

TABLED DOCUMENT

Tabled at Audit / Risk Committee

On 13 Feb 2017

Rangitikei A&R Committee Evaluation 2017

Scale: 1 = Bad; 2 = Poor; 3 = Average; 4 = Good; 5 = Excellent

It is intended that each A&R Committee Member will complete this form independently and return it to Craig ([craigo@rap.net.nz](mailto:craigo@rap.net.nz)) for analysis.

Questions	1	2	3	4	5	Comments
<b>Area 1: Framework for effectiveness</b>						
1. Has the Committee clearly documented its role and responsibilities and that they are all understood by the Committee and CE?						
2. Are you satisfied that the Committee members individually and collectively, have the skills, time, experience and motivation to fulfil their responsibilities?						
3. Are you satisfied with the level of secretarial support for the Committee?						
<b>Area 2: Operational effectiveness</b>						
4. Has the Committee set a set of objectives by which to measure its effectiveness?						
5. Is the Committee well Chaired and the workload dealt with effectively?						
6. Are meetings conducted in a manner which encourages debate and allows input from all?						
7. Does the engagement with the CE provide advice and input which produces better advice for the Committee?						
8. Are you satisfied that the Committee's meeting schedule, agenda and papers, and meeting time support effective decision making and Committee operations?						
9. Are you satisfied with the quality of Committee papers?						
10. Are the meeting minutes clear and complete?						
11. Is the Committee kept fully informed on all material matters between meetings?						

