**Chairs report** 

I along with Ruth and Angus I attended a joint meeting with Manawatu District Councillors regarding the Mangaweka bridge indicative business case. It does open your eyes as to the amount of research that's needed to finalise location, widths, weights, and also what happens to the old Bridge. We talked about whether the bridge should be built on the existing bridges site, or on the north or south side of the existing bridge. I think the majority decision was to build the bridge on the northern side and retain the old Bridge. You certainly do come to realise why the investigation costs are so high, I personally don't think a lot of this is needed but unfortunately all the boxes have to be ticked to get NZTA funding.

The opportunity to take advantage of the enhanced FAR subsidy to 85% should be seriously looked at to complete stage two of the LED lighting replacement. It's a large amount to find but along with that subsidy and power savings that will be achieved it should make this a very good investment.

It is with sadness and regret to inform you that Mark Duxfield whom was manager of the Hunterville transfer station has lost his fight with a short battle with cancer. Mark passed away on 27 April.

Dean McManaway

## TABLED DOCUMENT

Tabled at ASSETS & Inflastnucteure on\_ 11/5/17

Sconned

## 

Report	Tabled at Mys/ Minuther Romstitike
Subject:	Award of Contract 1057 00 10 Mu 2014
То:	Assets/Infrastructure Committee
From:	Gaylene Prince, Community & Leisure Services Team Leader
Date:	9 May 2017
File:	C1057 - Taihape Swim Centre Filtration and Heating

#### 1 Background

- 1.1 At its meeting on 27 April 2017, Council delegated authority to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee to award the contract for the upgrade of filtration and heating at the Taihape Swim Centre.
- 1.2 The purpose of the upgrade is to improve and update the Centre's aging heating and treatment facilities and ancillary facilities to as far as possible comply with the relevant New Zealand Standards, modern swimming pool water treatment and building infrastructure practice, while minimising capital and operational costs.
- 1.3 The tender documents were compiled by Stu Clark, New Zealand Environmental Technologies Ltd, along with technical input from Council staff. An open market tender was undertaken.

#### 2 Scope of Works

- 2.1 The upgrade includes:
- 2.1.1 Install separate water treatment and filtration systems for Learners and Toddlers pools to meet NZS4441 requirements, including constructing a separate plant room,
- 2.1.2 Rationalising the disinfectant regime for all three pools including automatic residual control,
- 2.1.3 Replacing the water heating system for all three pools to meet the individual temperature requirements for each pool,
- 2.1.4 Replace the pool lighting system with LED lights, install additional lights and move lighting control to the office,
- 2.1.5 Provide discharge from the new (learners and toddlers pool) filtration plant to the abandoned wastewater pump station located to the rear of the existing plant room,

## 

- 2.1.6 Replace the cobblestones around the learner/toddlers pool area with concrete slab, and
- 2.1.7 Supply and install an industrial quality ventilation fan to the existing and new plant rooms.

#### 3 Funding

- 3.1 The Consultation Document for the 2017/18 Annual Plan notes the estimated cost of the upgrade as \$430,000. Additional funding was also allocated in 2016/17 for the works in para. 2.1.6 (\$20,812) and 2.1.7 (\$28,812) and this programmed work was included in the Request for Tender documentation.
- 3.2 Taihape Community Development Trust has committed funds of \$125,000.
- 3.3 As part of the (Unconfirmed) 2017/18 Annual Plan deliberations, Council made provision to complete the upgrade at the Taihape Swim Centre with an additional budget of \$200,000 (reserve funded).

#### 4 Evaluation

- 4.1 Tenders for this contract were evaluated according to the following weighted attributes method.
- 4.2 (a) Relevant prior experience –(10)
  - (b) Track record –(10)
  - (c) Technical & Management Skills (5)
  - (d) Equipment Specifications (15)
  - (e) Methodology –(5)
  - (f) Price (55).
- 4.3 Tender Evaluation team:

George McIrvine, Finance & Business Support Manager Andrew van Bussel, Operations Manager Gaylene Prince, Community & Leisure Services Team Leader Stu Clark, NZ Environmental Technologies Ltd

- 4.4 Two tenders were received, from Filtration and Pumping Commercial Limited (FPC), and Ian Coombs Limited. Both firms are experienced in swimming pool infrastructure upgrades.
- 4.5 Both tenders were evaluated as conforming to the tender specification.
- 4.6 The tenders were both within the allocated budget.
- 4.7 As both tenderers offered a range of options, the tenders were reduced to the lowest conforming options for the purposes of price comparison. This did not

change the Ian Coombes Ltd price of \$249,500 but reduced the FPC price from the tendered sum of \$372,707, to \$315,692 for price comparison purposes.

#### 5 Conclusion

- 5.1 Using the weighted attributes scoring the price was still the overriding factor. Although FPC are a local firm and have experience with the swim centre, having supplied the original water treatment equipment, and offered in some aspects of the tender, superior plant, (for example stainless steel vs plastic filters), the alternative equipment was considered still fit for purpose (although it was ranked lower of the Equipment offered attribute).
- 5.2 The price difference along with other aspects of both bids indicated a clear preference for the Ian Coombes bid which was ranked at 84% compared to the FPC bid at 77%.
- 5.3 It is noted that the tendered price is based on the de minimis conforming specification provided by the tenderer. There are two items which need to be negotiated in the plant and equipment. This includes concrete block building (as opposed to "garden shed") an additional \$15,000, and a medium pressure UV treatment plant an additional \$48,000. This item was suggested by Ian Coombes as providing a superior treatment to kill viruses and the more difficult bugs. The evaluation panel agrees with that.
- 5.4 The total additional cost of these items including an allowance for a 20% contingency (i.e. \$62,400) is a further \$125,400. With this additional expenditure, the total contract price is at least \$55,100 under the 2017/18 Annual Plan estimated cost.

#### 6 Recommendation

- 6.1 That the report 'Award of Contract 1057' be received.
- 6.2 That, under delegated authority from Council, the Assets/Infrastructure Committee awards Contract 1057 to Ian Coombes Limited for \$374,900 being the tendered price of \$249,500 excluding GST plus \$125,400 for the concrete block shed and medium pressure UV treatment together with 20% contingency.

Gaylene Prince Community & Leisure Services Team Leader

Attribute	Weight IC	FPC		Comments	IC	FPC
NPV - Grade	55.0%	55%	43%	lowest x 10/ tendered price	\$259,115	315692
Value	1 Contraction	10	8			
				Ring 3 x referees for each. Confidential		
				comments- can be released to		
Track Record	10%	8%	6%	independent audit		
Value		8	6			
Previous experience	10%	7%	9%	Number of years / number of projects		
Value	· Hiterration	7	9			
Management Skills and Systems	5%	4%	3%	Planning proposed timing contract team		
Value		8	6			
				For pricing comparison have reduced		
				equipment to basics, FPC score higher for		
Equipment offered	15%	6%	12%	SS as opposed to plastic filters	2.00	
			0			
Value		4	8	FDC good datailing around pool budraulin		
				FPC good detailing around pool hydraulic	5	
				and good filtration equipment, IC useful		
		407	407	extras on site gen, and UV's, IC heat		
Methodology	5%	4%	4%	pumps larger	74	
Value		8	8			
Overall Tender Grade		84%	77%			
	100%			1		



## Memorandum

1 Anna

19.4

То:	Assets/Infrastructure Committee	TABLED DOCUMENT
From:	Nardia Gower	
Date:	11 May 2017	Tabled at Assets/Infrostructure
Subject:	Questions of Activity Management Te	mplates 11/5/17.
File:	5-EX-3-2	

#### 1 Background

- 1.1 The purpose of this memorandum is to collate questions asked about information contained in the Activity Management Templates and the answers provided.
- 1.2 Questions around other information provided in the order paper are also collated here.

#### 2 Roading & Footpaths Group

Question	Answer
<ul> <li>In the Agenda: Assets And Infrastructure Committee Meeting - Thursday 11 May 2017 on Page 5 it reads <ul> <li><i>Kerb and Channel to be funded by</i> <i>Kerb (Drainage) Renewals 17/18</i></li> <li><i>Footpath to be funded by</i> <i>unsubsidised Footpath Renewals. In</i> <i>sufficient budget in 17/18 footpath</i> <i>programme to completely renew</i> <i>footpaths therefore footpaths</i> <i>renewals will be constructed in</i> <i>18/19 and 19/20, western side first.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>Question: On the 15 Sept we were told that the footpath would be postponed until 2017/18. Why is it now being thrown out a further 2 years? People continually approach me about this terrible kerb and channel, one of whom fell in it when it was full of water, I have told the people involved that this will be fixed next year as we were told on the 15 Sept. Why do the goal posts keep changing? This is not a good look. My question to all</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The project did not proceed during 2016/17 for the following reasons;</li> <li>Design issues relating to the sizing and location of the water-main.</li> <li>Design issues relating to storm water at the Hammond St/Broadway roundabout.</li> <li>Protracted liaison with Chorus, InspireNet, and FXNetwork regarding their fibre optic installation programme. It should be noted that these companies have limited resources and their main priorities are the RoNS project at Kapiti, also Kaikura and Bay of Plenty following the recent Civil Defence Emergency events.</li> <li>The footpath renewal budget is constrained.</li> <li>See attached report for project update, supplementary information is provided below;</li> <li>Kerb and channel will be replaced</li> </ul>
assets/infrastructure: Is it likely that we will	2017/18 in front of the current K&C. When in the new K&C is in place the old

<ul> <li>have more carryovers into the next financial year?</li> <li>K&amp;C will be broken out. This is more cost effective than replacing the K&amp;C on line as that option would require temporary support to each of the verandah columns.</li> <li>InspireNet are considering laying their fibre optic in the road in the storm water trench.</li> <li>The existing foot path while not aesthetically pleasing is fit for purpose, if there are any trip hazards they will be repaired in 2017/18 when the Broadway CBD works are underway.</li> <li>Hopefully an agreement with Chorus and FXNetwork will be reached by 2017/18 and their proposed fibre optic can be laid in the footpath when the footpath renewal is undertaken in 2018/19, if not ducts will be installed and a charge will be made to these fibre</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The Western side, which has the greatest foot traffic, will be renewed 2018/19 followed by the Eastern side i 2019/20.</li> </ul>

### 3 Water Supply Group

Question	Answer
Why is there no mention of the 'taste and odour issue' that occurred at the end of April?	No written response

## 4 Sewerage and the Treatment and Disposal of Sewage Group

Question	Answer
NIL	. NIL

#### 5 Stormwater Group

Question Answer	Question	Answer
-----------------	----------	--------

х<sup>і</sup>. ж \* Фу

NIL	NIL
-----	-----

#### 6 Community & Leisure Assets Group

. >

Question	Answer
NIL	NIL

#### 7 Rubbish & Recycling Group

Question	Answer
NIL	NIL

#### 8 Additional Questions from the Order Paper

Question	Answer
NIL	NIL

#### 9 Recommendation

9.1 That the memorandum 'Questions of Activity Management Templates' to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee meeting on 11 May 2017 be received.

Nardia Gower Governance Administrator A<sub>y</sub>.

# Appendix 1

х. Х 

## Broadway CBD Works update

#### Scope

- Utilities Renewals include stormwater and watermain.
- Utilities will extend past past Hammond/Broadway Intersection because the roundabout is to be AC resurfaced.
- Roading renewals include kerb and channel.
- Risk around existing shop canopies if original kerb alignment used. Kerb offset proposed on design plans to avoid shopfront canopies is 585mm shown on plans
- 13.4m overall existing width from kerb to kerb. Aiming to achieve minimum 2.2m parking + 1.2m cycleway + 3m lane = 6.4m x 2 sides = 12.8m minimum)
- Fibre futureproofing will be contacting Chorus to obtain offset measurement for Fibre trenchline in footpath. Council will lay ducting in readiness. Include in this contract.
- Need to investigate possibility of an old fuel tank at No. 193 Broadway. Contingency sum may be required for removal.
- Some obsolete crossings will be removed.
- Crossings opposite carparking area at No. 221 Broadway (Lazyboy Furniture) to be centralised.

#### Footpaths renewals

- Footpaths renewals will follow fibre ducting installation.
- Footpaths will be a Separable Portion in the contract staged over two financial years with footpaths commencing in 18/19.

#### Procurement.

- Overall project estimate expected to exceed \$500k
- Open tender, Price Quality Method 60% Attributes/40% price.

#### Costs

- Utilities Renewals as planned. Construction in 17/18
- Kerb and Channel to be funded by Kerb (Drainage) Renewals 17/18
- Footpath to be funded by unsubsidised Footpath Renewals. In sufficient budget in 17/18 footpath programme to completely renew footpaths therefore footpaths renewals will be constructed in 18/19 and 19/20, western side first.

#### Proposed Timeframe

- 19th May 2017 Tender documents ready
- 15<sup>th</sup> June 2017 Close Tender
- 30<sup>th</sup> June 2017 Evaluation complete
- 20<sup>th</sup> July 2017 Council for approval

8<sup>th</sup> December 2017 – Construction complete (excluding footpath renewals). Allows for 1 week contingency leading into Xmas shopping period.

# **Activity Management Templates**

# Assets/Infrastructure Committee

11 May 2017





S.

\$

## ROADING AND FOOTPATHS GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2016/17

What are they:	Responded in time	Completed in time*	Responded late	Completed late*	Response overdue	Uncompleted overdue*	Response current	Uncompleted current*
Bridges								
Maintenance (bridges)								
Culverts/Drainage								
Maintenance (culverts/drainage)	9	2	2		1			
Footpaths								
Maintenance (footpaths)		1			1	1		
Roads								
Maintenance (roads - potholes only)	1	4	1					1
Maintenance (roads - not potholes)	8	10	3	1	2	2		
Road signs (except State Highway)		2						
Road Surface Flooding - Danger to traffic	3							
Roadside Berm Mowing								
Rural/Urban berm mowing								
Roadside Weeds/Vegetation/Trees								
Rural trees, vegetation and weeds		1				2		1
Urban trees, vegetation and weeds					2			
Street Cleaning and Litter Bins								
CBD cleaning - Turakina and Mangaweka only								
Street Lighting								
Maintenance (street lighting)		1			4	1		

Apr-17

## WATER SUPPLY GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2016/17

-

Apr-17

		/						
Requests for Service								
What are they:	Responded in time	Completed in time	Responded late	Completed late	Response overdue	Completed overdue	Response current	Uncompleted current
Bad tasting drinking water	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Dirty drinking water	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HRWS Maintenance required	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
HRWS No water supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Location of meter/toby/other utility	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low drinking water pressure (non urgent)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
No drinking water supply (urgent)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Replace lid (urgent)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Replace meter, toby or lid	7	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
Water flooding (other than stormwater and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
wastewater)								
Water leak - Council-owned network	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Water leak at meter/toby	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	17	20	2	2	0	0	0	0

Comment on RFS Analysis: Response achieved 96%, Completed achieved 96%

¥

r.

## SEWERAGE AND THE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2016/17

-

Λ	5	r	1	7
А	μ	I -	Т	/

What are they:	Responded in time	Completed in time*	Responded late	Completed late*	Response overdue	Completed overdue*	Response current	Uncompleted current
Caravan effluent dump station	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maintenance (wastewater)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater blocked drain	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater leak	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater network failure (follow up item only)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater odour	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater overflow (dry weather)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wastewater overflow (wet weather)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comment on RFS Analysis: Response Ac	hieved 100%, Comp		0	U	0	U	0	0

•

lequests for Service								
Vhat are they:	Responded in time	Completed in time*	Responded late	Completed late*	Response overdue	Completed overdue*	Response current	Uncompleted current*
tormwater blocked drain (non urgent)	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
tormwater blocked drain (urgent)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tormwater road surface flooding (non urgent)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
tormwater road surface flooding (urgent)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
otal	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0

-0,

t

, ACR

-

COMMUNITY AND LEI	OMMUNITY AND LEISURE GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2016/17					
Requests for Service						
What are they:	Completed on time	Completed late	Overdue			
Cemeteries	1	0	0			
Cemetery maintenance	1	0	0			
Council Housing/Property	4	2	3			
Maintenance (Council housing/property)	4	2	3			
Graffiti/Vandalism	0	0	1			
Graffiti/Vandalism	0	0	1			
Halls	0	1	0			
Maintenance (halls)	0	1	0			
Street Cleaning	0	1	0			
Street litter bins/maintenance	0	1	0			
Parks and Reserves	8	0	0			
Maintenance (parks and reserves)	8	0	0			
Waterleaks - Parks only	0	0	0			
Public Toilets	9	2	0			
Cleaning (public toilets)	6	0	0			
Maintenance (public toilets)	3	2	0			
Comment on RFS Analysis:						
Community Housing 36%						
Parks & Reserves 100%						
Public Toilets 64%						
Cemeteries 100%						
Delay in contractor feedback, e.g. if parts ar	e required, a request has not been mad	e to Council to extend the timeline, or late	e notification of timely completion			

**,**\*

MAN

-10