commercial hirer, and a substantial discount applied for non-profit community users. Fees, but not deposits against damage or for keys, can be waived at the discretion of the Chief Executive. Where an organisation or group wishes to have exclusive use of a Council facility not otherwise specified in the Schedule, the fee (if any) will be determined by the Chief Executive or his nominee.

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Refundable deposit against damage to be charged to all users *	\$150.00	\$150.00	
Refundable deposit against damage to be charged for 21st birthdays *	\$500.00	\$500.00	
Taihape Town Hall, Marton Memorial Hall, Bulls Town Hall and Mangaweka Town Hall			
Up to three hours		\$76.00	
Half day (up to five hours)	\$100.00	\$102.00	\$101.90
Full day (key returned before 5.00 pm)	\$150.00	\$153.00	\$152.85
Evening (key returned by 10.00 am the following day)	\$150.00	\$153.00	\$152.85
Multiple days	One day at full cost, consecutive days at half full day rate	One day at full cost, consecutive days at half full day rate	
Full day and evening	\$225.00	\$229.00	\$229.28
Profit making/commercial use per day	\$550.00	\$560.00	\$560.45
Supper rooms/meeting rooms, etc			
Up to three hours		\$50.00	
Half day (up to five hours)	\$65.00	\$66.00	\$66.24
Full day	\$100.00	\$102.00	\$101.90
Evening	\$100.00	\$102.00	\$101.90
Screen	\$5.00	\$5.00	\$5.10
Furniture is not to be removed from any of Council owned buildings, except for trestle table hire – by arrangement	\$15 per trestle table	\$15 per trestle table	\$15.45 per trestle table
Cancellation Fee for all Halls			
Payable if cancelled later than 14 days prior to booked event	Full fee	Full fee	Full fee
Key deposit for all Halls			
Refundable when key returned **	\$50.00	\$50.00	
Commercial kitchen - Marton Memorial Hall ***	\$15 per half day	\$15 per half day	
Weighting of fees specified below at all Halls			
Local, non-profit community organisation	One fifth of full fee	One fifth of full fee	One fifth of full fee

* Where the damage costs are more than the deposit, the actual cost of reparation will be charged

*** Where the replacement cost is more than the deposit, the actual cost will be charged

*** Local residents preparing food for sale within the district, on a casual basis, up to ten times a year. More frequent usage would be at the daily charge for the hall hireage.

Fees for using the Hunterville Town Hall are set by the Hunterville Sport and Recreation Trust which has a lease agreement with Council to operate the Hall. Contact Barry Lampp on 06 322 8662 or 06 322 8009 for all bookings.

Libraries

	% Increase	1.9%	
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
All borrowing , for first three weeks (DVD/CDs one week)	Free	Free	Free
Borrowing limit (per borrower)	20 items	20 items	20 items
DVDs limit (per borrower)	5 items	5 items	5 items
Renewals			
For second and third week periods	No charge	No charge	No charge
Overdue charge (per day)	No charge	No charge	No charge
Borrowing may be suspended if any item is overdue for more than three weeks			
Reserves	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.02
Interloans (interloan libraries)	\$6.00	\$6.00	\$6.11
Replacement cards	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.02
Internet			
Use of Computers - first 30 minutes	Free	Free	Free
Each 15 minutes	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.02
Scanning, photocopying and printing (per side)			
A4	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$0.20
A3	\$0.50	\$1.00	\$0.51
A4 colour	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.04
A3 colour	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$3.06
Fax: New Zealand			
First page	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$1.02
Following pages (per page)	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$0.20
Fax: International			
First page	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.04
Following pages (per page)	\$0.50	\$1.00	\$0.51
Fax: Receiving (per page)	\$0.20	\$0.00	\$0.20
Out of District Membership	No charge	No charge	No charge

Building Consent Fees

% Increase 1.9%

		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
		Building Consent Fees				PIM Fees		
Work Type : Exempt Building Work (Note 1)								
The Building Act allows some building work to be exempt as of right (specified in Part 1 of Schedule 1), and no consent is needed for that.		No charge (unless application for exemption made so project documented in Council's records)						
The Act also allows discretion to Council to exempt other building work using its discretion (specified in Clause 2 of Part 1 in Schedule 1). A formal application is required for this. Details of Schedule 1 are provided on the following pages.		\$141.00	\$144.00	\$143.68				
Work Type: Fixed Building Consent Fee (Note 2)								
Domestic/Residential Small Projects								
Install freestanding fire		\$293.00	\$299.00	\$298.57		\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.29
Install inbuilt fire		\$408.00	\$416.00	\$415.75		\$15.00	\$15.00	\$15.29
If installation includes a wet back	In addition	\$59.00	\$60.00	\$60.12				
Residential demolition		\$408.00	\$416.00	\$415.75		\$31.00	\$32.00	\$31.59
Proprietary garage, carport, pole shed garden shed, un-plumbed sleep out		\$701.00	\$714.00	\$714.32		\$41.00	\$42.00	\$41.78
Temporary/freestanding signs		\$466.00	\$475.00	\$474.85				
Conservatory placed on existing deck		\$677.00	\$690.00	\$689.86		\$41.00	\$42.00	\$41.78
Grease trap installation		\$400.00	\$408.00	\$407.60				
Remove an interior wall		\$408.00	\$416.00	\$415.75		\$61.00	\$62.00	\$62.16
Install external window/door		\$408.00	\$416.00	\$415.75				
Install storm water drain		\$400.00	\$408.00	\$407.60		\$41.00	\$42.00	\$41.78
Install WC/shower		\$400.00	\$408.00	\$407.60				
Install hot water cylinder		\$198.00	\$202.00	\$201.76				
Install on-site effluent disposal system and field		\$451.00	\$460.00	\$459.57		\$41.00	\$42.00	\$41.78
Marquee (greater than 100 sq m erected for longer than one month)		\$204.00	\$208.00	\$207.88				
Property Information Memorandum – if requested prior to lodging a Building Consent Application		\$102.00	\$104.00	\$103.94				
Work Type: Variable Building Consent Fee (Note 3)								
Larger Domestic/Residential Projects								
Swimming pools and fencing	Deposit required (note 3)	\$466.00	\$475.00	\$474.85		\$41.00	\$42.00	\$41.78
New dwellings and alterations/additions	Deposit required (note 3)	\$932.00				\$148.00	\$151.00	\$150.81
Project value up to \$10,000			\$600.00					
Project value \$10,001 to \$100,000			\$950.00					
Project value \$100,001 to \$250,000			\$1,200.00					
Project value more than \$250,000			\$1,500.00					
Code of Compliance bond (potentially refundable)		\$586.00	\$597.00	\$597.13				
Kerb and footpath bond (potentially refundable)		\$703.00	\$716.00	\$716.36				
Agricultural/Rural Buildings								
Wool sheds, dairy sheds, silos, intensive agriculture	Deposit required (note 3)	\$703.00	\$716.00	\$716.36		\$87.00	\$89.00	\$88.65
Commercial, Government, Educational Building Work								
Project value: \$0.00 to \$10,000.00	Deposit required (note 3)	\$586.00	\$597.00	\$597.13		\$61.00	\$62.00	\$62.16
Project value: \$10,001.00 to \$100,000.00	Deposit required (note 3)	\$1,160.00	\$1,182.00	\$1,182.04		\$82.00	\$84.00	\$83.56
Project value: \$100,000.00 to \$250,000.00	Deposit required (note 3)	\$2,327.00	\$2,371.00	\$2,371.21		\$107.00	\$109.00	\$109.03
Code of Compliance bond (potentially refundable)		10% of Consent Fee	10% of Consent Fee	10% of Consent Fee				
Kerb and footpath bond (potentially refundable)		\$2,901.00	\$2,956.00	\$2,956.12				
Other Fees								
Compliance Schedule (new)		\$123.00	\$125.00	\$125.34				
Compliance Schedule (alteration)		\$72.00	\$73.00	\$73.37				
Building Warrant of Fitness (renewal)		\$77.00	\$78.00	\$78.46				
Inspections (BWOFF, swimming pool, building consent, general compliance)		\$192.00	\$196.00	\$195.65				

		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Certificate of Acceptance for unconsented work done under urgency (Sec 42 and 96(1)(b) of the Building Act 2004)	+ Staff time	\$296.00	\$302.00	\$301.62	+ Staff time			
Certificate of Acceptance for unconsented work not done under urgency (Sec 96(1)(a) of the Building Act 2004)	+ Staff time	\$592.00	\$603.00	\$603.25				
Certificate of Public Use	+ Staff time	\$116.00	\$118.00	\$118.20	+ Staff time			
Extension to consent timeframes (maximum 12 months)		\$111.00	\$113.00	\$113.11				
Application for amendment	+ Staff time	\$116.00	\$118.00	\$118.20	+ Staff time			
Building and Town Planning certificate to meet liquor licensing requirements	+ Inspection fees		\$225.00					
Consent endorsements (Sec.37, 75 certificates etc.)		\$296.00	\$302.00	\$301.62				
Independently Qualified Person – registration		\$351.00	\$358.00	\$357.67				
Independently Qualified Person – renewal		\$87.00	\$89.00	\$88.65				
LIM Report – residential (within 10 working days) ⁴		As per LGOIMA (pg 28)	As per LGOIMA (pg 28)					
LIM Report – commercial (within 10 working days) ⁴		As per LGOIMA (pg 28)	As per LGOIMA (pg 28)					
Property file access (other than by property owner or owner's authorised agent)		\$15.00	\$15.00					
Kerb and footpath bond (potentially refundable) for relocating a house off or onto a property		\$690.00	\$703.00	\$703.11				
Building Control staff time (per hour or part thereof)								
Consents Administrator		\$102.00	\$104.00	\$103.94				
Building Officer		\$192.00	\$196.00	\$195.65				
Manager		\$219.00	\$223.00	\$223.16				
BRANZ and DBH Levies on projects over \$20,000	per \$1,000	\$3.00	\$3.00					

Notes:

1. The Building Act 2004, Schedule 1, allows for some works to be undertaken without a Building Consent. An application for exemption is available on-line and from Council offices.
2. Fixed fee consents will be charged at stated rate.
3. Variable fee consents will be calculated based on actual and reasonable costs. In the event of fees being inadequate to cover Council's costs, for example where additional inspections are required or where specialist technical or professional consultation is required, additional charges may be made to recover actual and reasonable costs.
4. LIM charges reflect the actual costs incurred in providing the LIM rather than a flat fee. This will ensure a fairer user-pays pricing approach.

Specific Licences

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2016/2017	Unrounded
Amusement Device Permit (prescribed by the Amusement Devices Regulations 1978)			
One device at one site:			
First seven days	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.19
Second and subsequent seven day period	\$1.00 per week	\$1.00 per week	
Additional device at one site:			
First seven days	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.04
Second and subsequent seven day period	\$1.00 per week	\$1.00 per week	
Licensed Premises Fees – set by Council in accordance with the Health (Registration of Premises) Regulations 1966 and Section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002			
Your attention is drawn to the 33% prompt renewal discount available on transactions completed within 10 working days of invoice			
Food Premises – restaurants, bakeries (Where food is prepared)	\$683.00	\$696.00	\$695.98
Food Premises –dairies, petrol stations etc (Where pre-packaged food is reheated etc)	\$532.00	\$542.00	\$542.11
Food Premises – ancillary premises, coffee carts, etc	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Hairdressers	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Food Control Plan application processing	\$114.00	\$116.00	\$116.17
Verification visit for Food Control Plan (Audit) - first hour	\$199.00	\$203.00	\$202.78
Verification visit for Food Control Plan (Audit) - subsequent hours	\$69.00	\$70.00	\$70.31
Funeral Director	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Amusement Gallery	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Camping Ground	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Mobile Shop selling or supplying food	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Offensive Trade*	\$385.00	\$392.00	\$392.32
Prompt Renewal Discount (within 10 working days)	33%	33%	33%
Any inspections or advisory visits requested by licence holders or other persons (per hour)	\$183.00	\$183.00	\$186.48
* Means any trade, business, manufacture, or undertaking, as specified in Schedule 3 of the Health Act 1956 including blood or offal treating; bone boiling or crushing; collection and storage of used bottles for sale; dag crushing; fellmongering; fishing cleaning; fishing curing; flax pulping; flock manufacturing, or teasing of textile materials for any purpose; tanning; gut scraping and treating; nightsoil collection and disposal; refuse collection and disposal; septic tank desludging and disposal of sludge; slaughtering of animals for any purpose other than human consumption; storage, drying, or preserving of bones, hides, hoofs, or skins; tallow melting; wood pulping; and wool scouring.			

Liquor Licensing Fees (prescribed by the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Fees) Regulations 2013)	New fee structure		
Applications for new licences	2016/2017	Transferred to ARLA	
Cost/risk rating*			
Very low (0-2)	\$368.00	\$17.25	
Low (3-5)	\$609.50	\$34.50	
Medium (6-15)	\$816.50	\$51.75	
High (16-25)	\$1,023.50	\$86.25	
Very high (26 and over)	\$1,207.50	\$172.50	
Annual licence fees			
Cost/risk rating*			
Very low	\$161.00	\$17.25	
Low	\$391.00	\$34.50	
Medium	\$632.50	\$51.75	
High	\$1,035.00	\$86.25	
Very high	\$1,437.50	\$172.50	
<i>*The cost/risk ratings are those specified in clause 5 of the Regulations</i>			
Other application fees			
Manager's Certificate	\$316.50	\$28.75	
Temporary Authority	\$296.70	N/A	
Temporary Licence	\$296.70	N/A	
	\$517.50	Paid directly to ARLA	

* Not updated

Extract of Register	\$57.50	\$57.50 (if extract from ARLA register)	
Special Licences			
Class 1: 1 large event, more than 3 medium events, more than 12 small events	575.00		
Class 2: 3-12 small events; 1-3 medium events	207.00		
Class 3: 1 or 2 small events	63.25		
<i>Clause 9 of the Regulations provides the following definitions:</i>			
Large event = more than 400 people			
Medium event = 100 to 400 people			
Small event = fewer than 100 people			

Food Act Fees

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded	* Not updated
Hourly charge out rate - up to one hour	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Additional fee per hour - 15 minute blocks	\$140.00	\$140.00		
FCP registration fee - up to one hour	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Additional FCP registration fee per hour - 15 minute blocks	\$140.00	\$140.00		
NP registration fee - up to one hour	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Additional NP registration fee per hour - 15 minute blocks	\$140.00	\$140.00		
FCP renewal fee	\$140.00	\$140.00		
NP renewal fee	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Verification fees FCP - up to one hour	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Additional verification fees FCP per hour - 15 minute blocks	\$140.00	\$140.00		
Verification fees NP - up to 30 minutes	\$70.00	\$70.00		
Additional verification fees NP per hour - 15 minute blocks	\$140.00	\$140.00		

Resource Management Act Administration Charges

% Increase

1.9%

Set in accordance with section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Resource Consent applications – notified (land use and subdivision)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$2,500.00	\$2,548.00	\$2,547.50
Resource Consent applications – limited notification (land use and subdivision)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$1,500.00	\$1,529.00	\$1,528.50
Resource Consent applications – non-notified (land use)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$650.00	\$662.00	\$662.35
Resource Consent applications - non-notified (subdivision)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$800.00	\$815.00	\$815.20
Resource Consent applications - controlled activity signage	Fixed fee (note 2)	\$250.00	\$255.00	\$254.75
RMA certification (e.g. s223, s224 etc) Charged at \$100.00 + staff time	Fixed fee	\$300.00	\$306.00	\$305.70
Requests for Plan Changes	Deposit required (note 1)	\$5,640.00	\$5,747.00	\$5,747.16
Application for alteration to designation – notified	Deposit required (note 1)	\$2,000.00	\$2,038.00	\$2,038.00
Application for alteration to designation – non-notified	Deposit required (note 1)	\$650.00	\$662.00	\$662.35
Cancellation/change of consent conditions	Deposit required (note 1)	\$300.00	\$306.00	\$305.70
Resource consent extension (s125)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$300.00	\$306.00	\$305.70
Right of Way application (s348 LGA)	Deposit required (note 1)	\$300.00	\$306.00	\$305.70
Outline plans for designations	Deposit required (note 1)	\$500.00	\$510.00	\$509.50
Waiver for requirement of Outline Plan	Deposit required (note 1)	\$250.00	\$255.00	\$254.75
Hard copy of District Plan (available free on RDC website)		\$222.00	\$226.00	\$226.22
RMA hearing deposit	Deposit required (note 1)	\$2,200.00	\$2,242.00	\$2,241.80

		2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Charges for Council Staff (per hour or part thereof)				

Administration/Committee Administration Staff		\$105.00	\$107.00	\$107.00
Planning Officer/Consents Planner		\$150.00	\$153.00	\$152.85
Senior Planner		\$190.00	\$194.00	\$193.61
Technical and professional staff from all other Council units		\$190.00	\$194.00	\$193.61
Manager		\$220.00	\$224.00	\$224.18
Technical experts (external)			At costs + disbursements	
Consultant planner			At costs + disbursements	
Commissioner		At cost + disbursements	At cost + disbursements	At cost + disbursements
All advertising, consultant and solicitor fees associated with all work types including processing of a consent or certificate (including specialist technical or legal advice) and new Notice of Requirements, designation alterations, removal of designations and District Plan changes		At cost + disbursements	At cost + disbursements	At cost + disbursements

Notes:

1 Council will recover its reasonable costs and a deposit is required which will be off set against the final invoice. However, Council cannot guarantee the final invoice amount that will be due to recover its reasonable costs.

2 The fixed fee will apply only if the application is lodged as complete (i.e. written approvals, a site plan and assessment of environmental effects are included) and no further information requests are required.

3 Cost and time of travel by staff is included in the fees. Additional fees will be charged to cover other actual and reasonable costs incurred at the applicable staff charge-out rate together with the costs associated with employing the services of professional consultants where necessary.

Note: The chargeout rate for staff undergoing training who handle a consent application will be at the rate applicable to that staff member not whoever is providing the supervision.

4 Any difference will be payable/refundable once a decision has been made on the application as per the relevant section of the Resource Management Act 1991. Actual and reasonable costs associated with any resource consent hearing will be recovered from the applicant.

5 Other charges for Certificates, monitoring of Resource Consents, processing various applications, providing information in respect of Plans and Consents and the supply of information to be charged at the applicable staff charge-out rate.

6 Interim invoices for the processing of Resource Consents may be generated when costs exceed the deposit paid.

Dog Registration Fees

Set by Council in accordance with Section 37 and 68 of the Dog Control Act 1996. The Act makes provision to fix reduced fees for dogs under a specified age (not exceeding 12 months). However, Council has not made provision for reduced fees for young dogs/pups.

	1.9%		
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Registration fees			
Working dogs	\$39.00	\$40.00	\$39.74
Working dogs (late payment)	\$58.50	\$60.00	\$59.61
Non working dogs	\$120.00	\$122.00	\$122.28
Non working dogs (late payment)	\$180.00	\$183.00	\$183.42
Non working dogs de-sexed	\$80.00	\$82.00	\$81.52
Non working dogs de-sexed (late payment)	\$120.00	\$123.00	\$122.28
Good owner dog	\$56.00	\$57.00	\$57.06
Good owner dog (late payment)	\$180.00	\$183.00	\$183.42
Dangerous Dogs			
Section 32(1)(e) of the Dog Control Act, Effect of classification as dangerous dog states "...must, in respect of every registration year commencing after the date of receipt of the notice of classification, be liable for dog control fees for that dog at 150% of the level that would apply if the dog were not classified as a dangerous dog".			
Impounding Charges			
Impounding first offence (within 12 month period)	\$125.00	\$127.00	\$127.38
Impounding second offence (within 12 month period)	\$175.00	\$178.00	\$178.33
Impounding third offence (within 12 month period)	\$225.00	\$229.00	\$229.28
Sustenance - per day	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$12.23
Destruction fee – per dog	\$34.00	\$35.00	\$34.65
Other fees		\$0.00	\$0.00
Replacement tags	No charge	No charge	No charge
Micro-chipping and registration onto National Dog Database	\$40.00	\$40.00	\$40.76

Note 1:

The Dog Control Act 1996 does not allow Council to levy separate fees for application and monitoring in respect of Approved Good Owner Classification but does allow Council to set fees having regard to the relative cost of registration and monitoring. Therefore, these fees have been incorporated into the fees applicable to Approved Good Owner Classifications.

Stock Impounding

Set by Council in accordance with sections 14, 15 and 33(3) of the Impounding Act 1955	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Poundage Fees			
Sheep, goats (per animal)	\$20.00	\$20.00	\$20.38
Cattle, horses, deer, pigs	\$44.00	\$45.00	\$44.84
These charges are to be doubled for impound of stock of any owner that are impounded more than once in a 12 month period			

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Sustenance Charges (per day or part thereof)*			
Sheep, goats (per animal)	\$6.00	\$6.00	\$6.11
Cattle, horses, deer, pigs	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$12.23
* or actual expenses, if higher			

Trespass charges, where applicable, are prescribed by clause 7 of the Impounding Regulations 1981.

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Driving Charges			
Float Hire/Transport	At cost	At cost	
Callout	Fee will be based on recovery of actual and reasonable costs incurred associated with the callout – minimum charge of \$162.00	Fee will be based on recovery of actual and reasonable costs incurred associated with the callout – minimum charge of \$160.00	

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Animal Control Miscellaneous fees			
Costs associated with, but not limited to, tagging (NAIT), vet treatment, inspection, supplementary feeding or animal husbandry will be charged at cost plus hourly rate for staff time if applicable.	Actual cost + staff time	Actual cost + staff time	

Storage of Hazardous Substances

% Increase

1.9%

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Charge out rate for carrying out any of the enforcement functions required by section 97 (h) of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (per hour)	\$192.00	\$196.00	\$195.65

Noise Control

	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Charge to property owner for every call out attended by Council's noise control contractors where in the view of the officer a noise reduction instruction was warranted	\$71.00	\$72.00	\$72.35
Charge to complainant for unsubstantiated complaint where the complainant has lodged three previous unsubstantiated complaints within the preceding 12 months	\$71.00	\$72.00	\$72.35

Misc Permits

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2016/2017	Unrounded
Certificates under the Overseas Investment Act			
Set in accordance with Section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002	\$131.00	\$133.00	\$133.49
Return of Property Seized Pursuant to Section 328 of the Resource Management Act 1991			
Set in accordance with Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002	\$191.00	\$195.00	\$194.63
Gambling Venue Consent – Application Fee			
Set in accordance with Section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002	\$191.00	\$195.00	\$194.63
Costs associated with removal of dumped rubbish			
Set in accordance with Section 150 of the Local Government Act 2002	Actual cost + staff time	Actual cost + staff time	

Water Charges - Urban

	% Increase	1.9%	
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Extra Ordinary Consumers (Water by Meter)			
Refer to Rates Notice			
Taihape untreated water per m ³	\$1.45	\$1.45	\$1.48
Ordinary supply – 20mm diameter – domestic only, per single dwelling unit to property boundary, maximum overall length 5m, unmetered, manifold	\$1,250	\$1,274.00	\$1,273.75
Connection will be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. Installation will occur after payment in full is received by the Council.	Plus proportionate share of the UAC due for the balance of the year	Plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for water (connected) due for the balance of the year	
Extra Ordinary supply – all other connections to property boundary	Quote	Quote	
Connections shall be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. An installation quotation will be provided to the applicant and installation will occur after payment in full is received by Council.	Plus proportionate share of the UAC due for the balance of the year	Plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for water (connected) due for the balance of the year	
Disconnection Fees (including restrictors)			
All types of supply - Per disconnection			
Includes all work to disconnect service. Work shall be undertaken by Rangitikei District Council.			
Where applicable, a final meter reading shall be taken and the applicant will be responsible for payment of water consumed to the date of disconnection.	\$275.00	\$280.00	\$280.23
Reconnection Fees (including restrictors)			
Per reconnection	Quote based on investigation	Quote based on investigation	
Bulk Water Sales			
Marton – located in King Street			
Taihape – located behind Town Hall			
Bulls – (to be installed)			
One free tanker load per year supplied from the Council for each unconnected property in the District (freight not covered)	\$3.10 per m ³ plus \$6.20 per load	\$3.10 per m ³ plus \$6.20 per load	
Access is via PIN for pre approved contractors			

Rural Water Schemes	2015/2016	2016/2017	Unrounded
Refer also to Rates Notice			
<p>Rural Water Schemes are managed entirely by Committees established by the users of each scheme. The fees and charges are set by the relevant Committee based upon the cost of running the schemes shared equitably by the users of that scheme.</p> <p>Hunternville Rural Water Scheme</p> <p>10% penalty will be incurred on late payment. Reconnection fee of \$500.00.</p>			

Stormwater - Urban

% Increase

1.9%

	2016/2017	2016/2017	Unrounded
Connection Fees			
<i>100mm diameter – Domestic consumers only, per single dwelling unit to property boundar, total length up to 10m, galvanised kerb outlet</i>	\$575.00	\$586.00	\$585.93
Connections shall be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. Installation will occur after payment in full is received by Council.	plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for stormwater (urban) due for the balance of the year	plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for stormwater (urban) due for the balance of the year	
<i>All other connections to property boundary</i>	Quote	Quote	
Connections shall be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. An installation quotation will be provided to the applicant and installation will occur after payment in full is received by Council.	plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for stormwater (urban) due for the balance of the year	plus proportionate share of the targeted rate for stormwater (urban) due for the balance of the year	
Disconnection Fees			
Per disconnection, capped at boundary	Quote based on investigation	Quote based on investigation	
Reconnection Fees			
Per reconnection	Quote based on investigation	Quote based on investigation	

Wastewater

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2016/2017	Unrounded
Extra Ordinary Consumers			
Refer to Rates Notice			
Volumetric wastewater charges			
Base charge per water meter connection - charged per 3-month period includes 76m ³ of flow use per period	678.14	\$691.02	\$691.02
Domestic institutional wastewater discharge consumption is calculated at 80% of the volume of water used as measured by water meter (This cost excludes Trade waste) This rate applies to domestic institutions (e.g. nursing homes) where water consumption exceeds the normal consumption for a single house.	2.23	\$2.27	\$2.27
Connection and Reconnection Fees			
All connections and reconnections	Quote based on investigation	Quote based on investigation	
Connections shall be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. A quote will be provided based on investigation. Installation will occur after payment in full is received by Council. Cost is highly dependent on depth of connection, length of lateral and mains diameter.	plus proportionate share of the targeted wastewater (connected) rate due for the balance of the year	plus proportionate share of the targeted wastewater (connected) rate due for the balance of the year	
All other connections to property boundary	Quote	Quote	
Connections shall be installed by the Rangitikei District Council. An installation quotation will be provided to the applicant and installation will occur after payment in full is received by Council.	plus proportionate share of the targeted wastewater (connected) rate due for the balance of the year	plus proportionate share of the targeted wastewater (connected) rate due for the balance of the year	
Disconnection Fees			
Per disconnection	\$250.00	\$255.00	\$254.75
Septage Discharge Fee			
Per cubic metre	\$23.00	\$23.00	\$23.44
Trade Waste Charges			
Flow per cubic metre	\$1.00	\$1.02	\$1.02
BOD per kg	\$0.60	\$0.61	\$0.61
COD per kg	\$0.60	\$0.61	\$0.61
TSS per kg	\$0.65	\$0.66	\$0.66
Phosphorous charge per kg	\$30.00	\$31.00	\$30.57
Ammoniacal nitrogen per kg	\$30.00	\$31.00	\$30.57
Other Trade Waste Charges			
Trade Waste Consent (includes first 2 hours of processing)	\$200.00	\$204.00	\$203.80
Consent processing fee (cost per hour)	\$100.00	\$102.00	\$101.90
Annual compliance monitoring	\$380.00	\$387.00	\$387.22
Re-inspection fees (per inspections)	\$100.00	\$102.00	\$101.90
Oil or Grease trap inspection and annual monitoring (cost per visit)	\$65.00	\$66.00	\$66.24

Solid Waste

		2016/2017	2016/2017	2017/2018	2017/2018
Refuse bag charges (60 litre volume)	Only accepted at RTS	\$2.50	\$1.20	\$2.60	\$1.20
Waste Transfer Station		Refuse	Greenwaste Marton, Bulls, Taihape	Refuse	Greenwaste Marton, Bulls, Taihape
Wheelie bin		\$11.50	\$6.00	\$12.00	\$6.10
Car boot		\$16.50	\$8.70	\$17.30	\$8.80
Van/station-wagon		\$27.50	\$13.80	\$29.00	\$14.00
Trucks		\$129.00	\$64.50/tonne	\$136.00/tonne	\$65.70/tonne
Small trailer (deck)	All subject to standard weighbridge charge \$129.00/tonne where this information is available. Where information is not available, these prices will be used.	\$35.00	\$17.50	\$36.50	\$17.80
Medium (deck up to 2.4 m long)		\$43.50	\$22.00	\$45.50	\$22.40
Large (deck up to 3.0 m long)		\$64.50	\$32.00	\$67.50	\$32.60
Overloads (loads greater than 1.5m in height) - extra \$6.00		\$76.50	\$38.00	\$80.00	\$38.70
Oversize (deck over 3.0m long)		\$127.00	\$63.50		\$64.70
Overloads (loads greater than 1.5m in height) - extra \$21.00		\$165.00	\$84.50		\$86.00

	2016/2017	2017/2018
Other chargeable items		
Hazardous waste (household quantities - max 20 litres/kilos (Marton, Bulls, Taihape WTSs only)	\$0.00	\$0.00
Fridges and freezers - degassing fee	\$16.70	\$16.90
Whiteware - except refrigeration (each)	\$0.00	\$0.00
Microwave/small appliances	\$0.00	\$0.00
TVs	\$25.00	\$25.20
Monitors	\$15.00	\$15.10
E-waste desktop/VCRs/Fax/Scanners/Printers/UPS	\$5.50	\$5.50
Tyres - car	\$7.80	\$7.90
Tyres - 4x4	\$8.40	\$8.50
Tyres - light truck less than 50 kg	\$13.00	\$13.10
Tyres - long-haul vehicle	\$15.50	\$22.00
Tyres - tractor	\$90.00	\$91.00
Automotive oil (per litre in excess of 20 litres)	\$0.3/litre	\$0.3/litre
Gas bottles (each)	\$5.20	\$5.20
Fluorescent tubes (each)	\$0.00	\$0.00
Eco bulbs (each)	\$0.00	\$0.00
PCBs per kg (fluorescent light ballasts)	\$66.00/kg	\$66.60
Paint 4 litre pail (each)	\$2.00	\$2.00
Paint 10 litre pail (each)	\$4.50	\$4.50

	2016/2017	
Recycling accepted - no gate charge (Marton, Bulls, Taihape and Ratana)		
Paper and cardboard - unsoiled	\$0.00	\$0.00
Glass bottles and jars - colour sorted	\$0.00	\$0.00
Tins and cans - rinsed clean	\$0.00	\$0.00
Plastics 1-6 - rinsed clean	\$0.00	\$0.00
Metals (charges may apply if scrap incurs handling charges)		

	2016/2017	
Recyclables not accepted for recycling		
Plastic bags	Refuse rate	Refuse rate
Plastic wrap	Refuse rate	Refuse rate
Food contaminated recyclables	Refuse rate	Refuse rate
Hazardous waste contaminated recyclables	Refuse rate	Refuse rate

Roading

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Road Opening Application Fee			
Excavations in road, footpath, berm or road reserve – including Network Utility Operators and trenchless technology	\$257.00	\$262.00	\$261.88
Licence fee	\$128.00	\$130.00	\$130.43
Road Encroachments Survey and Documentation	Actual cost	Actual cost	
Kerb Opening/Vehicle Crossing Inspection Fee (private works)	\$257.00	\$262.00	\$261.88
Stock Underpass Street Opening Inspection Fee	\$257.00	\$262.00	\$261.88
All work in road to be done by Council-approved contractor			

Miscellaneous

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Council publications, (draft Annual Plan, Annual Plan, Annual Report, Long Term Council Community plan, Activity Management Plans)			
To District residents and ratepayers	Free	Free	
To non ratepayers and non residents (reproduction costs)	Actual cost	Actual cost	
Customer Services			
<i>Photocopying charges</i>			
Black and white A4	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.20
Black and white A3	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.51
Black and white A2	\$3.00	\$3.00	\$3.06
Black and white A1	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$4.08
Colour A4	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$4.08
Colour A3	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$7.13
Electronic GIS copies	No charge	No charge	
District Electoral Roll			
Full District listing	\$83.00	\$85.00	\$84.58
Full Ward Listing (each)	\$42.00	\$43.00	\$42.80
Rural Numbers			
Application and placement of rural numbers	No charge	No charge	
Replacement rural number plates	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$25.48
Valuation Rolls/Rating Information Database			
One booklet for the whole District	\$259.00	\$264.00	\$263.92
Electronic version	\$135.00	\$138.00	\$137.57
Rural Fire			
Burn-off supervision by the Rural Fire Officer – per hour	\$94.00	\$96.00	\$95.79

Community Housing	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Rental rates apply to superannuant tenants only. Council reserves the right to charge non-superannuants a market rent for the housing units. Adjustment to rents in Council's community housing must be made in accordance with the requirements of section 24 of the Residential Tenancies Act 1986. Typically this means that a change to rents for existing tenants will not occur for two months after Council adopts the			
Single	\$98.00	\$100.00	\$99.86
Double	\$160.00	\$163.00	\$163.04
Fully renovated unit - Single	\$125.00	\$127.00	\$127.38

Official Information Request

Official information requests are able to be made to the Council by any person, in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

Council reserves the right to charge for this information as follows:

	% Increase		1.9%
	2016/2017	2017/2018	Unrounded
Official Information Request			
Staff time – first hour	Free	Free	
Staff time – each subsequent half hour (after the first hour)	\$40.00	\$41.00	\$40.76
Photocopying – first 20 pages	Free	Free	
Photocopying – each subsequent page (after the first 20 pages)	Current charges apply	Current charges apply	
Other actual and reasonable costs	At cost	At cost	

(These charges are drawn from guidelines issued by the Ministry of Justice on Official Information Act requests.)

A deposit may be required where the estimated cost of the request exceeds \$76.00.

Charges may be modified or waived at the Council's discretion.

Attachment 5

REPORT

SUBJECT: **Consent Compliance – December 2016**

TO: Assets/Infrastructure Committee

FROM: Hamish Waugh, Group Manager – Infrastructure

DATE: 1 February 2017

FILE: 5-EX-3

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This report is a summary of Rangitikei District Council's compliance with resource consent conditions from Horizons Regional Council, for the December 2016 period. Information on compliance has been derived from our Water Outlook system, and where applicable, communications with compliance monitoring officers at Horizons.
- 1.2 Note that in 2016 compliance reports have been forwarded to Greg Bevin, Horizons Regulatory Manager, to keep Horizons informed of progress towards full compliance. Greg Bevin has requested specific progress reporting on agreed compliance actions for Hunterville and Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plants. The specific detail requested is included as an appendix to this consent compliance report.

2 Water Supply

- 2.1 Table 1 shows the compliance of each water supply scheme against consent conditions. Only those schemes for which Rangitikei District Council is the consent holder have been shown.

Table 1: Consent Compliance – Water Supply

Scheme	Compliance December 2016	Comments	Actions
Marton	Water abstraction consents. Compliant	-	-
	WTP discharge consent. Consent Renewal Application lodged	The volume of the combined filter backwash & alum sludge discharge to the settling ponds is used as a surrogate measure for flow discharged from the ponds as actual outflow cannot be measured. This surrogate measure has typically been higher than the consent outflow limit and this is addressed in the renewal application.	A consent renewal application was lodged on 12 August 2016. The renewal application has sought an optimisation of the activity authorised by the existing consent, rather than a change in activity, as this has been identified as being appropriate to address environmental effects.
Taihape	Compliant	-	-
Bulls	Compliant	-	-
Mangaweka	Non-Compliant	Abstraction rate exceeded during the day of 31/12. This is believed to be due to full campgrounds on New Years Eve and an unusually high demand.	Horizons have been informed of this exceedance.
Ratana	Not assessed	Abstraction rate monitoring not in place at existing bore. Consent to use new bore for production has been acquired.	Design and construction of treatment plant underway. Plant operation not expected to commence until 2017.
Erewhon Rural	Compliant	-	-
Hunternville Rural	Compliant	-	-
Omatane Rural	Compliant	-	-

3 Wastewater

3.1 Compliance against consents is shown per wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in the table below.

Table 2: Consent Compliance – Wastewater Treatment Plants

Scheme	Compliance December 2016	Comments	Actions
Marton	Compliant	-	-
Taihape	Non-compliant	<p>Non-compliant with respect to flow volume and rate during occasions in December 2016.</p> <p>Compliant with respect to numerical determinand levels in the December 2016 downstream sample – although samples exceeds parameters, these are also exceeded upstream of the discharge point.</p>	<p>A compliance pathway for this treatment plant has been agreed with Horizons Regulatory Manager. Reporting requirements from this agreement are included as appendix to this report.</p>
Bulls	Not Assessed	A consent renewal application has been lodged with Horizons, and responses have been supplied to all Horizons requests for further information	<p>On 22 November Horizons advised RDC staff that they are still unable to provide a on their intended approach and timeframes for processing this consent. No progress since this time.</p>
Mangaweka	Compliant	-	-
Huntermville	Non-compliant	<p>Regular exceedances of the maximum daily discharge volume have been recorded in December 2016.</p> <p>However despite the above, ongoing RDC ecological monitoring upstream and downstream of the Wastewater treatment Plant continues to demonstrate no adverse effects.</p>	<p>A draft consent variation was lodged on 1 December 2016 in order that the consent will reflect the accurate maximum daily discharge volume.</p> <p>This action is part of the compliance pathway for this treatment plant that has been agreed with Horizons Regulatory Manager. Reporting requirements from this agreement are included as appendix to this report.</p>

Scheme	Compliance December 2016	Comments	Actions
Ratana	Compliant	-	-
Koitiata	Compliant	Irrigation field is in place.	Surface irrigation structure has been built and is operational. Fencing and signage is still to be constructed around the irrigation field.

4 Recommendation

4.1 That the report 'Consent compliance – December 2016' be received.

Appendix 1

Appendix – Hunterville and Taihape WWTP Agreed Compliance Pathway Progress Reporting

Purpose

This appendix reports RDC's progress against the compliance pathway agreed with Horizons Regional Council for Hunterville and Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plants, and as set out in the letter delivered by Ross McNeil to Michael McCartney at the Horizons Environment Committee Meeting of 11 May 2016.

It has been agreed that monthly progress reports will continue to be provided to Greg Bevin, Horizons Regulatory Manager.

Progress for Reporting Period 1 December 2016 to 1 January 2017

Progress for the reporting period is set out in Table 3.

Table 3: Progress for Reporting Period 1 December 2016 to 1 January 2017

Horizons Requested Progress Reporting Categories	Hunterville Wastewater Treatment Plant	Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plant
Actions completed in reporting period	A draft consent variation was lodged with Horizons on 1 December 2016.	Fine tuning of the operation of the clarifier has been taking place since installation on 1 November.
Planned Actions for the next reporting period	Horizons are to review the draft and provide feedback.	Fine tuning of the operation of the clarifier and collection of preliminary data is continuing.
Issues confronted/identified	No issues to report at the present time.	The agreement with Horizons was for the clarifier to be operating long enough to allow intensive environmental monitoring to occur. Due to delays, as reported previously, the winter sampling period has been missed.
Timeframes for resolving issues confronted/identified	No issues to report at the present time.	RDC have committed to lodging a draft application on 1 March 2017, but it has been agreed with Horizons that additional data will be necessary to proceed with confidence on a suite of conditions of consent that can both be fully complied with, while appropriately managing any actual and potential environmental effects. It has been agreed with Horizons that RDC will continue to monitor both plant performance, and in stream effects, over the winter of 2017, with the expectation that there will be sufficient data to advance the draft application by 1 October 2017.

REPORT

SUBJECT: **Consent Compliance – January 2017**

TO: Assets/Infrastructure Committee

FROM: Hamish Waugh, Group Manager – Infrastructure

DATE: 1 February 2017

FILE: 5-EX-3

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This report is a summary of Rangitikei District Council's compliance with resource consent conditions from Horizons Regional Council, for the January 2017 period. Information on compliance has been derived from our Water Outlook system, and where applicable, communications with compliance monitoring officers at Horizons.
- 1.2 Note that in 2016 compliance reports have been forwarded to Greg Bevin, Horizons Regulatory Manager, to keep Horizons informed of progress towards full compliance. Greg Bevin has requested specific progress reporting on agreed compliance actions for Hunterville and Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plants. The specific detail requested is included as an appendix to this consent compliance report.

2 Water Supply

- 2.1 Table 1 shows the compliance of each water supply scheme against consent conditions. Only those schemes for which Rangitikei District Council is the consent holder have been shown.

Table 1: Consent Compliance – Water Supply

Scheme	Compliance January 2017	Comments	Actions
Marton	Water abstraction consents. Compliant	-	-
	WTP discharge consent. Consent Renewal Application lodged	The volume of the combined filter backwash & alum sludge discharge to the settling ponds is used as a surrogate measure for flow discharged from the ponds as actual outflow cannot be measured. This surrogate measure has typically been higher than the consent outflow limit and this is addressed in the renewal application.	A consent renewal application was lodged on 12 August 2016. The renewal application has sought an optimisation of the activity authorised by the existing consent, rather than a change in activity, as this has been identified as being appropriate to address environmental effects.
Taihape	Compliant	-	-
Bulls	Compliant	-	-
Mangaweka	Compliant	-	-
Ratana	Not assessed	Abstraction rate monitoring not in place at existing bore. Consent to use new bore for production has been acquired.	Design and construction of treatment plant underway. Plant operation not expected to commence until 2017.
Erewhon Rural	Compliant	-	-
Huntermville Rural	Compliant	-	-
Omatane Rural	Compliant	-	-

3 Wastewater

3.1 Compliance against consents is shown per wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in the table below.

Table 2: Consent Compliance – Wastewater Treatment Plants

Scheme	Compliance December 2016	Comments	Actions
Marton	Non-Compliant	The downstream samples shows BOD at a detection level of <6 g/m ³ indicating that the level may be within the compliant range, however this is unable to be substantiated.	Detection limits are being discussed with the laboratory so that more accurate results can be obtained, if possible.
Taihape	Non-compliant	Non-compliant with respect to flow volume and rate during occasions in January 2017.	A compliance pathway for this treatment plant has been agreed with Horizons Regulatory Manager. Reporting requirements from this agreement are included as appendix to this report.
Bulls	Not Assessed	A consent renewal application has been lodged with Horizons, and responses have been supplied to all Horizons requests for further information	On 22 November Horizons advised RDC staff that they are still unable to provide a on their intended approach and timeframes for processing this consent. No progress since this time.
Mangaweka	Non-compliant	The level of TSS in the effluent sample in January has caused the median compliance level to be exceeded during this month.	Despite the exceedance of TSS in the effluent, the instream samples taken in January 2017 show that the downstream sample is lower in TSS than the upstream sample.

Scheme	Compliance December 2016	Comments	Actions
Huntermville	Non-compliant	<p>Regular exceedances of the maximum daily discharge volume have been recorded in January 2017.</p> <p>However despite the above, ongoing RDC ecological monitoring upstream and downstream of the Wastewater treatment Plant continues to demonstrate no adverse effects.</p>	<p>A draft consent variation was lodged on 1 December 2016 in order that the consent will reflect the accurate maximum daily discharge volume.</p> <p>This action is part of the compliance pathway for this treatment plant that has been agreed with Horizons Regulatory Manager. Reporting requirements from this agreement are included as appendix to this report.</p>
Ratana	Compliant	<p>Compliant for January 2017 based on quarterly sample taken in December 2016. Next sample to be taken in March 2017.</p> <p>End of period statistics show that numerical standards that apply to five RDC effluent sampling parameters have been achieved.</p>	-
Koitiata	Compliant	Irrigation field is in place.	Surface irrigation structure has been built and is operational. Fencing and signage is still to be constructed around the irrigation field.

4 Recommendation

4.1 That the report 'Consent compliance – January 2017' be received.

Appendix 1

Appendix – Hunterville and Taihape WWTP Agreed Compliance Pathway Progress Reporting

Purpose

This appendix reports RDC's progress against the compliance pathway agreed with Horizons Regional Council for Hunterville and Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plants, and as set out in the letter delivered by Ross McNeil to Michael McCartney at the Horizons Environment Committee Meeting of 11 May 2016.

It has been agreed that monthly progress reports will continue to be provided to Greg Bevin, Horizons Regulatory Manager.

Progress for Reporting Period 1 January 2017 to 1 February 2017

Progress for the reporting period is set out in Table 3.

Table 3: Progress for Reporting Period 1 January 2017 to 1 February 2017

Horizons Requested Progress Reporting Categories	Hunterville Wastewater Treatment Plant	Taihape Wastewater Treatment Plant
Actions completed in reporting period	A draft consent variation was lodged with Horizons on 1 December 2016.	Fine tuning of the operation of the clarifier has been taking place since installation on 1 November.
Planned Actions for the next reporting period	Horizons have reviewed the draft and provided feedback. A high level meeting between RDC and Horizons is scheduled for late February.	Fine tuning of the operation of the clarifier and collection of preliminary data continues. A high level meeting between RDC and Horizons is scheduled for late February.
Issues confronted/identified	No issues to report at the present time.	The agreement with Horizons was for the clarifier to be operating long enough to allow intensive environmental monitoring to occur. Due to delays, as reported previously, the winter sampling period has been missed.
Timeframes for resolving issues confronted/identified	No issues to report at the present time.	RDC have committed to lodging a draft application on 1 March 2017, but it has been agreed with Horizons that additional data will be necessary to proceed with confidence on a suite of conditions of consent that can both be fully complied with, while appropriately managing any actual and potential environmental effects. It has been agreed with Horizons that RDC will continue to monitor both plant performance, and in stream effects, over the winter of 2017, with the expectation that there will be sufficient data to advance the draft application by 1 October 2017.