

“What’s new, what’s changed...?” Annual Plan 2016/17: Submissions Part 3

Table of Contents

SUBMISSION	PAGE
‘What’s new, what’s changed...?’ submissions:	2-83
R J Goymour	2-3
Ratana Communal Board of Trustees	4-6
Rebecca Anthony	7-8
Renato Leonard	9-10
Robert Cameron	11-14
Robert Douglas Gunn	15-16
Rosa Marshall	17-18
Rosie Morris	19-20
Sam Thomsen	21-22
Sandra Gunn	23-24
Sarah Harris	25-26
Scott Oliver	27-28
Sharon Galpin	29-30
Sharon Gordon	31-32
Shaun Anderson	33-34
Shirley Kane	35-36
Stephen Lewis – Hunterville School	37-38
Taihape Community Development Trust	39
Taihape and District Women’s Club	40
Tama Biddle	41-42
Tania Ward	43-44
Tania Whale	45-46
Te Marae Tapara	47-48
Theresa Downes	49-50
Thomas Curtis	51-64
Tim McVerry	65-66
Toni Kane	67
Vicki Power	68-69
W Gray	70-71
Online Submissions	72-83

Rangitikei County Council
Masterton

Dear Sir,

I wish to object to the proposed development at the corner of Broadway & High Street. This would not do any of the following:-

1. Make more jobs in the district.
2. Cut 'big city' prices in either of the two supermarkets
3. Enhance house values
4. Provide any more certainty that existing empty premises will become viable.

I believe that the whole of the Rangitikei area has to be involved in a cooperative approach to branding & developing marketing skills for all areas of potential growth. The initiative has to come from the Council.

was as part of the team that developed Rata only to see it disappear with multi-national companies. But all the possibilities are still there for local produce from here to be competitively viable.

I would like to make an oral submission at the Council meeting on Monday 16th May.

Yours faithfully

R. J. Goymour

R. J. GOYMOUR

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: *SW*
File: *1-AP-1-4*
Doc: *16 0234*

*Kororia, Honore, Hareruia kia Ihoa o nga Mano, Arepa, Omeka,
Piriwiritua, Hamuera ko te Mangai kei roto aia nei, ake nei . .. Ae!*

RATANA COMMUNAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES
PO BOX 4
RATANA PAA

RECEIVED

Ref 636

4 May 2016

Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
MARTON

05 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0349

Tena Koutou nga Mema Honore, Kaiwhakahaere, nga Menetia, nga Kaitautoko o te Kaunihera o Rangitikei,

RE : SUBMISSION TO RDC ANNUAL PLAN 2016-2017

With reference to the above please accept this as our official submission to the above plan.

Firstly we thank Council for the recent installation of footpaths in the community from the public toilets past our Temple and the one to the playground. It is not only appreciated by local rate payers but also the many visitors to our community and Temple on Sundays and during our special celebrations, once again thank you.

Attached you will find our responses to the projects and information outlined in the 'What's new, what's changed document. We further submit the following for consideration of planning for the 2016-2017 years.

1.00 - AFFORDABILITY

As always affordability of rates is important to us and that Council give consideration to Government's measured deprivation level within our District and in particular the Community of Ratana. It is with this in mind and the high number of permanent beneficiaries residing in the community that Council must minimise any proposed rates increases.

Whilst we are ok with the proposed 1.96%, maintaining this after submissions are received may reflect a different picture. The resident's struggle as it is to pay their rates any increases will simply add to their burden and that of this district.

2.00 – RATANA CEMETERY

Thank you for the work on the relocation of shed and building of fence separating Urupa from Lawn Cemetery. We seek consideration of further work in the lawn cemetery as follows :

- Ⓢ Extend road past existing plots to end of block, create a turnaround area.
Visitors can still park on the grass if weather permits (until we expand the plots)
- Ⓢ Garden landscape on top and through retaining wall needs rejuvenating.
- Ⓢ A gazebo placed on top of existing concrete pad (approx. 2x2 metre) left from where shed was, we have a costing of \$1800 installed.

3.00 – RATANA NEW DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

We realise for many reason projects of this size will have delays and notes there have been several. We seek close monitoring of progress and commitment to meeting the timeframe of completion June/July this year.

4.00 – RECYLING

We would like to see clear signage at transfer station for example it has been noted some people are not rinsing their milk bottles, if there was a sign that says 'All bottles Must Be Rinsed and with no lids' will help educate people.

5.00 – BONNY GLEN LEACHATE

We ask that you continue to closely monitor the activity of this organisation and minimise any threats to our environment and infrastructures.

6.00 - SPEED HUMPS OR SIMILAR – RATANA RD

The speed humps have a positive effect on the community and road users. We note vehicles are riding past the end with one wheel still on the road. Can they be extended to close these gaps.

7.00 – STREET LIGHTING

Due to after hour activity at this end of street eg. drinking by Sewage Treatment Plant gate, boy racer, speeding etc. we request once again a street light for Rangatahi Street just past new house be installed.

8.00 – RATANA PLAYGOUND REDEVELOPMENT

Finally the Board expresses its support for the proposed Ratana Playground Redevelopment by the Ratana Community Board . The idea of a multi sport turf (basketball, netball, tennis) and adventure playground equipment provides for a fit for purpose play zone area and brings the area into the 21st Century for tamariki and rangatahi.

The Board does not wish to speak to their submission but expects that it will receive the same consideration as a group would if they personally fronted Council.

We would appreciate a detailed response from Council responding to each of the items listed above.

Noho ora mai
Tainui Pene
Hemana (Chairman).

.....no reira ko te Mangai hei tautoko mai aiane ai akenei ae!

*Kororia, Honore, Hareruia kia Ihoa o nga Mano, Arepa, Omeka,
Piriwiritua, Hamuera ko te Mangai kei roto aia nei, ake nei . .. Ae!*

RATANA COMMUNAL BOARD OF TRUSTEES
PO BOX 4
RATANA PAA

Ref 611

4th April 2014

Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1101
MARTON

Tena koutou katoa Nga Honore Mema Te Kaunihera o Rangitikei,

RE : SUBMISSION TO DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2014-2015

With regards to the above please accept this as our submission to the Annual Plan.

Firstly we extend our thanks for the ongoing commitment to the annual grant received for undertaking minor maintenance work in community of Ratana. This has proven to be valuable in many ways and has developed into a robust, open and meaningful relationship with each other.

We provide the following submission comments to the Plan:

1. Drainage Channel – Seamer Street, Football Field Side
We seek the re-establishment of stormwater drainage channel (spoon drain) along verge side of road where there is no existing guttering. In addition a deterrent such as concrete poles or logs along channel would stop cars from driving over it.
2. Ratana Community Board Submission
The Board formally supports submission made by Ratana Community Board.
3. Rates Affordability
It is heartening to see Council keep rates increase to a minimum and well below what was proposed in LTP. We ask that all discussions and considerations of capital expenditure keeps rates affordability upper most in Management and Councillor's mind.

Naku iti nei na
Tainui Pene
Chairman.

.....no reira ko te Mangai hei tautoko mai aiane ai akenei ae!

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0314

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Rebecca Anthony

Email address: anthonyrebecca@ngatara.school.nz

Preferred contact phone number: 0274498432

Your postal address: 1100c Kairanga
Bunnythorpe rd RDS

Town: Palmerston North.

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- ☒ a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☐ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

RECEIVED

19 APR 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16-0173

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Rensato Leonard

Kane

Email address: 21 Maru Maru St
Marton

Preferred contact phone number:

327 7733

Your postal address:

21 maru maru st,
Marton

Town:

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

**RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL
CONSULTATION ON THE ANNUAL PLAN 2016/2017**

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. **Other:** _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☐ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**



SN
1-AP-1-4
16 0325

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Robin Cameron

Email address:

robinbob@inspire.net.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

06 327 5179

Your postal address:

184 Onepuhi Road
R D 1

Town:

Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email

☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☒ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☒ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☒ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate ~~given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre~~
- ☒ **Option 2 – No** – ~~I don't think~~ Council should ~~take this opportunity and should~~ concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**

Robin Cameron
184 Onepuhi Road
R D 1
Marton 4787

TO: Rangitikei District Council

RE: ANNUAL PLAN 2016-2017 – ADDITION TO SUBMISSION FORM

We are retirees who came from Palmerston North to live at the above address in July 2012 and have since found the town of Marton and the countryside to be a paradise in which to live. We love the fact there are no parking meters, people are friendly, old people are nice and there are plenty of them, there is a great swimming pool, schools seem to have good reputations with honour-receiving students, parks and gardens are lovely, and judging by the local newspaper, there are plenty and varied activities if you need them. Life is easy and moves at a gentle pace. There are some lovely homes, cute homes, small and large, and many very old and historic. Driving around town is a breeze and no stress.

Duncan Garner of Radio Live, passed through and reported on his show that Marton was a “dying” town. How wrong can you be. Marton is one of the best kept secrets in New Zealand. I guess it is inevitable, it will be discovered at some stage, and I would like the Council to be prepared for this time. There are some incredible assets in this town – and many of them are located in the main business street – Broadway. These are the old iconic buildings which were built years and years ago – these include old bank buildings, court buildings, commercial, church buildings, the building presently occupied by the Elim Church, and the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street. These are assets which need to be protected in their present form and not destroyed. If the Council does not protect these buildings, then the soul of this town will be destroyed and Marton will be nothing and have nothing. The only really true asset we have is our history. That needs to be protected with everything we have. If a building needs earthquake support, then it should have it, and not be pulled down because it costs too much to strengthen. I believe that this kind of investment is going to pay off in the future.

I would like to see the Council purchase the properties proposed (Cobbler/Davenport etc) as I would see then that they would be protected from destruction by other thoughtless developers. I would be happy to pay more rates to ensure that all of our gorgeous buildings are given the assistance needed to protect them. I would not want to see Council destroy these buildings in order to put in a library or new offices on the land. I just want to see the buildings protected in their present form – yes with the shop fronts intact – as a gesture to the past (they could easily be improved by displays – say from the museum, or from local clubs/organizations). Surely there is someone with artistic/architectural acumen who could arrange this kind of thing. Is there room at the

rear of the said buildings for maybe just a library with entrance through just one (or two?) of the shop fronts.

Marton Park with its expanse of green and the lovely grandstand is an absolute credit and I would hope that the grandstand will receive all the maintenance necessary for its continued life. I would also support enhancement of these areas and buildings, without taking away from their original design.

I cannot recollect the Memorial Park in Taihape, but again, if there is a grandstand there of historic design and importance, then please, it needs to be strengthened, beautified and maintained.

On a certain route into Marton one can see a race course with the most grandest grandstand. Can anything be done to restore this? I could not believe it when I saw it – what a gem. How could it have been left to neglect like that.

When historic buildings presently in private hands, come on to the market, is it possible for Council to have a fund to purchase these? Again I would support such purchases. I guess that is pretty unusual for a Council to do, and would involve maintenance of said building and renting out maybe, making Council a landlord – but an income for Council though.


In all, could Council please give utmost priority to preserving the iconic historic buildings that exist in Marton. I believe that such action would constitute an invaluable investment into the future of this town. This is borne out by what I have seen in other cities – where older iconic buildings, once worth nothing, have become incredibly valuable and valued, beautiful shops and homes.

Please have a vision for our town.

Thanks for reading

Robin Cameron

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Robin Cameron', with a stylized flourish at the end.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Robert

Douglas Gunn

Email address:

robert@alldowns.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

0274544642

Your postal address:

102A Ngā Tawa Rd

RD1

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ Option 2 – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ Option 1 – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ Option 2 – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ Option 2 – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16-0321

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Rosa Marshall

Email address: rosa.marshall@gmail.com

Preferred contact phone number: 021 08216075

Your postal address: 49 Porirua Rd
MA
Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☒ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: _____

Position: _____

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2** – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

RECEIVED

29 APR 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0210

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Rosie Morris

Email address:

Preferred contact phone number:

Your postal address: 3 Bell st

Town: Marton, 4710

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick): NB

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☒ b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Sam Thomsen

Email address: sam450@ukelafone.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

0272280790

Your postal address: 12 Waitere St
Marton 4710

Town: Marton.

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: _____

Position: _____

☒ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☒ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☒ b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2** – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?


- ☒ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: SANDRA GUNN

Email address: GunnasHouse@xtra.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number: 0274 304 042

Your postal address: 102a Nga Tawa Rd, RD1

Town: Marton 4787

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☒ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: _____

Position: _____

- ☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ Option 2 – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- ☒ a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ Option 1 – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☒ Option 2 – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ Option 2 – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: SN
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16-0354

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Sarah Harris

Email address:

sarah-timharris@xtra.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

027 663 1977

Your postal address:

1636 Parewanui Rd

Town:

Bulls

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☐ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

- ☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☒ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☒ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☒ e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/ Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☐ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☒ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

106. Please note that I have also
filed a submission on behalf
of the Marton Farmers Centre

RECEIVED

Submission Form

05 MAY 2016

RECEIVED
- 6 MAY 2016
10:23am

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Scott Oliver

Email address:

Preferred contact phone number:

027 355 35694

Your postal address:

49 Farmers Centre
Marton

Town:

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☒ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or
☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0301

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2** – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**

Submission Form



Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Sharon Galpin

Email address:

sharon@alfdowns.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

0274115494

Your postal address:

89 Galpins Road
RD 2

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☐ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☒ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Did the council help
Hunterville with their
Grandstand & upgrade?

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No


**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Public Toilets at
Marton Park.

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Sharon Gordon

Email address:

dandsgordon@xtra.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

32 77 11 4

Your postal address:

21 Signal St

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.

☒ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only**
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve

☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.

☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?


☒ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Shawn Anderson

Email address:

shawnanderson054@gmail.com

Preferred contact phone number:

027 503 3208

Your postal address:

4 Lambert Street

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☒ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

- ☒ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- ☒ a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/ Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with Council's proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?


- ☒ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Shirley Kane

Email address:

Kane.whanau14@yahoo.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

327 7733

Your postal address:

21 marumaru st

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

X
Shirley Kane
25-1-2016

**RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL
CONSULTATION ON THE ANNUAL PLAN 2016/2017**

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- ☒ a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☒ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: STEPHEN LEWIS

Email address:

principal@hunterville.school.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

027 778 1995

Your postal address:

16 Onge Road

Town: Hunterville

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☐ an individual, or

☒ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

HUNTERVILLE SCHOOL

Position:

PRINCIPAL

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council’s proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council’s hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- Other:

- No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council’s proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council’s administration and library services?

- ☐ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton’s civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don’t think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council’s rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

Taihape Community Development Trust

C/- PO Box 25, Taihape
06 388 1307 elizabeth@taihape.co.nz

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0337

Submission to RDC's Consultation Document for the Annual Plan 2016/17

Elizabeth Mortland
Manager

On behalf of the TCDT Board: **Greg Woollaston, Katene Peretini, Mary Strange, Les Clarke, Angela Oliver, Oliver Sanderson, Gaylene Simpson**

I would like to receive correspondence relating to this submission and the hearings **by email**.

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent?

TCDT supports Option 2 – developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Clubs into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution.

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park?

TCDT supports Council's Option 1 - proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

TCDT's preferred site is option 2 in Peter Shore's discussion document on Taihape Memorial Park Future Development. The Trust would like to see the new amenity block catering to the majority of Park users, not just rugby. This site would equally benefit rugby, equestrian, cricket, tennis, netball, Gumboot Day, A&P Show, Whanau Sports, hockey and soccer events, community groups and organisations and would not impose on Kokako Street residents.

TCDT would also like to see the range of local community groups and organisations accommodated, as the original concept was to build a facility for community groups as well as sports clubs.

The Trust also supports installing an irrigation system, and the purchase of portable grandstands.

RECEIVED

19 APR 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16-0178

Submission For 2016/17 Annual Plan.
Rangitikei District Plan.

On behalf of Taihape & District Women's Club, I write to request that the Council seriously consider replacing the veranda recently removed, because of decay, from above the Club's main entrance.

This facility is the most used community venue in Taihape, & now, without its veranda, leaves the front entrance exposed to wet weather, & also, the valuable musical instruments & projection equipment brought to the Club by guest performers/speakers at risk of damage. Disabled patrons are more exposed to inclement weather when using the access ramp, & those groups leaving evening functions really miss the security night lighting which was attached to the veranda.

We see the veranda replacement as an important safety & security issue, ensuring continued frequent use of the Women's Club, & know it would be greatly appreciated by our community, especially as winter approaches.

In anticipation of a positive response, I remain,

Sincerely



President, Taihape & District Women's Club. Dated 4/04/2016

W. Smith.
49 Ruru Road
Taihape 4720

RECEIVED

26 APR 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0193

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Tama Biddle

Email address:

tama.biddle@gmail.com

Preferred contact phone number:

021 0376748

Your postal address:

29 Jupiter St, Milson
Palmerston North 4414

Town:

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☐ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☐ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: Ratana Community
Board

Position: Board Member

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

No.

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- ☒ a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☐ b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- ☐ c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- ☐ d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☐ e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- ☐ f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- ☐ g. Other: _____
- ☐ h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/ Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☒ Yes ☐ No


What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

I support the redevelopment of the Playground area at Ratana. Details to follow.

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Tania Ward

Email address:

Ward.Annextra.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

06 3276045 Work

Your postal address:

PO Box 233, Marton

Town:

Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☒ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____

☒ **h.** No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☒ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0308

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Tania Whale

Email address: bpanthmwhale@xtra.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number: 0275276850

Your postal address: 20A Rukopapa Rd
MARTON.

Town: MARTON.

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☐ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: _____

Position: _____

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council’s proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council’s hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☒ b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council’s proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council’s administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton’s civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don’t think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council’s rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
6 May 2016.**

Submission to Draft Annual Term Plan Rangitikei District Council 2016/17

Name: Toimata Foundation **Contact person:** Kristen Price, Operations Manager

Postal Address: PO Box 4445, Hamilton, 3247 **Physical Address:** Lockwood House, 293 Grey Street, Hamilton

Phone: 07 959 7321 **Email:** kristen.price@toimata.org.nz We do NOT wish to speak to this submission

Recognising your support for the Enviroschools Programme

We would like to acknowledge Rangitikei District Council (RDC) for supporting young people in your district to be part of the Enviroschools network since 2015.

The Enviroschools Programme is a nationwide action-based education programme where young people plan, design and implement sustainability projects and become catalysts for change in their communities. Enviroschools was originally developed in the late 1990's by councils in Waikato as a non-regulatory tool and has now been adopted by 58 councils, including most of the larger councils and 74% of the total sector.

The programme is managed nationally by Toimata Foundation (a charitable trust). Toimata Foundation has funding from Central Government through the Ministry for the Environment and also works closely with the Department of Conservation. Regional implementation of Enviroschools is through partnerships with Local Government and other community agencies. This multi-sector collaboration supports over 1,000 schools and early childhood education (ECE) centres to be involved in Enviroschools – representing 31% of the school sector and 5% of the large early childhood sector.

There are six Enviroschools in your district, part of a wider network of 40 in the Manawatu-Whanganui region. Regionally 15% of all schools and 3.8% of all early childhood centres are part of Enviroschools.

This submission encourages RDC to maintain its involvement in Enviroschools along with the other regional partner agencies – the Horizons Regional Council, Palmerston North City Council, Whanganui, Taranaki and Manawatu District Councils, as well as the Ruahine Kindergarten Association.

Findings from multi-year evaluation project

A period of stable Central Government funding has enabled Toimata Foundation to undertake some significant research and evaluation over the past 3 years. Toimata has worked with external evaluators Kinnect Group and the key reports produced are:

- *"Enviroschools: Key Findings from the Nationwide Census"*
- *"The Enviroschools Programme Return on Investment Scenario Analysis"*
- *"The Enviroschools Programme: Evaluation report"*

Highlights from the research:

- "Enviroschools is a very high-performing programme and achieves this performance through high levels of systemic support from Toimata Foundation. " *Kinnect Group*
- The successes of the Enviroschools Programme are realised through a 'collective impact' model. i.e. investment is leveraged to create a larger pool of resources and through engaging additional stakeholders the outcomes achieved are enhanced.
- For every \$1 invested by regional partners in Enviroschools, other investors contribute \$2.60 in funding and in-kind support.
- The Enviroschools Census (73% response rate) found participating schools and centres were highly engaged in a range of environmental actions and practices.

High levels of environmental actions and practices

Proportion of schools/centres engaging in each of the Enviroschools theme areas



100% Waste



97% Food Production



75% Water



69% Energy



96% Biodiversity



83% Other projects and practices



91% Food distribution



67% Eco-Building

- Enviroschools participants report a broad range of outcomes in addition to environmental changes.



- While only a small number of these outcomes can be monetised, the total annual investment in the Enviroschools Programme in 2014 (estimated to be \$10.9M) is projected to realise a return of \$28 million over ten years (at a 5% discount rate). This creates a benefit cost ratio of approximately \$2.50 over ten years for every dollar (or in-kind support) invested in the programme, or a ROI of 11% per annum.
- Depth of practice in Enviroschools increases with time.
- Collaborations with the community are linked deeper levels of practice.
- Enviroschools works for all deciles.

“The Enviroschools Programme is a worthwhile investment, positively impacting students and schools, and providing value at a societal level. The programme is creating an effective intergenerational legacy, empowering young New Zealanders and their communities to create and realise the aspirational vision of a more sustainable world.” *Kinnect Group*.

Conclusion

The Enviroschools Programme is a proven and effective approach for engaging schools and communities in environmental and social action.

With the backbone support of Toimata Foundation, and a network of councils around the country, the programme catalyses learning and action among thousands of young people, their families and communities from early childhood to secondary school. By connecting and coordinating resources and people, openly building and sharing knowledge across communities, widespread action is enabled on a broad scale.

As a funder, the partnership with Enviroschools provides RDC with multiple points of leverage across the Rangitikei community, extending the possible impact of its funding beyond what might be expected with a more traditional approach.



1-AP-1-4
16 0216

Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Theresa Downes

Email address: theresadownes@hotmail.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number: 021 776744

Your postal address: 11 Handim Dr
Marton

Town: Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 16 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or

☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation: _____

Position: _____

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☐ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ Option 2 – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
(please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- ☒ b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ Option 1 – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ Option 2 – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ Option 1 – Yes – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ Option 2 – No – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
(use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

Denise Servante

From: Curtis, Thomas <Thomas.Curtis@nz.fujitsu.com>
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 1:56 p.m.
To: Denise Servante
Subject: Annual Plan
Attachments: NZ-Electric-Car-Guide-5April2016.pdf

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: SW
File: 1-AP-1-4
Doc: 16 0372

To whom it may concern;

I have long considered that Electric Vehicles were our way to the future for sustainable transport.

We need to reduce our carbon emissions drastically in the next few years to meet our targets for 2020.

With the announcement from government on it's new Transport Policy relating to Electric Vehicles Rangitikei District Council should include in its annual plan some provisions and facilities for Electric Vehicles. It could start with drafting policies in relation contracts for services to ensure that providers aligned themselves with the Councils goals.

The fleet that the Council uses could be looked at with a plan to investigate and rollout or phase Electric Vehicles into the fleet.

I look forward to see the results of the planning.

I have attached an Electric Vehicle guide and a link to the press conference for the announcement.

Thanks

<https://vimeo.com/165427803>

The Electric Vehicles Programme includes:

- * A target of doubling the number of electric vehicles in New Zealand every year to reach approximately 64,000 by 2021
- * Extending the Road User Charges exemption on light electric vehicles until they make up two percent of the light vehicle fleet
- * A new Road User Charges exemption for heavy electric vehicles until they make up two percent of the heavy vehicle fleet
- * Work across Government and the private sector to investigate the bulk purchase of electric vehicles
- * Government agencies coordinating activities to support the development and roll-out of public charging infrastructure including providing information and guidance
- * \$1 million annually for a nation-wide electric vehicle information and promotion campaign over five years
- * A contestable fund of up to \$6 million per year to encourage and support innovative low emission vehicle projects
- * Allowing electric vehicles in bus lanes and high-occupancy vehicle lanes on the State Highway network and local roads

- * Review of tax depreciation rates and the method for calculating fringe benefit tax for electric vehicles to ensure electric vehicles are not being unfairly disadvantaged
- * Establishing an electric vehicles leadership group across business, local and central government
- * The package aims to address barriers to the uptake of electric vehicles, including the limited supply of models in New Zealand, lack of awareness and misconceptions about electric vehicles, and a lack of widespread public charging infrastructure.

transport.govt.nz/ev has full details of the announcement.

Thomas Curtis

Ngāti Hauiti representative:

Te Rōpu Ahi Ka


Disclaimer

The information in this e-mail is confidential and may contain content that is subject to copyright and/or is commercial-in-confidence and is intended only for the use of the above named addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, copying or use of the information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please telephone Fujitsu New Zealand Limited on 4 4950700 or by reply e-mail to the sender and delete the document and all copies thereof.

Whereas Fujitsu New Zealand Limited would not knowingly transmit a virus within an email communication, it is the receiver's responsibility to scan all communication and any files attached for computer viruses and other defects. Fujitsu New Zealand Limited does not accept liability for any loss or damage (whether direct, indirect, consequential or economic) however caused, and whether by negligence or otherwise, which may result directly or indirectly from this communication or any files attached.

If you do not wish to receive commercial and/or marketing email messages from Fujitsu New Zealand Limited, please email unsubscribe@nz.fujitsu.com.

new zealand electric car guide



By Sigurd Magnusson, Wellington, New Zealand. 5 April 2016. Updated monthly. Download latest from www.electriceaven.nz
Questions, corrections, feedback to sigurdmagnusson@gmail.com or 021 42 12 08. Please share this document.¹

FULLY ELECTRIC CARS

These are cars that move using a large electric battery powering an electric motor. They do not take any petrol. Also called Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVs), they produce no exhaust, which is far kinder to the environment – petrol and diesel transport produce 20% of New Zealand's greenhouse gases.² 80% of New Zealand electricity is generated by rain (hydro dams), geothermal, and wind³, so the source of the car's fuel is environmentally friendly, and inexpensive, and produced locally (We import over a billion dollars of petrol and three billion dollars of crude oil from overseas each year⁴ and local electricity generation is cheaper). A 2015 government study shows electric cars also have environmental benefits versus petrol cars when the full lifecycle of manufacture, use, and disposal are assessed, and that the ingredients like lithium in batteries, aren't scarce.⁵ Having no exhaust, fully electric cars produce no poisonous carbon monoxide fumes.

Electric cars have no clutch or gears, and accelerate very quickly and smoothly, in a "sporty" way, and climb hills easier than petrol cars. A fully electric motor has fewer moving parts, no spark plugs or engine oil, and requires less maintenance than a petrol equivalent. Such cars are extremely quiet and reduce noise pollution. Travelling down hills or braking recharges the batteries, and is known as regenerative braking. The motor uses no energy when the car is still.

Electric cars are safe, reliable, manufactured by large brands, and are beginning to be sold in high volume overseas. Norway, with a similar population and size to New Zealand, has over 70,000 fully electric cars, and they now account for more than 20% of all new car purchases⁶.

Entry-level electric cars have a shorter range (100km+) than petrol cars. High-end cars with large batteries (400km+ range) cost more. Battery prices are dropping significantly each year which is making long range fully electric cars cheaper⁷. On average New Zealand drivers travel 28km per day⁸, and electric cars can be charged at home overnight and be 'full' in the morning, so affordable electric cars remain practical for most daily journeys. The range of a car is dependent on the style of driving: you can drive less distance at highway speeds or up hills than you can on flat urban residential speeds, due to engine loads and wind resistance. The dashboard will display an estimate of how many kilometres you can drive with remaining battery.

In New Zealand the vast majority of fully electric cars are short-range Nissan Leaf hatchbacks. Some full sized, long-range, high performance cars by Tesla Motors are found here and are very popular overseas. The upcoming Tesla Model 3 will provide the first medium range and cost electric vehicle in New Zealand, though its popularity will create long delivery times (276,000 were pre-ordered globally within three days of launch). Over 2016, the introduction of electric cars by Tesla, Renault, and VW into New Zealand will broaden the selection of electric cars we can buy.

¹ This document is released under the Creative Commons Attributions license at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/nz/>

² transport.govt.nz/ourwork/climatechange/ cites a 2009 report: mfe.govt.nz/publications/climate/greenhouse-gas-inventory-2011/

³ 2015 MBIE report mbie.govt.nz/info-services/sectors-industries/energy/energy-data-modelling/publications/energy-in-new-zealand

⁴ stats.govt.nz/browse_for_stats/industry_sectors/imports_and_exports/OverseasMerchandiseTrade_HOTPFeb16.aspx

⁵ ECCA Life Cycle Assessment of EVs eeca.govt.nz/assets/Resources-EECA/ev-lca-final-report-nov-2015.pdf

⁶ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plug-in_electric_vehicles_in_Norway

⁷ slate.com/articles/business/the_juice/2016/02/electric_cars_are_no_longer_held_back_by_crappy_expensive_batteries.html

⁸ 2010-2013 Distance per driver trends transport.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Research/Documents/Drivers-2014-v911-Final-v3.pdf

PLUG-IN HYBRIDS

These are cars that have both an electric and petrol motor. These cars are sometimes abbreviated as PHEVs (Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles).

The electric battery can be recharged at home or wherever you find an electrical socket, and the fuel tank can be filled up at petrol stations. The purpose of this is to allow you to drive short distances electrically, at low cost and without pollution, and long distances using petrol, avoiding the need to recharge frequently for long trips. These vehicles also have regenerative braking, which captures energy that would be wasted as braking heat. They cost somewhere in the middle between affordable (short range) and expensive (long range) fully electric cars. The drawback of plug-in hybrids is a more complicated engine requiring maintenance, the petrol refueling costs, air pollution, and noise of a petrol engine. Depending on the model, the petrol engine either turns the wheels, or recharges the batteries (which powers an electric motor to turn the wheels).

The most purchased vehicle in this category in New Zealand is the Mitsubishi Outlander SUV. The BMW i3 hatchback (over 100km electric range) and the Audi A3 e-tron hatchback (under 30km) are other cars available here. For a time, the Holden Volt sedan (76km range) was sold here.

CONVENTIONAL HYBRIDS NO LONGER COUNT

Cars such as the *conventional* Toyota Prius Hybrid found in this country⁹ over the past decade are different -- they can not be plugged into an electric socket to recharge. They can only fill up on petrol, and use the petrol motor and regenerative braking to recharge a small battery that gives a short (1-2 km) electric range. This does reduce fuel consumption and emissions significantly, but plug-in electric cars are vastly superior.

WHAT ABOUT HYDROGEN?

A visible debate is forming about whether the long-term future of cars would use hydrogen fuel cells or stored electricity (i.e. batteries) for energy.

Hydrogen does not occur on earth naturally, and must be created, either from fossil energy resources (which would release greenhouse gases), or by using electricity. The hydrogen must then be pressurised, transported, and converted back into electricity inside the car, to power its electric motor. The car produces exhaust in the form of water (about a litre per 16km driven).

By comparison it is cleaner and more energy efficient, and only 25% of the cost, to generate electricity, send it through transmission wires, and recharge batteries in fully electric cars.

No hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles (HFCVs) are available in New Zealand, and they are produced in very limited quantities globally.¹⁰

⁹Toyota Prius introduced a Plug-in Hybrid (PHEV) model in 2009; in NZ it is rare and only available imported. In 2016 Toyota released the Prius Prime, which improves electric range to over 30km, but there is no word on when it might come to NZ.









¹⁰More information and sources about the hydrogen section: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen_vehicle#All-electric_vehicles


A test-drive of a hydrogen versus electric car is contrasted at

transportevolved.com/2015/08/25/first-drive-report-2016-toyota-mirai-hydrogen-fuel-cell-sedan/




Essay by hydrogen race-car builder has published essay at ssi3goohan.tweakblogs.net/blog/11470/why-fuel-cell-cars-dont-work-part-1

FULLY electric cars in New Zealand

Car (and whether electric or hybrid)	Seats	Electric Range	Battery (kWh)	0-100, Power	Fast Charge	Cost (\$000) used - new	# in NZ
Nissan Leaf (Fully electric) 	5	Generation 1 117 km Generation 2 135 km 172 km	24 24 30	9 secs 80kW (110hp)	Yes	\$20 - \$45k <i>(NZ-new cars sold out. Dealers import from UK & Japan)</i>	449
Mitsubishi i-Miev (Fully electric) 	4	100 km	16	13 secs 43 kW (60 hp)	Yes	\$15k+ <i>(No longer sold by Mitsubishi NZ. Import only)</i>	36
Tesla Motors Model S (Fully Electric) 	5 (plus 2 kids)	325 km or 426 km	70 or 90	3.0 secs 568 kW (762 hp)	Yes	\$130-\$150k <i>(Tesla to open in NZ. Import from Australia in meantime.)</i>	30
Nissan e-NV200 (Fully Electric) 	2, 5, or 7	121 km	24	11 secs 80kW (110hp)	Yes	\$40k+ <i>(Imports only)</i>	6
Tesla Motors Model X (Fully Electric) 	7	400 km	90	3.4 secs 568 kW (762 hp)	Yes	\$150 - \$200 <i>(Tesla to open in NZ. Import from Australia in meantime.)</i>	3
Renault Zoe (Fully Electric) COMING 2016 	5	115-170km <i>Winter vs Summer claimed by Renault. No EPA range figure given.</i>	22	13.5 secs 65 kW (88hp)	No	TBC <i>60 min to 80% recharge available</i>	None <i>Sales expected 2016</i>
VW e-Golf (Fully Electric) COMING LATE 2016 	5	133 km	24	10 secs 85kW (115 hp)	Yes	\$60-70k <i>(Reported)</i>	None <i>UK Import now or buy in NZ late 2016</i>
Tesla Motors Model 3 (Fully Electric) 2017 	5	346 km	TBC	4 secs (high spec) 6 secs (base model)	Yes	USD 35k+ From March 2016 NZers can pay \$1000 deposit online	None <i>First shipments from late 2017</i>

Renault Kangoo (Fully Electric) COMING 2016 	2 or 5	80 - 120km <i>Winter vs Summer claimed by Renault. No EPA range figure given.</i>	22	44 kW (60 hp)	No	TBC	None <i>Sales expected 2016</i>
Total fully electric cars in NZ (including small numbers of other makes and models, including home-conversions):							600

PLUGIN HYBRID electric cars in New Zealand¹¹

Car (and whether electric or hybrid)	Seats	Electric Range	Battery (kWh)	0-100, Power	Fast Charge	Cost (\$000) used - new	# in NZ ¹²
Mitsubishi Outlander (Plug-in Hybrid) 	5	40km +700 km petrol (Approx)	12	11 secs 120 kW (180hp) + 2L engine.	Only if imported	\$39 - 60	377
BMW i3 (Hybrid NZ; fully electric imported) 	4	130 km (+116 km petrol if hybrid)	22	7 secs 125kW (168hp)	Optional Extra.	\$60 - \$85	55
Audi A3 Sportback e-tron (Plug-in Hybrid) 	5	27km (+ typical petrol car range)	8	7 secs 75 kW (100 hp) +1.4L engine	No	\$75	33
Total Plugin Hybrid Electric cars In NZ (including small numbers of other makes and models, incl. home-conversions):							528

OTHER TYPES OF electric vehicles also exist

- Bicycles: commonly sold in local bicycle shops, with 40-100km "pedal assisted" range.
- Motorbikes: ubcobikes.com (kiwi made, off-road) or zeromotorcycles.com (import, for road).
- Small "tuk tuks" are used for tourism¹³ and one-seat delivery buggies are used by NZ Post.¹⁴
- Electric Buses are mass produced by BYD.com; London has begun buying hundreds¹⁵.
- Trucks are locally made by zevnz.com; hybrid utes and SUVs by US-based viamotors.com, and wrightspeed.com (with an ex Tesla, kiwi founder) is building hybrid heavy trucks¹⁶.
- The world's first battery powered electric ferry was built in 2015 by Siemens in Norway¹⁷.

¹¹ Costs from TradeMe and dealers, likely to fluctuate. Range reduces with age and highway speed and very hilly driving, and is sourced from U.S. EPA except Outlander from mmnz.co.nz/plugin-hybrid/options/4wd-hybrid-specifications/ and nbr.co.nz/outlander.

¹² NZ Vehicle quantites from transport.govt.nz/research/newzealandvehiclefleetstatistics/

¹³ stuff.co.nz/travel/destinations/nz/77235562/tuktuks-taking-to-the-streets-of-wellington

¹⁴ nzpost.co.nz/about-us/media-centre/media-release/eco-vehicles-confirmed-as-way-of-future-for-new-zealand-post

¹⁵ tfl.gov.uk/info-for/media/press-releases/2015/july/more-than-50-all-electric-buses-to-enter-service-in-london

¹⁶ www.sfgate.com/business/article/Tesla-co-founder-Ian-Wright-snags-FedEx-for-new-5792082.php

¹⁷ cleantechnica.com/2015/06/13/worlds-first-electric-battery-powered-ferry/

COSTS & SUBSIDIES

BUYING THE car

The primary expense associated with an electric car is its upfront purchase. Electric cars remain relatively expensive to purchase brand new. The main force reducing electric car costs in New Zealand is that a growing number of used cars are being imported from Japan and UK.

ELECTRIC cars CHEAPER THAN PETROL cars WHEN YOU FACTOR running COSTS

The cost of travelling by electricity is cheaper than petrol. EECA has calculated that an electric car is equivalent 30 cents a litre, which is about 7 times cheaper than petrol.¹⁸ This means that over the course of even one year, an electric car owner can save a few thousand dollars, which quickly pays off the higher initial car purchase price. Electric cars also need less maintenance.


EECA have released a tool for individuals and fleet managers to calculate total savings at eecabusiness.govt.nz/tools/vehicle-total-cost-of-ownership-tool/

SUBSIDIES and Financial Incentives

Many countries provide buyers with discounts off the purchase price of an electric car. These help governments to achieve international climate change commitments by encouraging car owners to shift from petrol to electric cars, which reduces carbon emissions (a typical petrol car emits more than its weight in CO₂ per year, i.e. about 2 tonnes). In many countries there are benefits given in addition to upfront discounts.

Norway has the greatest level of incentives. Electric cars cost significantly less to purchase (no 25% sales tax, and no emissions fee saving a further ~\$20,000), fringe benefit tax is halved, cars can drive in bus lanes, and use toll roads and city street parking and charging stations for free. This has led to rapid adoption of electric cars: in around 4 years, over 70,000 fully electric cars (BEVs) have been purchased, or 100,000 cars (and 30% of recent car sales) if PHEVs are included. Norway has over 7000 charging points¹⁹.

Norway shows that incentives can occur without a major local car manufacturing industry. Norway has a similar population, land size, vehicle count, and an even higher proportion of renewable electricity generation as New Zealand.



Norway's government is considering stopping petrol car sales in 2025²⁰. Germany, U.K., California and New York (and others, see zevalliance.org) have committed to doing the same before 2050.

Denmark has similar incentives to Norway but only 4000 electric cars, with Denmark's dependence on coal to produce electricity a possible issue. Electric cars, even if recharged from coal-generated electricity, pollute the air less than petrol cars, but this is the opposite of popular belief.

¹⁸ energywise.govt.nz/on-the-road/electric-vehicles/

¹⁹ en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plug-in_electric_vehicles_in_Norway. Norway graphs and pie charts: gronnbil.no/statistikk/

²⁰ <http://www.hybridcars.com/norway-aiming-for-100-percent-zero-emission-vehicle-sales-by-2025/>

In New Zealand, the purchase of electric cars is unsubsidised, and there is no government target for growth of electric cars.

The one incentive provided is that electric cars do not pay road user charges (RUCs), a tax that pays for roading and transport programmes where car owners do not use petrol²¹. These are paid by diesel car owners; a small diesel car driving 10,000km a year would pay \$620²². The RUC exemption on electric cars was introduced in 2009²³ and will be reviewed in 2020²⁴, although other forms of incentives by the government may be introduced in 2016²⁵.

Fully electric and plug-in hybrid cars currently cost about \$230 per year in vehicle licensing²⁶. This is about \$100 more than petrol cars, due to ACC levies. When you purchase petrol, some of that purchase pays ACC. When you buy electricity, you are not funding ACC, and so this is substituted with a higher "non petrol driven car" ACC vehicle levy. Traditional hybrids, despite having batteries, gain all of their energy via petrol purchases, and use the cheaper "petrol driven car" ACC levy.²⁷

A comprehensive research report²⁸ on electric car policy was published by Barry Barton at University of Waikato in late 2015. The report reviewed what worked in other countries and how to apply that to New Zealand, and concluded electric car growth here would be best supported by introducing a cost-neutral "feebate" scheme. Used overseas, this is where the government adds a cost to buying "dirty" cars and uses that money to reduce the cost of electric and fuel-efficient cars. The report noted New Zealand is one of very few countries globally to have no fuel efficiency standards, which place costs or restrictions on buying cars with high greenhouse gas emissions.

The Green Party has proposed Fringe Benefit Tax exemptions, investment in public chargers, and transitioning the Crown limousine fleet to the Tesla Model S to grow electric car adoption²⁹.

KEY ORGANISATIONS SUPPORTING ELECTRIC CARS

The key New Zealand organisations who would play a natural role to do with electric cars are still in the early stages of supporting their adoption. The New Zealand government has officially stated it is a "fan" of electric cars and expects them to be common in future years³⁰. Ministry of Transport, New Zealand Transit Agency, Energy Efficiency Conservation Agency (EECA)³¹, the AA, councils, and power companies are starting to educate the public to the existence and financial savings associated with electric cars, and some are buying small numbers of electric cars for use by staff. However there is not yet highly visible or co-ordinated efforts across these organisations. No car brands have yet carried out any large scale promotions to create buyer awareness of their electric cars in New Zealand. The industry body for the sector is Drive Electric (DriveElectric.org.nz).

Globally, the greatest amount of leadership in generating large-scale electric car adoption is Tesla Motors and its charismatic and detail-obsessed founder and leader Elon Musk.³²

²¹ <http://www.nzta.govt.nz/vehicles/licensing-rego/road-user-charges/about-ruc/>

²² Calculator available at <http://www.transport.govt.nz/land/roadusercharges/light-petrol-vs-diesel/>

²³ <http://www.beehive.govt.nz/release/electric-vehicles-be-ruc-exempt>

²⁴ <http://www.beehive.govt.nz/speech/speech-2014-electric-vehicle-industry-and-government-roundtable>

²⁵ <https://www.national.org.nz/news/news/speeches/detail/2015/10/20/Opportunities-to-cut-NZ-s-road-transport-emissions->

²⁶ Nissan Leaf BEV, Outlander PHEV, Toyota traditional hybrid, Toyota Corolla (petrol) price checked at rightcar.govt.nz

²⁷ <http://www.eca.co.nz/for-individuals/other-motorists/WPC137732>

²⁸ http://www.waikato.ac.nz/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/278080/Electric-Vehicle-Policy-New-Zealand-in-a-Comparative-Context.pdf

²⁹ greens.org.nz/policy/smarter-economy/business-tax-breaks-clean-transport-options and stuff.co.nz/national/politics/policies/10485790/Greens-plug-in-motorists-with-cash-tempter

³⁰ Several sources, e.g. national.org.nz/news/news/speeches/detail/2015/10/20/Opportunities-to-cut-NZ-s-road-transport-emissions-

³¹ Of the organisations listed, EECA has the most complete guide: eecabusiness.govt.nz/technologies/electric-vehicles/

³² waitbutwhy.com/2015/06/how-tesla-will-change-your-life.html provides a very comprehensive look at Elon Musk and Tesla Motors, and the history and rationale of the electric car, and his spacecraft business, solar energy, and battery technology.

CHARGING your car



A new unit of measurement

We use kilowatt-hours (kWh) not litres to measure electricity, so you're unlikely to talk to electric car drivers about dollars per litre, and instead hear them discuss:

- cents per kWh, the cost of electricity; determines the cost of travelling and charging
- km per kWh, similar to 'miles per gallon', or how far you're driving for a unit of electricity
- kWh as a size of battery, which gives you an idea of how far you can drive (range)
- kW as a speed of charging, which gives you an idea of how quick to recharge



Depending on driving style and car, you can usually expect to travel around 5 to 6km per kWh. Most car charging happens at night while owners sleep. A study of 8000 U.S. electric car owners showed 85% of charging was at home, much of the remainder at their place of employment, and the occasional recharge elsewhere during long trips.³³

The regular 230 volt AC electricity in our homes, and the regular socket we use for all household appliances is all you need to recharge your car. You may see U.S. or other websites describe "Level 1" or "Level 2" charging. Level 1 describes the ~100 voltage found in USA and Japan, which is half what we have in New Zealand. This is very slow and has no relevance in New Zealand. Level 2 describes the 230 volts found in New Zealand. Level 3 is very high voltage fast charging.

<p>Normal 3 pin socket (S3112) 8-10 amps, single phase AC 230V 1.8 - 2.3 kW</p> <p>10km+ per hour recharging 100km takes 10 hours³⁴</p> 	<p>This is what you find throughout New Zealand homes and offices. For most people, it is sufficient to charge their cars overnight during low-cost off peak hours (11pm-7am). This is probably what you already have inside your garage at home.</p> <p>If your car doesn't come with a cable for this socket, you can purchase a portable 8 amp unit at JuicePoint.co.nz.</p> <p>This is too slow to be very useful for daytime recharging.</p> <p><i>Note: A larger 15 amp version of this 3-pin plug/socket is available, however is not recommended for continual use; the higher current warms the small metal pins, posing a fire risk.</i></p>
<p>Blue Commando (IEC 60309) 16 amps, single phase AC 230V 3.7 kW</p> <p>18km+ per hour recharging 100km takes 5 hours</p> 	<p>These are the plugs found in campgrounds all over the country, used by campervans. Having an EVSE or adapter for this socket lets you recharge in many locations around the country, and allows a higher current, faster charge. You can get an electrician to fit this socket at home. The thick metal pins are well suited to repeated, prolonged use and rugged outdoor conditions, and won't heat up as easily, reducing fire risk. One supplier of Blue Commando based equipment is www.BlueCars.nz.</p> <p>Unless a car is parked for many hours, this is rather slow for daytime recharging, but it does provide a very low cost solution.</p>

³³ See 0h50m on EVTV show <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7NlmTiaR1Zg> and various other research papers at <http://avt.inl.gov> (Advanced Vehicle Testing Activity, Idaho National Laboratory, USA)

³⁴ km/hour charging on this and next page is a rough guide on the basis of 5 km per kWh; you'll go a little further in flat/urban driving.

<p>Dedicated units (EVSEs) 15 - 40 amps, single or 3-phase AC 3-22kW</p> <p>Speed depends on many factors about the car and the EVSE. Can charge between 18km and 115km per hour.</p> 	<p>For around \$800 or more, you can buy a dedicated wall-mounted charger. These reduce the time it takes to start and stop charging - you don't have to grab or stow the charging cable in your car.</p> <p>Fancier units able to take payment from users, draw much higher current, display information on your smartphone³⁵, or in the future, return electricity from your car back into your home.</p> <p>The cable is permanently attached on most units. The cable has a specific plug at the end which fits into your car. Pay attention to get the one that your car needs. Because the plug is specific to electric cars, it deters others using the electrical socket, potentially useful in public locations.</p> <p>Cars have a maximum pace of charging; e.g. older Nissan Leafs can charge up to 3.6 kW and newer Leafs up to 6.6 kW, so while a dedicated 22 kW charger will work, it will charge only as fast as the car supports. On the other hand, a Renault Zoe and Tesla Motors cars can charge up to 22 kW, and drivers might be impatient when using a lower-rated unit.</p> <p>These units (especially 3phase 22kW) will provide quick charging speeds suited to users parked at destinations (e.g. workplaces, supermarkets), without the cost of fast chargers (below). Public destinations however will need to cater for multiple socket types.</p>
<p>Fast Chargers 80+ amps, 400V, 3 phase input, inverted and supplied to car as DC. (50kW and higher³⁶)</p> <p>250km per hour recharging 100km takes 25 minutes³⁷</p> 	<p>All of the earlier options take hours for a car to recharge. Fast chargers by comparison take only around 25 minutes for cars with small batteries, and do this by providing a much greater amount of electricity (at least 20 times the amount found in your regular residential socket). Like petrol, you can choose just to 'top up' your car and put in a few minutes' worth of power.</p> <p>This type of charging equipment is expensive to purchase and install (tens of thousands of dollars). They are purchased by organisations and put in key locations where a high volume of car owners can drive to, such as supermarkets or petrol stations. They are ill-suited to locations where people intend to park for hours; a slower charger would be more appropriate there.</p> <p>Fast chargers are useful to enable electric cars to tour the country, or for drivers unable to wait for or access a slow charger.</p>

Your car will normally come with a portable cable for only *one* of the two wall-sockets pictured on the previous page. Consider owning your car for a few weeks so you can confirm whether you actually need to buy another cable, socket, or charging unit. Do not allow a car dealer to sell you a cable for a Japanese shaped wall socket; this will be unsafe. It must be designed for NZ use.







³⁵ Pictured EVSE: JuiceBox 40 by eMotorWerks, crowd-funded via Kickstarter, available via juicepoint.co.nz.

³⁶ 50kW is typical in NZ. Overseas, Tesla has "SuperChargers" that charge as high as 135kW. See teslamotors.com/supercharger

³⁷ Assuming your battery is large enough and you travel 5km per kWh; you could go further with urban/flat driving.

car sockets

The plug/socket connection on the car is designed specifically to be durable for continuous use and to be safe. Your car will come with a cable to fit your car. There are multiple standards based on manufacturer, country, and charging speed:

Slow (AC)	Fast (DC)	Combo (slow AC and fast DC)
<p>Type 1 ("J1772") (Japan / US)</p>  <p>Nissan Leaf and eNV200 Mitsubishi iMiev and Outlander Holden Volt Audi A3 e-tron BMW i3</p>	<p>CHAdEMO (Japan / US)</p>  <p>Nissan Leaf and eNV200 Mitsubishi iMiev and some Outlander BMW i3 imported from Japan</p>	<p>Type 1 CCS (Japan / US)</p>  <p>BMW i3 bought in NZ</p>
<p>Type 2 ("Mennekes") (Europe)</p>  <p>Renault Zoe, Kangoo Tesla (see note right on Supercharger)</p>	<p>Tesla Supercharger (Japan/US)</p>  <p><i>Unlikely to be found on a Tesla in NZ. Tesla bought in Australia and Europe can fast charge using Type 2 (without CCS) due to a special use of the socket.</i></p>	<p>Type 2 CCS (Europe)</p>  <p>BMW i3 and VW eGolf bought in UK (Uncommon in NZ)</p>

Note: Cars come with different socket types depending on country of origin. Guide above is based on most common configuration for cars in New Zealand.

Adapters can be bought (e.g. to allow a Tesla car to connect to a CHAdEMo station, or a slow-charge Type 1 to 2 or vice versa).



CHAdEMO fast-charging plug

calculating recharging times and costs

Charging speed is rated in kW and battery capacity in kWh. For example, a 7kW charger would take 10 hours to recharge a 70kWh battery. Note that the last 10% or so of a battery is charged less quickly to preserve battery health.


The cheapest way to recharge your car at home is using residential off-peak overnight rates. You might need to switch your power provider or plan to do so. Assuming you commute 40km a day, you would probably need about 8 units of power (kWh) to recharge, which at a low rate of 11 cents per kWh would equate to \$0.88 a day for driving. Costs to use fast chargers (unless free!) or power during the day (or outside urban centres) tends to be a few times more expensive. Either way it is cheaper than petrol driving.

where can i charge?


Besides home, which is where the majority of charging takes place, some employers are providing slow charging sockets in staff car parks. There are also public charging locations:

- A national network of nearly 100 fast chargers being installed by www.charge.net.nz enabling long distance electric car travel along state highways. The first stations were installed in 2015 and the network is growing month to month. (Map below right)
- Fast and slow chargers provided by electricity companies, currently concentrated to Whangarei, Auckland, Hamilton, and Dunedin.
- A nationwide coverage of hotels, motels and campervan grounds where you can plug in at powered car parks for slow charging. These often require a Blue Commando plug.
- Tesla is expected to build its own charging network but have not given timelines.

Slow Charging (for destinations)



Fast Charging Network




Visit www.plugshare.com (pictured left) or use the PlugShare smartphone app for a map. PlugShare explains whether there is a cost involved in charging at that location.

If you offer car charging to staff, customers, or the public, you should certainly list it on PlugShare (it's free). Include a description on whether charging is free to the public, free to customers, or paid, or restricted to employees, the hours of operation (hopefully 24/7!), and upload photos to promote your listing. Add signage to the physical space (e.g. "Electric car charging park"), to increase public awareness of electric cars, and to stop petrol cars blocking the park.




BATTERIES: SIZE, LIFE, REPLACEMENT

Electric car batteries weigh several hundred kilograms and sit in the floor of the car. This gives the cars a low centre of gravity, adding stability when cornering and accelerating.



Batteries positioned under floor (Tesla Model S)

Battery size is measured in kilowatt-hours, or kWh. Lower priced electric cars have ~24 kWh batteries and the high-end Tesla Motors cars come close to 100 kWh. This affects range and cost.



The life of a battery is reduced when at extreme high or low levels of charge³⁸. To avoid cars reaching either end, not all of the battery capacity is made available.

You can lengthen the life of your battery by fully charging it only on occasion (hence the “80% charge” option on most cars) and by avoiding the car being left too long at a high or low level of charge (e.g. finishing your charge at 7am is ideal, but if it gets totally flat, recharge a bit straight away. The battery will last longer if it is generally around a third to half charged. Hot temperatures (particularly over 30°C) reduce battery life. Excessive (more than daily, for years) fast-charging will slightly reduce battery life³⁹.

Nissan state expected battery capacity to reduce to 80% after 5 years and 70% at 10 years, assuming 20,000km of annual driving in a Los Angeles climate (10-30°C, average ~20°C)⁴⁰. Car manufacturers use different battery chemistries which may offer different lifespans. You can assess battery capacity on the dashboard or smartphone app when you test drive a car⁴¹. While minor loss of capacity is typical in a used vehicle (e.g. 10%), you might be saving half or a third of the cost of a new car, and the range will be still be higher than a typical daily drive. Car batteries have warranties, but conditions vary. Only some dealers provide warranties with used imports.

Eventually the battery will need replacement. It can then be recycled or, reused, for example by homeowners who want to store electricity from solar panels or overnight off-peak power.

- You may be able to buy a battery with more capacity than the car initially came with.
- You may need to replace only individual dead cells, at lower price than a full replacement.
- A new Nissan Leaf battery costs little under \$10,000 (2015); prices are quickly falling.

³⁸ Wealth of battery information at batteryuniversity.com; Dalhousie Uni lecture by Jeff Dahn [youtube.com/watch?v=9qi03QawZEK](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9qi03QawZEK)

³⁹ US government study on slow vs fast charging: [avt.inl.gov/pdf/energystorage/FastChargeEffects.pdf](https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2014/06/f16/AVT-ET-14-001-FastChargeEffects.pdf)

⁴⁰ www.electricvehiclewiki.com/Battery_Capacity_Loss#Nissan.27s_Responses_and_Actions

⁴¹ Nissan Leaf shows health on dashboard; LeafSpy is an iOS / Android app showing more detail. Similar tools exist for other cars.

GO FOR A TEST DRIVE!

The experience of test-driving an electric car is what commonly gives buyers the confidence to proceed with the purchase. You can test drive an electric car by asking a dealer, asking existing owners if they're prepared to let you drive theirs, or rent some by the day from www.bluecars.nz.

WHERE TO BUY AND GET SERVICE?

Used and new car dealers throughout New Zealand sell electric vehicles; you will find many listings on TradeMe.co.nz. Tesla sells direct from their website. Cars bought in the Japan or the U.K. are eligible for electric car incentives and these can reduce the price of imports by thousands of dollars.

If buying a used car from Japan, the dashboard consoles are usually not in English, but some dealers replace these with English systems but this can reduce dashboard features. Official local support and service is found for the models above (except Tesla, which is coming soon).

COMMUNITY GROUPS AND EVENTS

Several events and active Facebook groups are run and organised by electric car owners, e.g.:

EVolocity, the largest annual national electric vehicle event, including test drives, demonstrations, workshops, competitions between petrol and electric race cars, and a high-school competition in which teams design and build their own electric vehicles. (Last was Nov 2015). evolocity.co.nz

Leading the Charge, an annual roadtrip of Tesla and other electric cars from the north to the south of New Zealand, stopping in towns for display. facebook.com/LeadingTheCharge/ (**April 2016**)

Facebook “EV Owner” groups

- NZ EV Owners: facebook.com/groups/NZEVOwners/ (*lots of discussion*)
- Christchurch: facebook.com/groups/ChristchurchEVGroup/
- Whangarei: facebook.com/WhangareiElectricVehicleMeetup/ and facebook.com/revupnz/
- Wellington: facebook.com/groups/WellyEV/

Send questions, corrections, feedback to sigurdmagnusson@gmail.com.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

Jim McVerry

Email address:

J.P. McVerry@XTRA.CO.NZ

Preferred contact phone number:

06 327 8936

Your postal address:

12 Wanganui Rd
M

Town:

Marton

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☐ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council’s proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council’s hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

**Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf?
 (please circle preferred option)**

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other:

- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council’s proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council’s administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton’s civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don’t think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council’s rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17?
 (use extra pages if necessary)**

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

**Submissions close at midday on Friday,
 6 May 2016.**



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name: Toni Kane

Email address:

toni.kane@hypeacademy.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

022 095 0745

Your postal address:

28 Main St

Town: Marton, 4710

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or
☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☒ Option 2 – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☒ Option 3 – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ Option 4 – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.


Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☐ Option 1 – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ Option 2 – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Submission Form



Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

MRS. VICKY POWER

Email address:

vicky.power93@yahoo.co.nz

Preferred contact phone number:

021 121 9636

Your postal address:

146 PAREWANUI ROAD
RD 1.

Town: BULLS 4894

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

- ☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber
- ☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

- ☒ an individual, or
- ☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

- ☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution
- ☐ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.
- ☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- a. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- b. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- c. A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- d. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- e. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- f. A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- g. Other: _____
- h. No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☒ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☐ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.



Submission Form

Submitter details (please print clearly):

Your name:

W Gray

Email address:

Preferred contact phone number:

06 322 0886

Your postal address:

1941 Parewanui Rd

Scotts Ferry

Town:

Bulls

How would you prefer to receive correspondence relating to your submission and the hearings?:

☒ Email ☐ Letter

Would you like to speak to your submission at the hearings being held on 19 May? If yes, do you wish to (please tick):

☐ present in person in Marton at the Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from the Taihape Council Chamber

☐ dial in via skype from another location (please provide skype details)

Are you writing this submission as:

☒ an individual, or
☐ on behalf of an organisation

If on behalf of an organisation, please provide details:

Organisation:

Position:

☐ yes I would like to subscribe to Council's e-newsletter

Should Council continue to invest in youth development, and if so, to what extent? (please tick)

☐ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – with a 50% external funding contribution

☐ **Option 2** – I support developing the Marton Youth Club and Taihape Youth Club into Youth One Stop Shops – even if there was no external funding contribution

☒ **Option 3** – I prefer Council continue to provide the current after-school and school holiday programmes in Marton and Taihape, while acknowledging Council may not secure long-term funding to cover part of the costs.

☐ **Option 4** – No I don't support Council delivering youth services.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should Council construct a new amenity block in Taihape Memorial Park? (please tick)

☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal of constructing a new amenity block in Memorial Park, conditional on \$100,000 being funded from external agencies.

☐ **Option 2** – I do not support Council's proposal but do support further consideration of refurbishing facilities in the grandstand.

Providing a replacement multi-sport artificial turf facility in Marton using the insurance pay-out. (please tick)

- ☒ **Option 1** – Yes I support Council's proposal to develop turf facilities in Marton by assigning the \$100,000 insurance pay-out to Rangitikei College.
- ☐ **Option 2** – I support the option of reinstating the Council's hockey turf at Centennial Park.

Do you have an alternative option?

Should a ratepayer contribution be used to help fund the artificial turf? (please circle preferred option)

Options – I support the following option as the ratepayer contribution towards the turf development:

- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only
- A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only
- Other:

- No rate-funded contribution to either school

Should Council increase the sum transferred into the roading reserve

- ☐ **Option 1** – I agree with Council's proposal to retain the \$200,000 transfer to the roading reserve
- ☒ **Option 2** – I think Council should increase the sum transferred to the roading reserve to \$400,000

Should Council proceed with the purchase of the Cobbler/Davenport/ Abraham & Williams properties on Broadway/High Street Marton as the site for Council's administration and library services?

- ☒ **Option 1 – Yes** – I think this is appropriate given these sites were one of the two preferred locations in the Town centre Plan for Marton's civic centre.
- ☐ **Option 2 – No** – I don't think Council should take this opportunity and should concentrate on strengthening and upgrading its existing administration and library buildings

Do you agree with the proposed addition to Council's rates remission policy?

- ☒ Yes ☐ No

What other issues would you like Council to consider as part of its planning for 2016/17? (use extra pages if necessary)

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. The content on this form including your personal information and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Rangitikei District Council, 46 High Street, Marton. You have the right to access and correct any personal information included in any reports, information or submissions.

Submissions close at midday on Friday, 6 May 2016.

	Your name:	Glenn jongen	Nadene Jongen	Mike Jacques	Jacqui Down	Ngaire Wishnowsky	Amanda Glasgow	Nikki Hockly
	Town:	Marton	Marton	Palmerston North	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:							
Youth Development Option	1	✓	✓	✓			✓	
	2				✓	✓		
	3				✓			
	4							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓	✓	✓			✓	
	2							
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
	2							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only		A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:					I support a rate-funded contribution to Rangitikei College, and to Nga Tawa provided the public is assured of access to the turf at Nga Tawa, but I am not sure of costs so I cannot decide on which option to tick. ☐		
Roading Reserve Options	1	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓
	2					✓		
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
	2							
Rates Remission Policy	Yes	✓	✓	✓			✓	
	No							
	Any other issues?						4	

	Your name:	Helen Campbell	Andrea Zander	Helen Clare	Jo Trotter	Jordie Peters	Paulette Bremner	Adri Klopper
	Town:	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Bulls	Marton
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:							
Youth Development Option	1		✓	✓				
	2		✓		✓			✓
	3	✓						
	4							
	Do you have an alternative option?				No I believe YOSS are very effective. Supporting our youth is vital to building a healthier future for our community.			
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1							✓
	2							
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
	2							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
	Other:							
Roading Reserve Options	1			✓			✓	
	2							
Civic Centre Marton Options	1						✓	
	2		✓	✓				
Rates Remission Policy	Yes				✓			
	No			✓			✓	
	Any other issues?	I would like to see the Council actively try to keep students in Marton for their education instead of the bus loads that leave town each day. I am a Rangitikei College ex pupil and I think it is a huge positive for the community if the schools work together to support each other. To bring people into the community for tournaments and training would benefit all businesses in Marton. With accommodation facilities available for hire in the holidays training camps are a possibility. Sport in a community directed at students and young people is always positive. Investment into both of the turfs by the council would be a step to future proof our rural community.		I would like to see council clean up all vacant and over grown section in Marton, including the section formally known as Benchmark, This section is nothing more than an eyesore to our town. It makes the whole Junction area look abandoned and not cared for. Its a safety hazard to children and its only a matter of time until some child is hurt, lost or killed in there. If you can charge residents for cleaning up over grown sections, why do you not clean up your own. Help Junction to look more attractive to penitential home buyers.				

	Your name:	Alison Hanegraaf	Debbie Sutton	Todd Suttyn	Jessica Lowe	Kelly Sutton	Liz Rayner	Tony Cosgrave	Kim Duxfield
	Town:	Marto	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Palmerston North
	Speak to submission?								
	Organisation:								
Youth Development Option	1	✓		✓	✓				✓
	2								
	3				✓		✓		
	4								
	Do you have an alternative option?						Not sure what a "One stop Shop" actually means. Would be happier encouraging youth to become involved in existing clubs and sports.		
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1				✓		✓		
	2			✓					
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	2								
	Do you have an alternative option?								
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:								
Roading Reserve Options	1	✓		✓	✓		✓		
	2								
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓		✓			✓		
	2				✓				
Rates Remission Policy	Yes	✓		✓	✓		✓		
	No								
	Any other issues?						Ensure Mid West Disposals pay their fair share of the cost to Council of meeting compliance levels after leachate is deposited into the Marton Wastewater system.		

	Your name:	Alisha Maas	Janet Hastings	Gaye Bailey	Gaylene McConachy	Lavinia Elder	Karen Leighton	Bruce Leighton	Anne Abraham
	Town:	Marton	Marton	Feilding	Marton 4787	Marton	Marton	Marton	MARTON
	Speak to submission?								
	Organisation:								Rangitikei Hockey Association
Youth Development Option	1				✓				
	2								
	3								
	4								
	Do you have an alternative option?								
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1								
	2				✓				
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
	2								
	Do you have an alternative option?					No. This multi sport proposal accompanied with the Hockey Turf proposal for Nga Tawa would build an impressive whole community national facility. ☐ The community value added justifies the \$100,000 option for each site.			
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:								
Roading Reserve Options	1								
	2								
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓							
	2		✓		✓		✓		
Rates Remission Policy	Yes				✓				
	No								
	Any other issues?								

	Your name:	Ashley Holland	Teresa Burne	Richard Lambert	Julie Turner	Libby Rayner	Jenny Price	Peter Thomas
	Town:	Bulls	Marton	Hunternville	Marton	Marton	Hunternville	Feilding
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:							
Youth Development Option	1						✓	
	2				✓			
	3							
	4							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1				✓			
	2						✓	
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	
	2							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School		A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:	Giving funding to both Rangitikei College and Nga Tawa Diocesan School to complete its plan for a turf complex will help to strengthen both schools ties to the community. ☐ Having a state of the art Hockey turf complex will allow for the development of Hockey within the Rangitikei district and will give Rangitikei district players the opportunity to play on conditions that will foster their own development.☐ Having turf facilities at both Ragitikei College and Nga Tawa Diocesan School will bring more business to Marton and give an economic boost to the local businesses.					We need to accomodate youth in the Rangitikei. We would be able to hold local and national events which would bring money into the Rangitikei.this would help develop young sporting talent in the Rangitikei and help with health and well being, given our obesity epidemic amongst youth in NZ	
Roading Reserve Options	1			✓	✓			
	2							
Civic Centre Marton Options	1			✓				
	2				✓		✓	
Rates Remission Policy	Yes							
	No				✓		✓	
	Any other issues?						Stop constant rate increases	

	Your name:	Charlotte Menzies	Beverley Andrews	Karen Stothart	Michelle Macpherson	Maree Gurney	Annabel Whisker	Lynette Thompson
	Town:	Dannevirke	Marton 4741	Palmerston North	Palmerston North	Marton	Bulls	Hunterville 4745
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:		Nga Tawa Diocesan					
Youth Development Option	1							✓
	2							
	3							
	4							
	Do you have an alternative option?							
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓						✓
	2							
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	
	2							✓
	Do you have an alternative option?							
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:						This will be an amazing facility for children from the whole Rangitikei District. We will also see more of our children playing sports in our own region instead of travelling to other areas that have the facilities. I believe also, that facilities like these will attract some new people to the region for education.	
Roading Reserve Options	1							
	2							✓
Civic Centre Marton Options	1					✓		✓
	2							
Rates Remission Policy	Yes							
	No							✓
	Any other issues?					I would urge the Council to plan and take steps to preserve Marton township's unique heritage buildings. Bringing the Council services into the community centre would both be convenient to community members and add more vibrance, in a declining retail market. This could be our community's "saving grace" in years to come. I realise this comes with a cost - but the cost to our community will ultimately be higher if our heritage is destroyed. Thank you for your consideration.		

	Your name:	Joy Thomson	William Randles	Sarah Lourie	Angus Lourie	Nic Symes	Anne Abraham	Richard goodwin	Sam Weston
	Town:	Marton	Marton	Marton	Marton	Bulls	Marton	Kimbolton	Hunterville
	Speak to submission?								
	Organisation:								
Youth Development Option	1		✓						
	2								
	3	✓							
	4								
	Do you have an alternative option?								
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓	✓						
	2								
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	2								
	Do you have an alternative option?								
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:		\$100 000 be given to Rangitikei College ☐ AND ☐ \$100 000 be used to develop all weather mini turfs at local (state) primary schools in the district. ☐ This will ensure every primary school, every primary age child and others have easy and free access to all weather playing surface.☐ Clubs and schools will be able to run practises for primary school aged sports teams.☐ A majority of the districts families and children will never ever use or see the benefit of a turf at Nga Tawa, however there is a huge and immediate benefit in encouraging primary schools to put in smaller turfs that everyone has easy access to and free of charge.						
Roading Reserve Options	1	✓	✓						
	2			✓	✓				
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓	✓	✓	✓				
	2								
Rates Remission Policy	Yes	✓	✓						
	No								
	Any other issues?								

	Your name:	Tris Weston	Simon Hadlow	Charles Duncan	Charles Duncan	Elisabeth Bunn	Beverley Andrews	Maureen Wigglesworth	Kirsten Greenway	Nicola Rowe
	Town:	Hunterville	New Plymouth 4310	Hunterville	Hunterville	Mangaweka	Marton 4741	Marton	Feilding	Marton
	Speak to submission?									
	Organisation:			Duncan Land Company Ltd			Nga Tawa			
Youth Development Option	1									
	2									
	3					✓				
	4			✓	✓					
	Do you have an alternative option?									
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1			✓	✓	✓				
	2									
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	2									
	Do you have an alternative option?									
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only
	Other:									
Roading Reserve Options	1					✓				
	2			✓	✓					
Civic Centre Marton Options	1									
	2			✓	✓			✓		
Rates Remission Policy	Yes							✓		
	No			✓	✓					
	Any other issues?									

	Your name:	BRUCE ALLARDICE	David Marshall	Sarah Marshall	Marton Community Committee	Alex Dalgety	Donovan Hopkinson	Frances Mullan
	Town:	Wanganui	MARTON	MARTON	Marton	Hunterville	Marton	Marton 4710
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:				Marton Community Committee			
Youth Development Option	1					✓	✓	
	2							
	3							
	4							
	Do you have an alternative option?				We support the development of a "one-stop shop". We recommend that appropriate after-school and holiday programmes are provided as a minimum service level. We acknowledge that funding options may not always be readily available, but think that any balance of funding required should be met by council.☐			
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓				✓	✓	
	2							
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓				✓		✓
	2			✓				
	Do you have an alternative option?		To Nga Tawa school		We do not support the reinstatement of Astroturf at Centennial Park, if a more economical option would be to return the area to grass (or other surface) to make good the damaged area to create a workable / useable area.☐ The balance of the insurance payout should then be directed to funding for youth services. ☐ We do not support provision of any insurance funding for Nga Tawa or Rangitikei College.☐			
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School only	No rate-funded contribution to either school	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School		
	Other:							I support an equal rate-funded contribution to both schools but I do not have sufficient knowledge or understanding of required costs or alternative funding mechanisms available to make a judgement call on appropriate quantum.
Roading Reserve Options	1				✓	✓	✓	
	2							
Civic Centre Marton Options	1					✓	✓	
	2			✓	✓			
Rates Remission Policy	Yes					✓	✓	
	No				✓			
	Any other issues?				Property Purchase - We feel the council currently own sufficient land already which can be built upon without the need to purchase more land, particularly when a loan is proposed to fund the land purchase.			Marton Civic Centre Development:☐We support the Council demonstrating leadership in developing the Marton town centre in this way. The development will act as a strong catalyst for future growth and encourage further third party investment in Marto's retail footprint. The proposed co-location of Library, Council and community space offers a prudent, efficient solution to community needs including higher levels of community engagement. However to optimise the expected benefits of this opportunity, the development needs to be a well-designed, intuitively inviting space that engages the community. Our preference would be for the development to retain the facade wherever it makes good architectural and visual sense. We acknowledge the value of retaining our unique architectural streetscape.

	Your name:	Richelle Hopkinson	John Turkington	Paul Geurtjens	Emma Baker	Sue Larkan	Karlene Gould	Cathy Lusby
	Town:	Marton	Marton	Bulls	Palmerston North	Feilding	Wanganui	Feilding
	Speak to submission?							
	Organisation:						Nga Tawa Diocesan School Marton	
Youth Development Option	1	✓						
	2		✓		✓			
	3							
	4			✓				
	Do you have an alternative option?			I believe council should continue to provide and maintain sporting, cultural and recreational facilities.☐ Youth clubs and the like should be left to private enterprise groups,social and service clubs.				
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓	✓					
	2			✓	✓			
Multisport Turf Options	1		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
	2							
	Do you have an alternative option?	Not sure						
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$50,000 to Rangitikei College and \$50,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School		A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:			If Rangitikei college were to receive 100k as part of the insurance pay out we are then looking at only a further 100k to support Nga Tawa. ☐ don' believe we can support one school over another. I do believe the Marton Community under estimates the amount of business that is brought into the Rangitikei by our schools particularly Nga Tawa and Huntly as the majority of families come from outside the district but spend their money locally.				
Roading Reserve Options	1	✓		✓	✓			
	2		✓					
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓	✓					
	2			✓	✓			
Rates Remission Policy	Yes	✓	✓	✓				
	No							
	Any other issues?			Comment regarding the Davenport building purchase.☐im Mourdant is a very successful and astute businessman. I do not believe the proposed purchase is either cheap or in the best interest of the Marton Business Community 1) I do not know of any other town that has council buildings in the heart of its retail sector. If this were to go ahead it would occupy valuable retail space for the indefinite future compromising future growth.☐2) I can understand why this building is for sale at a discounted price. A sale would nullify any responsibilities the current owner has to earthquake strengthen the property which will have to be done under law in due course or replaced. if replaced it still will offer valuable retail space.☐3) The current council site is a good one,it is big enough, well known and easily accessible parking wise. The current parking garage building fronting high street could be demolished and a new building could be built across the west boundary whiel not interrupting day to day operations apart from the obvious noise.☐				

	Your name:	Stuart Munro	Tracey Brannelly	Ruth Snijders	Grant Huwyler	Wayne Spencer
	Town:	Taihape	Marton	Marton	Bulls	Marton
	Speak to submission?					
	Organisation:				Te Runanga o Nga Wairiki - Ngati Apa	
Youth Development Option	1	✓			✓	✓
	2	✓			✓	
	3					
	4					
	Do you have an alternative option?			Youth Club(s) should conduct their own fundraising and the Council could then match dollar for dollar up to a set maximum. Fundraising could follow the good work done at Centennial Park. Applications for external funding should continue. Object is to help the youth learn the value of money and engage with the public. The structure could be easily developed with the current youth organisation.☐		
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1	✓				✓
	2			✓		
Multisport Turf Options	1		✓		✓	✓
	2	✓				
	Do you have an alternative option?			Council should organise the removal of the flood damaged surface and grass the area with the insurance pay out. There will be money left which can go towards enhancing the facilities at Centennial Park including the addition of public toilets alongside the netball clubrooms. These should be clearly seen from the road. Once the enhancements are complete, user groups will be responsible for their upkeep. If there is any money left, that should go to the Youth Club.☐		
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	No rate-funded contribution to either school	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	No rate-funded contribution to either school	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:			Again, like the Youth Club, the College(s) should engage with the public and fundraise. As a result there would be better community participation rather than being forced to donate through their rates. We would also see dollar for dollar got to the facility. A public exhibition should be instigated.		
Roding Reserve Options	1			✓		✓
	2	✓				
Civic Centre Marton Options	1					✓
	2	✓		✓		
Rates Remission Policy	Yes					✓
	No			✓		
	Any other issues?	I would like council to consider installing a heating system for the Taihape town hall. Recent events staged at the hall attracted in excess of 1200 patrons at 2 separate events during the month of April. At the event staged in mid April the hall was very cold, and the as a committee member of the Taihape Drama Club I was embarrassed that we where unable to provide our audience with a comfortable experience. I would also submit that council undertake earthquake strengthening of the town hall rather than demolish the building. The hall is the only venue able to house a performance of any significant size. None of the proposed redevelopment options for this site/building presented to the community allowed for a viable performance venue. I note that council proposes spending \$200,000 on artificial turf at both Nga Tawa school and Rangitikei College, which presumably they will have no further control over, yet they decry spending a "six figure sum"; (as stated by your CEO, with no supporting evidence) on earthquake strengthening an asset they are unlikely to be able to replace if they demolish it.		Taihape Memorial Park - The terms of the loan should be stated and how long the ratepayer will go on paying this facility. Proper information should be given about the long term impact on rates. Is there any fundraising by the user groups and if so where are they at, a public exhibition would be the best forum.☐Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham and Williams Buildings - The council should redevelop their existing site. The CBD does not need a building only open from 8.30am to 5pm Monday to Friday except public holidays with a couple of hours on Saturday for the library. It needs small retail units, additional eateries(themed) and other leisure uses. All open 24/7. Work could begin a year. If we wait for a council building, town will be closed. If, as it appears, Council is committed to purchasing the buildings, they should vested in a Civic Trust as a kick start to redeveloping the town centre. Fund raising could be easily hung off the back of the proposal. Art Deco Napier attracts 10s of millions of tourist dollars through the retention of its heritage buildings. They are also earthquake prone but we do not see whole sale demolition. Even Hastings are saving their theatre. Rates Remission Policy - Council need to address how rates are assigned to various types of property. It may be that someone rich is living in a low value property with disproportionately high rates. If they are on low income then there are means to get a rebate through the DIA.		

	Your name:	Dominic Rayner	Alison Watters	Richard GOWER	Elaine Reilly
	Town:	Marton	Feilding	Marton	Palmerston North
	Speak to submission?				
	Organisation:				
Youth Development Option	1			✓	
	2				
	3				
	4	✓			
	Do you have an alternative option?	I think youth should be encouraged to join the local sports clubs around the district rather than have a club that encourages them to play video games and pub sports(pool and table tennis) that can all be done at home. Sport is the heart and soul of a community and there are more than enough clubs for youth to get involved in.			
Taihape Memorial Park Options	1			✓	
	2	✓			
Multisport Turf Options	1	✓	✓	✓	✓
	2				
	Do you have an alternative option?				
	Ratepayer contribution for artificial turf	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College only	A rate-funded contribution of \$100,000 to Rangitikei College and \$100,000 to Nga Tawa Diocesan School
	Other:				
Roading Reserve Options	1	✓			
	2			✓	
Civic Centre Marton Options	1	✓		✓	
	2				
Rates Remission Policy	Yes	✓		✓	
	No				
	Any other issues?	A full refurbishment of centennial park cricket grounds needs to be done. the field is very close to being unfit for cricket due to the bumpiness and a lot of visiting teams have complained. it is very dangerous and any day now someone will get a serious injury. the lolcal cricket club is very keen to take a leading hand in this but council help is required.		Unless it has already been investigated, that the The Old Benchmarck site on Kensington Road be discussed with Fonterra in regards to using it as a Milk Collection site. This would reduce the volume of Milk tankers on the roads and make good use of the rail system.☹ The milk train goes passed this site already. A similar site is in use at Oringi near Dannevirke. The area is near other business sites such as Nestle etc. Extra heavy transport numbers of milk tankers to this site would ensue but this is on or near the heavy traffic bypass anyway. Preferably the site could be sold rather than leased returning extra funds to the council for other capital works such as sports grounds (ie Taihpe upgrade, or astro turf) or even to assist with the development of the Cobbler/Davenport/Abraham and Williams site.	