

Simultaneous Consultation: Proposed Schedule of Fees & Charges 2016/17, Proposed Gambling (Class 4) Venue and TAB Venue Policies, Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016 Parewanui Road and, Draft Dog Control and Responsibility Policy and Control of Dogs Bylaw Submissions

Table of Contents

SUBMISSION	PAGE
Proposed Schedule of Fees & Charges 2016/17	2-15
A Oliver	2-3
Anonymous	4
Carolyn Bates - Marton Community Committee	5
Carolyn Bates	6-7
Elizabeth Mortland	8
Keith Rowland	9
Michelle Fannin	10
Dr P J Oliver	11
Robert Auld	12
Simone Simpson	13
Taihape Community Board	14
Taihape Community Development Trust	15
Proposed Gambling (Class 4) Venue and TAB Venue Policies	16-19
Carolyn Bates	16-17
Carolyn Bates – Marton Community Committee	18-19
Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016 Parewanui Road	20-
Carolyn Bates	20
Janet & Bruce Deihl	21
Joe & Karen Yorke	22
June Cockburn	23
Mark & Vicki Power	26
P Dady	27
Natalie Third	28
Steve Couper	29
Tom Clarke	30
W K Cowan	31
Draft Dog Control and Responsibility Policy and Control of Dogs Bylaw Submissions	32-72
Anonymous	32
Anonymous 2	34
Carolyn Bates	36
Carolyn Bates – Marton Community Committee	38

Charlotte Roweland	41
Judy Williams	43
Anonymous	45
Lisa Ross	47
Michelle Fannin – Taihape Community Board	49
Geoff McGuire	51
Heather Howe	54
J Hyde	56
Maragaret Robinson	66
E M Tolhurst	68
Silvia Rizzi	71-72

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

#4



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:03:30 PM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:05:24 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:54
IP Address: 125.239.227.124

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0346

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed
Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

Disagree

PAGE 2

Q2: If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Agree with park charges and hall charges.

Relating to:

Volumetric wastewater charges Base charge per
water meter connection charged per 3 month period includes 76m³ of flow use per period \$678.14
and

Domestic wastewater discharge consumption is calculated at 80% of the volume of water used as measured by water
meter . (This cost excludes trade waste) \$2.23

We submit that these volumetric waste water charges should not apply to metered water supplies where the user is on
private septic tank system and not connected to the public wastewater disposal system.

It was difficult to find information regarding this new charge and difficult to understand exactly how it is applied. I
request that council in future explains these new changes more clearly for the lay person.

PAGE 3

Q3: If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick
the box below.

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if
you would like your name withheld

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q5: Would you like to participate in future engagements
with Council?

Yes, I would like to participate in future engagements
with Council

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Q6: Address

Name	Mrs A Oliver
Address	1A Otaihape Valley Road
City/Town	Taihape
Postal Code	4720
Email Address	akanan1a@gmail.com
Phone Number	063881822

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 3:46:41 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 3:46:56 PM
Time Spent: 00:00:15
IP Address: 203.114.191.118

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16-2275

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed
Schedule of feesand Charges 2016/17?

Agree

PAGE 2

Q2: If you disagree, what changes do you think should
be considered?

*Respondent skipped this
question*

PAGE 3

Q3: If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick
the box below.

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if
you would like your name withheld

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q5: Would you like to participate in future engagements
with Council?

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q6: Address

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:20:36 AM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:23:19 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:43
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-RAP-2-1
Doc: 16 0276

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 2

Q2: If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

The Committee are generally in support of the proposed changes with the exception of:

Library Charges - Fax (International) charge should be retained at \$4.00.

Overdue Charges - We recommend the initiation of an overdue charge system, we feel when a book is overdue for >3 weeks (following the maximum number of renewals) a charge of \$1 per item per day be levied.

Out of District Members - We recommend the initiation of a fee and propose an annual membership charge of \$20. We feel this will cover the cost of toll calls to chase any overdue / missing books.

Parks Charges - Regular events (eg if 2-3 times a year or annually) should be provided with a discount.

PAGE 3

Q3: If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

Respondent skipped this question

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Would you like to participate in future engagements with Council?

Yes, I would like to participate in future engagements with Council

Q6: Address

Name

Carolyn Bates

Company

Marton Community Committee

Address

C/o 7 Dalrymple Place

City/Town

Marton

Postal Code

4710

Email Address

martoncc.cab@gmail.com

Phone Number

(06) 327-8088

2 / 3

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

#5



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:04:38 PM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:40:10 PM
Time Spent: 00:35:31
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0347

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed
Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

Disagree

PAGE 2

Q2: If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Overdue Charges - I recommend the initiation of an overdue charge system, when a book is overdue for >3 weeks (following the maximum number of renewals) a charge of \$1 per item per week be levied.

Out of District Members - We recommend the initiation of a fee and propose either

- An Annual membership charge of \$20.
- A fee per item eg \$5 per item
- Additionally overdue charges should be \$10 per week.

This will cover the cost of toll calls to chase any overdue / missing books.

If library users live outside, but work in the district, they should be provided with preferential / discounted user charges eg:

- An Annual membership charge of \$10.
- A fee per item eg \$5 per item
- Additionally overdue charges should be \$5 per week.

PAGE 3

Q3: If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

Respondent skipped this question

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Would you like to participate in future engagements with Council?

Yes, I would like to participate in future engagements with Council

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Q6: Address

Name	Carolyn Bates
Address	7 Dalrymple Place
City/Town	Marton
Postal Code	4710
Email Address	a-cbates@paradise.net.nz
Phone Number	(06) 327-8088

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0271



Rangitikei
UNDISPUTED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Elizabeth Mortland

Organisation: (if applicable)

Property Address: 1 Titi Street

Postal Address:

Tairāpe

Phone: (day) 06 388 1307 (mobile) 021 026 6002

Email: elijah@tairape.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees
and Charges 2016/17?

☒ Agree ☐ Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 5/5/16

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-1AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0344



SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Please print clearly

Submissions close at 12 noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your written submission to:

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak, with a further five minutes for questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Name: Kerth Bowland

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: _____

Postal Address: 40 Goldfinch St
Tairāhapa

Phone: (day) _____ (mobile) 027 242 1105

Email: eventsafetymedics@gmail.com

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

☒ Agree ☐ Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: Kerth Bowland

Date: 5/5/16

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
D- 16 0269



Rangitikei
UNUSUALLY...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Please print clearly

Name: Michelle Fannin

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 62 Kiwi Road

Postal Address: Tpe

Phone: (day) 06 388 1129 (mobile) 021 152 6412

Email: thefannins@xtra.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees
and Charges 2016/17?

Agree/Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 4/5/2016

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0340

#3

COMPLETE



Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:57:48 AM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:02:57 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:09
IP Address: 125.239.227.124

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed
Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

Disagree

PAGE 2

Q2: If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Relating to:

Volumetric wastewater charges Base charge per
water meter connection charged per 3 month period includes 76m³ of flow use per period \$678.14
and

Domestic wastewater discharge consumption is calculated at 80% of the volume of water used as measured by water
meter . (This cost excludes trade waste) \$2.23

We submit that these volumetric waste water charges should not apply to metered water supplies where the user is on
private septic tank system and not connected to the public wastewater disposal system.

PAGE 3

Q3: If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick
the box below.

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if
you would like your name withheld

*Respondent skipped this
question*

Q5: Would you like to participate in future engagements
with Council?

Yes, I would like to participate in future engagements
with Council

Q6: Address

Name

Dr P J Oliver

Address

1A Otaihape Valley Road

City/Town

Taihape

Postal Code

4720

Email Address

peterandangela@xtra.co.nz

Phone Number

063881822



Rangitikei
UNUSPILT...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Simone Simpson

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 274 Bpapakai Road, Taihape 4755

Postal Address: as above

Phone: (day) 063881764 (mobile) 0276521608

Email: hybern@gmail.com

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees
and Charges 2016/17?

Agree/Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 4/5/16

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: ICG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0342



Rangitikei
UNDEFEATED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Michelle Fannin
Organisation: Tairāhapa Community Board
(if applicable)

Property Address: 62 kiwi Rd Tairāhapa
Postal Address: 1 4720

Phone: (day) 06 3881129 (mobile) 0211526412
Email: the.fannins@xtra.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees
and Charges 2016/17?

Agree/Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 6/5/16

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 1-AP-2-1
Doc: 16 0345



Rangitikei
UNDISPUTED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Schedule of fees and charges 2016/17

Please print clearly

Submissions close at 12 noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your written submission to:

Schedule of Fees and Charges
2016/17 Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak, with a further five minutes for questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Name: Elizabeth Mortland

Organisation: (if applicable) TCOT Taihape

Property Address: 9 Town Hall, 90-92 Hautapu St,

Postal Address: PO Box 25
Taihape

Phone: (day) 06 388 1307 (mobile) 021 0261 6002

Email: elizabeth@taihape.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed Schedule of fees and Charges 2016/17?

☒ Agree ☐ Disagree

If you disagree, what changes do you think should be considered?

* Set similar fees for use of all council parks

* Reduce fees for hall hire & be more flexible over short term hire (with the objective of attracting greater use) & allowing discounts to non-profit community groups.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: Eli

Date: 6/5/16

Gambling (Class 4) Venue and Tab Venue Policy

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:03:34 PM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:40:14 PM
Time Spent: 00:36:39
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-PY-1-20
Doc: 16 0341

PAGE 1: Gambling (Class 4 Venue) Policy

Q1: Which of the following options do you prefer?
(please tick one response)

Option A: No changes made to current CAP of 83
Class 4 gaming machines permitted in the District

Q2: Would you like to see any other changes to Council's Gambling Venue (Class 4) policy?

The wording of paragraph 4.1 indicates that when a machine ceases to operate then it cannot be replaced. This implies that if a machine breaks down, the site cannot obtain a replacement machine, this appears unfair - that the site is penalised, that it cannot replace faulty equipment. There should be an opportunity to allow faulty equipment to be replaced - the same as if your car is faulty - beyond economic repair, you would replace it, ensuring you still have transport.

PAGE 2: Tab Venue policy

Q3: Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Option B: Permit new standalone TAB venues

Q4: Would you like to see any other changes to Council's current TAB venue policy?

If a TAB venue closes a new one should be allowed up to the current maximum number of venues.

I have no objection to temporary (for a special event) venue(s) being allowed, to a maximum of five throughout the district at any one time.

Q5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council in relation to the TAB venue or Gambling venue (Class 4) policies?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 3

Q6: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

No

Q7: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q8: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

Yes

Gambling (Class 4) Venue and Tab Venue Policy

Q9: Address

Name	Carolyn Bates
Address	7 Dalrymple Place
City/Town	Marton
Postal Code	4710
Email Address	a-cbates@paradise.net.nz
Phone Number	(06) 327-8088

Gambling (Class 4) Venue and Tab Venue Policy

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 2:47:26 AM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 2:51:18 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:51
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-PY-1-S
Doc: 16 0286

PAGE 1: Gambling (Class 4 Venue) Policy

Q1: Which of the following options do you prefer?
(please tick one response)

Option A: No changes made to current CAP of 83
Class 4 gaming machines permitted in the District

Q2: Would you like to see any other changes to
Council's Gambling Venue (Class 4) policy?

Respondent skipped this
question

PAGE 2: Tab Venue policy

Q3: Question 3: Which of the following options do you
prefer?

Option A: No changes made to TAB venue policy

Q4: Would you like to see any other changes to
Council's current TAB venue policy?

Respondent skipped this
question

Q5: Do you have any further comments you wish to
make to Council in relation to the TAB venue or
Gambling venue (Class 4) policies?

Respondent skipped this
question

PAGE 3

Q6: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council
Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my
submission

No

Q7: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if
you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this
question

Q8: Would you like to participate in future engagement
opportunities with Council?

Yes

Gambling (Class 4) Venue and Tab Venue Policy

Q9: Address

Name	Carolyn Bates
Company	Marton Community Committee
Address	C/o 7 Dalrymple Place
City/Town	Marton
Postal Code	4710
Email Address	martoncc.cab@gmail.com
Phone Number	(06) 327-8088

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016 Parewanui Road

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:40:20 AM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:42:51 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:30
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 5-DB-1-7
Doc: 16 0277

PAGE 1

Q1: Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road, between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree

Q2: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

No i do not wish to provide an oral submission

Q3: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

Yes

Q4: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q5: Address

Name

Carolyn Bates

Address

C/o 7 Dalrymple Place

City/Town

Marton

Postal Code

4710

Email Address

a-cbates@paradise.net.nz

Phone Number

(06) 327-8088

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: 1 LG
File: 1-08-1-7
Doc: 16 6273



Rangitikei
UNDISPUTED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Janet and Bruce DEIHL

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 119 Parewanui Road.

Postal Address: _____

145 Mironia Road, Normandale
Lower Hutt 5010.

Phone: (day) 045686619 (mobile) 021 508 256

Email: janet-bruce@xtra.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

We agree that the speed limit
be reduced on Parewanui Road.

This portion of the road is very busy,
with traffic to the meat works
on Ferry Road having to turn in and
the 100kph current speed is too high
for this portion of the road. Traffic
does not currently slow down to 50kph
near Taumaihist meaning the speed is
dangerous.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: _____

Date: _____

5-05-2016

RECEIVED

21 APR 2016

To: LC
File: 1-DB-1-7
Doc: 16 0187



Rangitikei
UNDISCOVERED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Joe & Karen Yecke

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 187 High St Bulls.

Postal Address: 187 High St
Bulls 4818

Phone: (day) 6 3221391 (mobile) _____

Email: _____

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

☒ Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

Excellent decision
Cars & trucks are
way too fast coming
in to town
& there are a number
of young children making
their way to & from school

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: Karen Yecke

Date: 19-4-16.

RECEIVED

02 MAY 2016

To: KCT
File: 1-08-1-7
Doc: 16 0225



Rangitikei
UNEXPLORED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

Submissions close at 12 noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your written submission to:

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak, with a further five minutes for questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Name: June Cockburn

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 189 High St Bulls

Postal Address: as above

Phone: (day) 06 3221087 (mobile) _____

Email: john.bowen@vodafone.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road, between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

~~Agree~~/Disagree

Please explain:

See attachments.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 27-04-16

john.bowen@vodafone.co.nz

Tue 26 Jan 14:58

to becmcneil@live.com; andy.watson@rangitikei.govt.nz; sarah_timharris@xtra.co.nz

cc ian.mckelvie@parliament.govt.nz; s.bridges@ministers.govt.nz

Speed Restrictions on High Street Bulls

To whom it may concern

I'm writing to express my concerns with the speed limit on High Street / Parewanui Road in the township of Bulls.

The present location of the 50km speed restriction sign starts near 176 High street on the approach to the Town.

My main concern is that on the other side of the road residential property including my own extends much further out of town.

My request is that council consider moving the 50km limit further out past the last remaining residential property closer to Ferry Road. I believe that this sign has been situated in this position for probably in excess of fifty years and since then more houses have been built still within the town zone but bordering on what has overtime become the local racetrack. A lot of the traffic leaving town and heading toward Parewanui Road is accelerating and exceeding the speed limit long before it gets to the open road sign. Complaints have been made in the past both verbally and in writing to Traffic/Police only to be told that this doesn't come under a State Highway and appears to have been ignored.

My main reasoning for this request is that most motorists do not brake until after they reach Taumaihi Street. Mowing the berm as we are required to do is extremely unsafe with trucks travelling past me in excess of 90 km and barely a meter away. The other factor to be considered is children crossing High street by Taumaihi Street on their way to and from Clifton Street school.

This request falls under the [Safer Journeys - New Zealand's road safety strategy 2010-2020](#).

Best regards

June Cockburn
~~189~~ High Street
Bulls

My address is 189 High Street, not Parewanui Road as I am in the Town zoning area. Living within the town zone I expect traffic to be travelling past my property at 50 kph not 100 kph. Travelling into Bulks on the left hand side of the road I see fences not hedges. Most of the excessive speeding is done at peak hours & mainly by staff at the meat works in Ferry Road. Children bike along this road and it is an accident in the making. I noticed in your Summary of Information & Reason for proposal that a survey was done of drivers & vehicles ?? how do you survey a vehicle, maybe it would have been more productive to survey the residents between Tamaiti Street and 19th High Street. Concerns have been raised with local Police/Traffic both verbally and in writing to no avail and unless any changes are going to be regularly policed then you may as well save money a time. Please reconsider shifting the sign instead of changing it.

J. C. Cullen

RECEIVED

11 APR 2016

To: KG
File: 1-08-1-7
Doc: 16 0155



Rangitikei
UNDEFEATED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

Submissions close at 12 noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your written submission to:

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak, with a further five minutes for questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Name: MARK & VICKY POWER

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 146 PAREWANUI ROAD

Postal Address: 146 PAREWANUI ROAD
RD 1. Bulls
4894

Phone: (day) 06 322 0074 (mobile) 021 121 9636

Email: vicky.power93@yahoo.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road, between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

100% AGREE. TRAFFIC TRAVELS AT OPEN ROAD SPEEDS EVEN IF TRAVELLING TO OR FROM ANZCO ON FERRY ROAD - WE HEAR TRAFFIC SPEEDING THROUGH FERRY ROAD JUNCTION + ONWARDS TO BULLS. TRAFFIC IS SLOW TO REDUCE SPEED AT 100 KM INTO BULLS. THIS CHANGE TO 80 SHOULD HELP MAKE THE STRETCH SAFER, AS PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC ON WALKWAY ALSO REGULAR. A LOWERING OF SPEED LIMIT SHOULD ALSO HELP TARMAC ROAD SURFACE MAINTENANCE.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 7/4/16.

RECEIVED

18 APR 2016

To: SW
File: 1-DB-1-7
Doc: 16.01.16



Rangitikei
UNDISPUTED...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: MR P. DADY

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 193 HIGH STREET

Postal Address: - 11 -

Phone: (day) 3220 855 (mobile) _____

Email: patrick.dady@xtra.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

Absolutely. Narrow road with
heavy vehicular traffic - logging,
stock, farm etc. Opt for 50 km limit.
Children on bikes to/from school

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 12 APR 2016

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly



Rangitikei
Unusually...

RECEIVED

09 MAY 2016

Submissions close at 12 noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your written submission to:

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your submission, please tick the box below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak, with a further five minutes for questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Name: Natalie Third

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 129 Parewanui Rd

Postal Address: As above

Phone: (day) _____ (mobile) 027 702 9278

Email: _____

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road, between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

I think 80 is too fast
and it should be 70.
Parewanui Rd is a drag strip
for cars and I don't think
80 is slow enough !!!

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: NTA

Date: 25-04-15

RECEIVED

14 APR 2016

To: KG
File: 1-DB-1-7
Doc: 16.0171



Rangitikei
UNAPOLOGETICALLY...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Steve Couper

Organisation: (if applicable) Ernslaw One Ltd.

Property Address: _____

Postal Address: P.O. Box 23.

Bulls.

Phone: (day) _____ (mobile) 0274954802

Email: Steve.Couper@ernslaw.co.nz

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

Ernslaw are supportive of
the proposed change.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 11/4/2016

RECEIVED

14 APR 2016

To: KC
File: 1-08-1-7

16 0170



Rangitikei
UNAPOLOGETICALLY...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

**Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: Tom Choke

Organisation: (if applicable) RMA

Property Address: _____

Postal Address: PO Box 66

New Plymouth.

Phone: (day) _____ (mobile) 0274-464 892

Email: TCHOKE@RTANZ.CO.NZ

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

our Association
Agrees with the
proposed speed
changes

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: [Signature]

Date: 8/4/2016

RECEIVED

- 8 APR 2016

To: KG
File: 1-08-1-7
Doc: 16 0154



Rangitikei
UNUSUALLY...

SUBMISSION FORM

Proposed Speed Limit Bylaw Amendment 2016

Parewanui Road

Please print clearly

Submissions close at 12
noon on 6 May 2016.

Return this form, or send your
written submission to:

Speed Limit Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

You may wish to speak in support of
your written submission.

If you wish to speak to your
submission, please tick the box
below.

I wish to speak at:

- ☐ Marton Council Chambers
16 May 2016

Five minutes are allowed for you to speak,
with a further five minutes for questions from
Elected Members. If you have any special
requirements, such as those related to visual
or hearing impairments, please note them
here:

Name: W.K. Cowan

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Property Address: 195 HIGH ST Bulls 4818

Postal Address: AS ABOVE

Phone: (day) 3220179 (mobile) NIL

Email: NIL

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed reduction in the
speed limit from 100km/h to 80km/h along Parewanui Road,
between High Street and Brandon Hall Road, Bulls?

Agree/Disagree

Please explain:

TRAFFIC SPEEDS PAST OUR
GATE AT ALL HOURS. THE
50K SIGN IS IGNORED AS
THEY ARE AT HIGH SPEED
BEFORE THEY REACH THE 100K
SIGN. TRAFFIC GOING INTO BULLS
ALSO TRAVELS AT EXCESS SPEED
PAST THE 50K SIGN TRAVELLING
SEVERAL HUNDRED METRES
BEFORE SLOWING TO 50 KMH.

Attach additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: W.K. Cowan

Date: 6/4/2016

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#5



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, April 26, 2016 9:44:34 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, April 26, 2016 9:45:43 PM
Time Spent: 00:01:09
IP Address: 103.224.128.92

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: *1KG*
File: *3-PY-1-20*
Doc: *16 0282*

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)? Yes

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996? Yes

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections? Yes

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response
Option B: A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw? Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw? Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld Yes, I would like my name withheld

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council? Yes

Q10: Address Respondent skipped this question

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#1



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, April 14, 2016 7:40:42 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, April 14, 2016 7:55:24 PM
Time Spent: 00:14:41
IP Address: 119.224.41.38

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: 126
3-P1-1-20
File: 16 0278
Doc: 16 0278

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

No,

Comments

I believe that inspections are important as a good owner however I don't believe good owners should be subjected to random inspections as this is in my view as a rate payer a blatant waste of ratepayer funds. I would much rather the non good owners were subjected to random inspections and checks on a regular basis only. I am tired of constantly being told I must pay out more money each year to council and having very little choice about it and then to see blatant wasting of that money really does my head in. Spend the resource carefully where it is most needed and control the menace directly and follow the menace if and when it re locates within the district.

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments

Menacing animals and their owners need to be better controlled which can only be done by constant measures and stretching inspections out to as much as 5 years potentially is counter productive.

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must be neutered (blanket)

Comments

I agree that compulsory neutering is the only way to go as long as the ratepayer is not footed with the cost burden. The owner of the animal should pay only.

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Yes, I would like my name withheld

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

No

Q10: Address

Respondent skipped this question

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#8



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:04:35 PM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 12:40:24 PM
Time Spent: 00:35:49
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To:
File: 3-P1-1-20
Doc: 16-0339

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes,

Comments
7.2.8 and 7.5.11 have different parameters - 7.2.8 states a 5 year visit cycle when 7.5.11 indicates an annual visit would be required.

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

Yes

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments I recommend an interval of 2-3 years.

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Yes

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

7.5.2 Responsible Owner

The proposed consequence of changing the fee payable for all dogs when only one dog may be a "problem" is an overly harsh consequence for owners of multiple animals.

If an owner has more than one dog, the penalty/full fee should apply only to the specific dog for a minimum of 12 months. If more than dog is an issue (eg regularly found roaming) within a 12 month period then the full penalty is then applicable for all dogs (or a stepped system should be considered dependent on the number of dogs an owner has).

Acknowledging that the greater the number of dogs owned then the greater is the chance of there being a problem, to simply apply the penalty for all dogs "from day one", to me is overly severe.

Animal de-sexing

Inexpensive opportunities should be provided to have animals de-sexed.

A trial is suggested for 6 months of up to three open days for animals to be de-sexed - at a minimal cost to owners.

Dog Exercise Area Use - Parking at Wilson Park

Dog owners at Wilson Park have been seen parking on Marumaru Street and walking their dogs through the children's play area. Several dogs have been sighted defecating in that area - I recommend leaving the gate to the car park (times to be the same as the toilets, so the same person can open/close gate and toilet). This will allow dog owners to park away from the road and still access the park away from the Children's play area.

Additional Dog Exercise Area

I recommend that an additional area is provided at the north end of town to allow dog owners to exercises their animals, without the need to transport them to Wilson Park.

PAGE 4

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

Yes

Q10: Address

Name	Carolyn Bates
Address	7 Dalrymple Place
City/Town	Marton
Postal Code	4710
Email Address	a-cbates@paradise.net.nz
Phone Number	(06) 327-8088

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#7

COMPLETE



Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, May 06, 2016 2:51:53 AM
Last Modified: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:19:46 AM
Time Spent: 00:27:53
IP Address: 210.246.2.119

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-P7-1-20
Doc: 16 6284

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes,

Comments

7.2.8 and 7.5.1I have different parameters - 7.2.8 states a 5 year visit cycle when 7.5.1I indicates an annual visit would be required. 7.5.2 Responsible Owner We feel the proposed consequence of changing the fee payable for all dogs when only one dog may be a "problem" is an overly harsh consequence for owners of multiple animals. We recommend that if an owner has more than one dog, the penalty/full fee should apply only to the specific dog for a minimum of 12 months. If more than dog is an issue (eg regularly found roaming) within a 12 month period then the full penalty is then applicable for all dogs (or a stepped system should be considered dependant on the number of dogs an owner has). As the greater the number of dogs owned then the greater is the chance of there being a problem, but to simply apply the penalty for all dogs "from day one" seems overly severe.

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

Yes

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments

We support more regular visits eg annually.

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw? Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Animal de-sexing

We recommend inexpensive opportunities are provided to have animals de-sexed.

A trial is suggested for 6 months of up to three open days for animals to be de-sexed - at a minimal cost to owners.

PAGE 4

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council? Yes

Q10: Address

Name	Carolyn Bates
Company	Marton Community Committee
Address	C/o 7 Dalrymple Place
City/Town	Marton
Postal Code	4710
Email Address	martoncc.cab@gmail.com
Phone Number	(06) 327-8088

Alyssa Takimoana

From: Alex Staric
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 12:07 p.m.
To: RDC Information
Subject: FW: MCC Submission on Dog Bylaw

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Alyssa

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

To: KG
3-P-1-1-20
File:
Doc: 16 0348

From: Carolyn Bates [mailto:martoncc.cab@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, 6 May 2016 11:55 a.m.
To: Alex Staric <Alex.Staric@rangitikei.govt.nz>
Subject: MCC Submission on Dog Bylaw

Hi Alex,
When completing on-line submissions for MCC, I may have omitted an item.
As the system does not provide a copy of entered data I am not able to check my potential omission.
It would be helpful if you would arrange to have the following included (if I had not provided this):

Dog Exercise Area Use - Parking at Wilson Park

Dog owners at Wilson Park have been seen parking on Marumaru Street and walking their dogs through the children's play area. Several dogs have been sighted defecating in that area - we suggest a Trial for say, six months leaving the gate to the car park (times to be the same as the toilets, so the same person can open/close gate and toilet). This will allow dog owners to park away from the road and still access the park away from the Children's play area.

Very many thanks.

:-) Carolyn

=====

Carolyn Bates

+64 (021) 342-524 | +64 (06) 327-8088

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#3



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, April 15, 2016 10:22:45 PM
Last Modified: Friday, April 15, 2016 10:26:37 PM
Time Spent: 00:03:51
IP Address: 111.69.104.245

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-P7-1-20
Doc: 16 0280

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

Yes

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments

I think this should be more regularly if possible, maybe every three years?

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option B: A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments

I feel that the decision should be made on a case by case basis, with owner responsibility being taken into account

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld Yes, I would like my name withheld

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council? Yes

Q10: Address

Name

Address

City/Town

Postal Code

Email Address

Phone Number



DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#2



COMPLETE

Answers Entered Manually

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Friday, April 15, 2016 2:36:22 PM

Last Modified: Friday, April 15, 2016 3:04:27 PM

Time Spent: 00:28:04

IP Address: 103.243.90.253

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-P4-1-20
Doc: 16 5275

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes,

Comments

Control of the owners as well as the dogs, the owner has to prove they have undergone training prior to dog ownership. Once they have a certificate, they have a dog trained as well. All dogs removed if training not undertaken.

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

Yes,

Comments

Have not had an inspect my property in 22 years.

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments

Yearly. randomised and not let owner know

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

,

Comments

Its a dilution of the act. The whole behaviour of the dog changes when it is neutered- more controllable. Pitbulls are breed to fight .

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

More staff and better training of dog control officers/ dog control rangers. Officers need to know what is happening in the community. delegating work out to junior/cadet dog rangers. Is it possible to have a space dedicated in Marton as a Dog Park (e.g boundary line of ward near blue gums) for dogs to run off their leash and socially interact with other dogs.

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

PAGE 4

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

Yes I wish to speak to my submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

Yes

Q10: Address

Name

Judy Williams

Address

2 Whanganui Rd

City/Town

Marton

Email Address

adviceline.marton@gmail.com

Phone Number

0272525283

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#6



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, April 28, 2016 12:28:51 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, April 28, 2016 12:47:39 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:47
IP Address: 122.61.85.17

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: KG
File: 3-PY-1-20
Doc: 16 0283

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

- Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)? Yes
- Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996? Yes
- Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections? Yes

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

- Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

- Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw? Yes
- Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw? Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

- Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission No i don't wish to provide a oral submission
- Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld Yes, I would like my name withheld
- Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council? No

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q10: Address

Name

Address

City/Town

Postal Code

Email Address

Phone Number



DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

#4



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, April 18, 2016 2:35:48 PM
Last Modified: Monday, April 18, 2016 2:38:43 PM
Time Spent: 00:02:54
IP Address: 203.97.30.62

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2016

To: ICG
File: 3-04-1-20
Doc: 16 0281

PAGE 1: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

Yes

Q2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

Yes

Q3: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

No,

Comments

Should be a shorter timeframe as situations change quickly - especially with rental properties.

PAGE 2: Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Q4: Which of the following options do you prefer? Please tick only one response

Option B: A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments

If the animal is reported to give a reasonable reason to do so - ie act menacing or potential to do so/be.

PAGE 3: Control of Dogs Bylaw

Q5: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Yes

Q6: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

I find them very helpful and act quickly.

PAGE 4

DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Q7: Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission

No i don't wish to provide a oral submission

Q8: All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Would you like to participate in future engagement opportunities with Council?

Yes

Q10: Address

Name

9 Cameron Road

Address

RD 11

Address 2

Turakina

Postal Code

4581

Email Address

lisadross@gmail.com

Phone Number

0272956292



Rangitikei

**Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld ☐

RECEIVED

06 MAY 2016

SUBMISSION FORM

To: **KG**
File: **3-PY-1-20**
Doc: **16 0338**
**DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND
RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL
OF DOGS BYLAW**

Name: Michelle Fannin
Organisation: (if applicable) Tairāhapa Community Board
Phone: 0211526412
Property address: 62 Kiwi Road Tairāhapa
Postal address: 4720

Email: thefannins@extra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog
Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1
& 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers
should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog
owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the
Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of
5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:
For Urban. Shorter timeframe
5 years right for Rural.

Please turn over

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

- ☒ **Option A:** All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)
☐ **Option B:** A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Taihape Community Board feels the act provides enough legislation to enable animal control officers to act. The Board would like to see animal control officers to enforce, implement the legislation as much as possible & be more proactive.

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: _____

Date: _____

6/5/16



SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

RECEIVED

Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016

Return this form, or send your
written submission to:

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld

☐

Name: Geoff McGuire

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Phone: 06 3880212

Property address: 5 Ruru Road

Postal address: 5 Ruru Road
Taihape 4720

Email: gtcm@extra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog
Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1
& 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers
should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog
owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the
Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of
5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Dangerous dog breeds and bad owners
should be inspected at least once a year
Most do not stay in one place very long.
Inspect properties when new dogs sited,
and should inspect where unregistered
dogs are found also.

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

☒ **Option A:** All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

☐ **Option B:** A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

The only way to get rid of bad breeds and make children and other dogs safe is to have them neutered

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

fencing needs to be strong and at least 1.5mtrs high

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

fencing and/or kennels need to be monitored.
I was riding a horse around Taihape, and as the fencing was inadequate, & dogs frequently loose, made it impossible for me to pursue this activity. Dogs need to be restrained & not rush at people using the footpath and/or road.

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed:

G. M. Guire

Date:

28/04/16

'Menacing dog' guide highlights key threats

By Akuino Olaaiga

A guideline on how to identify a "menacing dog" has been released by Auckland Council, following an amnesty for dog owners with unregistered pooches.

Clearing the common misconception about pitbulls, it says the dog is not a breed but a type — like a hound, shepherd or retriever.

The Dog Control Act (1996) classifies four breeds — brazilian fila, dogo argentino,

"Chattering teeth and high screaming-like noises may show a pitbull is happy, excited, scared, anxious or nervous."

japanese tosa and perro de presa canario — and one type — american pitbull terrier — as menacing dogs, no matter how they behave individually.

Things to look out for in menacing dogs such as pitbulls include an intense dog focus — a product of their fighting ancestry, Auckland Council said — a high play drive, which



INFO: New guidelines follow a recent spate of dog attacks.

DOG ATTACKS IN NEW ZEALAND

- 99,000 dog bites since 2004.
- More than 5800 required hospitalisation.
- Nearly two-thirds happened at private homes.
- Children under 9, Maori and those in low socio-economic areas are at greatest risk.
- Most children received facial injuries.
- Source: The Burden of Dogbite Injuries in New Zealand: 2004-2014

can make them hard to calm down, and excitability. Chattering teeth and high screaming-like noises may show a pitbull is happy, excited, scared, anxious or nervous.

The council will desex, microchip and provide muzzles for \$25. — NZME



Rangitikei

**Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for you to speak, including questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

☐

RECEIVED

27 APR 2016

KQ

BY: 3-PY-1-20

16 0203

SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Name: Heather Howe.

Organisation: (if applicable) —

Phone: 06 3221108

Property address: 1948 Parawanui Rd.

Postal address: 1948 Parawanui Rd.

RD 1 Bulls.

Email: b.howe@xtra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of *Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification* found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Unless on previous inspections
everything is right

Please turn over

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

- ☒ **Option A:** All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)
☐ **Option B:** A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

If the dog is menacing, definitely. Any dog not belonging to a registered breeder, police etc should not be neutered. This would cut down a lot on backyard breeding.

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Please consider registering the owners rather than dogs and there needs to be much more education on how to behave around dogs, especially the parents as they are the ones that reinforce behaviour

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: M J Howe

Date: 28 April 2016



Rangitikei



BY: SW
16 0265

SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

**Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016**

**Return this form, or send your
written submission to:**

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld ☐

Name: MRS. J. Hyde

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Phone: _____

Property address: _____

Postal address: 8 Grey St.
Marton

Email: _____

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of *Section 7.5: Dog
Owner Classification* found within the policy (including 7.5.1
& 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers
should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog
owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the
Dog Control Act 1996?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of
5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

In the absence of documented evidence of
a problem about a dog(s) - "inspections"
uninvited are a breach of privacy and
unjustified.

Please turn over

- Concession on leg. fees for dogs that have done a recognised Obedience Training Course.
- Concession for Gold Card Seniors...

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

- ☐ Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)
- ☒ Option B: A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

AND ONLY after documented evidence regarding the behaviour of the dog and documented communication with the owner.

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

I enclose the Hamilton information as an example of what is needed here. to provide solid evidence upon which to base action taken. Then there can be accountability for the dog, its owner and the ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

Please see "Horizon Housing Assoc Ltd. - Corporate Policy for Complaints Handling and Customer Feedback" for an example of what is needed for this Rangitikei Council. In particular the CEO needs to consider the need for this with regard to attitudes and behaviours of Animal Control Officers throughout the area in which they operate.

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed: _____

[Signature]

Date: _____

5.5.16

We need a fenced area in which dogs can be let off lead. with seats for owners to sit. Wire netting up to 1m. to keep small dogs from getting away.

Misc facts re Barking Dogs.

Reporting a Barking Dog

Persons disturbed or annoyed by the persistent and loud barking or howling of a dog, should report the dog to the local Animal Control Unit. You can find their contact

Upon receipt of your complaint you will be asked to keep a record noting the date, time and length of each barking incident. You will be expected to identify and establish whether the animal is distressed or simply being a nuisance. Armed with this information, Animal Control may:

1. Send an officer onsite to assess the situation.
2. Notify the owner that their dog has a complaint against it.
3. Provide written feedback to the complainant.

If there is no improvement after Animal Control's investigation, and a separate complaint is reported against the same dog, the Council will then take the necessary steps to try to resolve the problem.

It is unlawful for your dog to persistently bark, howl or yelp between the hours of 10:30 p.m. and 7:30 a.m. or when no one is home; resulting in the dog being a public nuisance.


- A violation is defined as at least three "habitual" occurrences in a six-month period of "persistent" noise for 30 minutes or longer.

This is from Colorado. What is the equivalent NZ definition?

Hamilton City Council

Barking Dogs

Barking is a normal and healthy means of communication for a domestic dog. However, when the



barking is not responded to in the appropriate manner it may become a nuisance. Under the Dog Control Act 1996 a dog is allowed to bark but not so that it creates a nuisance by persistent and loud barking or howling.

Reporting a barking dog

If you find yourself being affected by persistent and loud barking or howling of a dog, there are a few steps you can take to remedy the problem. Please remember barking problems can take time to remedy.

- **Talk to the owner.** More often than not, dog owners appreciate being made aware that their dog may be causing a nuisance. They may be unaware their dog is creating a nuisance and will look to remedy the problem. If you do not feel comfortable talking to the owner then you can pop the attached flyer into their mailbox.
- **Barking record sheets.** If the barking continues we will require you to complete this attached record sheet. This is necessary so we can demonstrate that we have reasonable grounds to enter onto private property. You will be required to note date, time and length of each barking incident.
- **What happens next.** If the dog is still creating a nuisance by persistent loud barking or howling. An Animal Education & Control Officer will assess the barking problem and offer advice to the dog owner for remedial actions.

Please contact Animal Education & Control for further information or to report a barking dog.

If you suspect your dog is barking

A dog barking from boredom or seeking attention can create a nuisance to neighbours. If you suspect your dog of barking while you are away consult with your neighbours asking them to monitor the barking while you are not home. Also when you are at home and your dog barks you should rectify the problem immediately.

Preventing nuisance barking:

- Keep your dog occupied.
- Ensure your dog is comfortable.
- Ensure your dog has adequate daily exercise.
- Ensure each day you spend time with your dog.
- Bones, toys, balls etc. will help keep your dog amused during the day.
- Leave your dog with friends, family or doggy day care if you think this may help.
- House the dog in a position where he/she cannot see things to bark at.
- House the dog near other animals for companionship.
- NEVER hit your dog. This only builds mistrust and will not stop nuisance barking.
- Obedience exercises help stimulate your dog and prevent behavioural issues like barking.
- If all else fails seek advice from a professional such as a dog trainer, local obedience club, Animal Education & Control Officer, SPCA or your local vet.

RECORD OF BARKING DOGS

Record of Barking Dog : _____

DCO Number: _____

Customers Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

When did you speak to owner of barking dog?	Date: _____	Time: _____
Name of person you spoke to: _____		
Comments:		

DATE	TIME START	TIME END	Type of Noise	Impact

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY (Date) _____

I _____ declare that the information recorded above is a true and accurate account and I am aware that this information could be produced as evidence at a hearing or in a court of law, and I may be required to give evidence in this regard.

Signature: _____

Customer has substantiated barking by sighting offending dog

Yes	No
Tick applicable box	

Attention CEO

HORIZON HOUSING ASSOCIATION LIMITED	CORPORATE POLICY
TITLE	POLICY FOR COMPLAINTS HANDLING AND CUSTOMER FEEDBACK
DATE OF APPROVAL	4 OCTOBER 2012
DATE OF REVIEW	OCTOBER 2015

1. INTRODUCTION

This policy demonstrates how Horizon responds to complaints and other customer feedback such that it complies with the requirements of the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman's for Housing Association complaint handling.

2. SCOPE

The policy sets out how we will handle complaints and positive customer feedback

3. PRINCIPLES

The following principles govern the operation of this policy:

- Customer feedback about the services we provide is welcomed, noted, acknowledged and reviewed.
- Feedback received about policies and procedures is taken into account at the next relevant review.
- Front line employees are encouraged to offer and implement immediate responses to complaints where appropriate and meeting the reasonable expectations of the customer.

4. OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the policy are to ensure that:

- Wherever practical and possible, complaints are resolved informally by front line employees.
- People providing feedback know that their comments will be used to evaluate the quality of our services and to influence reviews of policies and procedures.
- Records of feedback will be organised for ease of retrieval and analysis.
- Should complaints be referred to external agencies we shall be able to provide records of each case to assist further investigation.
- People making complaints are advised clearly about the process and their opportunities to escalate the case to independent authorities.

5. APPROACH AND METHOD

Horizon's Board, in its formal approval of the policy, accepts full responsibility for the policy and ensuring its implementation. Day to day responsibility for the operation and monitoring of this policy lies with the Operations Director and Service Managers. All relevant employees have a responsibility to ensure that the policy is applied as instructed.

The policy will be implemented using the following approaches:

- We shall devise systems and procedures for compliance with the policy
- We shall record positive feedback, constructive criticism and complaints impartially
- We shall review procedures at least every 3 years and implement measures to improve efficiency and customer satisfaction
- Complaints will be handled in accordance with Horizon's Complaints Handling Procedure (adapted from the model issued by the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman): this is a two stage process, with the appended flow chart reflecting the approach and required timescales.
- We will provide training and support for staff to build confidence and skill in responding to customer feedback, resolving complaints at the earliest opportunity, and investigating complaints where this is required.

6. MONITORING, PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND REPORTING

We will monitor, evaluate and report on customer feedback as follows:

- Provision of training and / or information to staff
- The need for review of policy, procedure or practice
- Feedback will be analysed for trends in the nature and frequency of subjects which are cause for complain or positive feedback.
- Numbers of complaints, numbers resolved at each stage, numbers referred to the Ombudsman or other external agencies, and any unresolved at the time of reporting.
- Assessment of the extent to which complaints are resolved at each stage to the satisfaction of the complainer.

Service Managers will hold this responsibility, reporting to the Operations Director. The Managing Director will deal with any significant issues of concern should these arise, reporting these to the Board and to Link Group Chief Executive if appropriate.

Quantitative information on complaints and compliance with resolution and investigation timescales will be provided to the Board within the quarterly Key Performance Indicators report. A short quarterly summary of complaints received and resolutions will be posted on the internet and so available to tenants and external stakeholders. An annual customer feedback report will be made to the Board and to tenants, which also reports on any changes to policy or practice made as a result of feedback.

The Internal Auditor and / or the Link Group Strategy & Business Support Team may carry out audit of compliance with the policy, reporting to Horizon's Audit Sub-Committee, and to Link Group's Audit Committee,

7 APPEALS

In accordance with the Model Complaints Handling Procedure, if after making a complaint to Horizon complainer remains dissatisfied he/she may be able to refer the matter to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman. Horizon will advise complainers on conclusion of a Stage 2 investigation that this is their right Horizon will also advise the complainer if a service other than the Ombudsman would be more appropriate to consider the case.

8 REPEATED COMPLAINTS AND UNACCEPTABLE ACTIONS

A small minority of complaints may not be accepted. This will be the case when the same complaint has been addressed and we have given a final decision at the end of stage 2 of the CHP. We may also decline to consider complaints in which no clearly identifiable issue can be determined, or where the complainant continually raises new issues following completion of our investigations. These complaints place a strain on time and resources to the disadvantage of other tenants or customers. Horizon's Unacceptable Actions Policy sets out actions which the Association considers to be unacceptable in pursuing a complaint, and its policy for managing such actions.

9 EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY


An Equality Impact Assessment has been carried out in developing this policy and resulting actions shall be developed to ensure the policy and procedures are available to customers with diverse needs.

10 POLICY REVIEW


The policy will be reviewed every three years.

Effective Date:	Review Date:	Reviewed by:	Approved by:
October 2012	October 15	I Gray	Board

COMPLAINTS – FIRST DRAFT OF FLOW-CHART – FRONTLINE RESOLUTION STAGE



COMPLAINTS – FIRST DRAFT OF FLOW-CHART – INVESTIGATION STAGE





Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016

Return this form, or send your
written submission to:

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☒

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld

☐

SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Name: Margaret Robinson

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Phone: (06) 3221085

Property address: 9 Watson st

Postal address: 9 Watson st

Bulls 4818

Email: margrobinson@xtra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of *Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification* found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers
should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog
owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the
Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of
5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

As long as the owner has been
notified of the inspection.
I would like all dog Please turn over
owners to be inspected, not just
the good owners.

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

☐ Option A: All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

☒ Option B: A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

No I don't think all menacing dogs should be neutered. Mistakes are made by dog control officers, Is there any way a good owner can get their dog taken off the menacing code

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

I can't understand why a menacing dog has the same penalty as a dangerous dog. Shouldn't the menacing dogs be able to be tested to get this lifted and have a lighter sentence

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

If an incident arises is all the power put on one person (Dog Ranger) to set the punishment or ^{are} the dogs tested first. The way its been portrayed to us good owners is that the dog and good owner hasn't any rights and in one case they are not ~~tested~~ too. The Dog owner know their dog best and it's necessary could have their dog seen by a Animal behaviourist. would this lift the menacing dog label.

Signed: M. Rahim

Date: 5-5-16



3-94-1-20
16 0207

SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016

Return this form, or send your
written submission to:

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld ☐

Name: MRS E. M. TOLHURST

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Phone: 06 3221751

Property address: 8 WALKER CRES BULLS

Postal address: as above

Email: liztolhurst@xtra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of *Section 7.5: Dog Owner Classification* found within the policy (including 7.5.1 & 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of 5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Please turn over

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

- ☒ **Option A:** All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)
☐ **Option B:** A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

And muzzled in Public also on a lead in town.

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

But with some concerns about how this is decided.

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

What about welfare of animals not just dogs? Who is checking to see if the animal has food, water, shelter, adequate exercise? Both Urban and rural.
On another point, what about notices about dog exercise areas also about cleaning up after your dog?

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed:

M. Shuster

Date:

27th April 2012

TO ADD TO THE PREVIOUS PAGE

We are lucky in Bulls to have the Domain but there is a serious lack of signage. The only sign says to keep dogs off the playing areas.

In the regulations it says the dog exercise area is in the North of the Domain. How many people know where North is? Surely a few signs would be a good idea.

In Napier where I often go and walk my dogs . On one park there are signs by a small bridge . One side of the notice says dogs on one side (where the play grounds and picnic areas are) a dog must be on a lead. the other side of the sign says that it is the dog exercise area. On both sides that owners must clean up after their dogs or face a hefty fine. There is no one to police this that I have seen, but it makes the owners aware of their responsibility. Of course there are bags provided.

A good place for signs would be at the ends of the fence around the Rugby Field.

I recently had an unpleasant experience with a young man exercising his dog on the Dog Club grounds who told me that the Domain was public ground and he could do as he liked and if he did not feel like cleaning up after his dog he would not do so. That seems to be the attitude of many people. Surely a few signs could make the Domain nicer place.

Jim Stewart



BY: KG
3-84-1520
16 0249

SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT DOG CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY POLICY, AND CONTROL OF DOGS BYLAW

Submissions close at
12 noon on
6 May 2016

Return this form, or send your
written submission to:

Dog Policy & Bylaw Submission
Rangitikei District Council
Private Bag 1102
Marton 4741

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Fax: (06) 327 6970

Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at
the Marton Council Chambers
on 16 May 2016. I wish to speak
to my submission ☒

Ten minutes are allowed for
you to speak, including
questions from Elected
Members. If you have any
special requirements, such as
those related to visual or
hearing impairments, please
note them here:

Privacy

All submissions will be public,
please tick this box if you would
like your name withheld

☐

Name: Silvia Rizzi

Organisation: (if applicable) _____

Phone: 06 3274548

Property address: 24 Maunders St

Postal address: 24 Maunders St
Marton 4710

Email: silviorizzi@xtra.co.nz

Dog Control and Responsibility Policy

Dog Owner Classification

Question 1: Are you generally supportive of Section 7.5: Dog
Owner Classification found within the policy (including 7.5.1
& 7.5.2)?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7.5.1 6) dog should not be on running wire all
day
7.5.1 9) should be exceptions, Dog being adopted
from Pound or SPCA. (comment on question 5) P.T.O
Scheduled registered owner property visits

Question 2: Do you agree that Council's dog control officers
should regularly inspect all properties of registered dog
owners to ensure compliance to the Policy, Bylaw and the
Dog Control Act 1996?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Question 2a: Is a maximum interval between inspections of
5 years the right timeframe for inspections?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

I have got no problem with that.

Please turn over

Neutering Menacing Dog

Under the Dog Control Act 1996, a dog can be classified as menacing if the Council's dog control officers believe that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog or any characteristics typically associated with the dog's breed or type. Council's Policy may also require all menacing dogs to be neutered

Question 3: Which of the following options do you prefer?

Please tick only one response

☐ **Option A:** All dogs classified as menacing must to be neutered (blanket)

☒ **Option B:** A dog classified as menacing is neutered only at the discretion of Senior Dog control officer (discretion)

Comments:

To neuter a dog does not mean he or she change their behaviour. Any dog can turn out to be menacing if not brought up properly from a Puppier stage. The owners need to be educated how to rise a dog.

Control of Dogs Bylaw

Question 4: Are you generally supportive of the contents of Council's Control of Dogs Bylaw?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:


- * No Dogs of Leard should be in Town or Town Area.*
- * If excessive barking of Dog, Neighbour should talk to Dog owner first, if that does not help, then Ranger should get involved.*

Question 5: Do you have any further comments you wish to make to Council with regards to the Dog Control and Responsibility Policy, and/or the Control of Dogs Bylaw?

- * If Dog adopted from Pound or SPCA it can happen that Dog could run off, so therefore the Dog should not be impounded the first time.*
- * Make sure the scanner from Ranger works otherwise what is the point to Micro Chip the Dog.*
- * Putting signs up in Pens to pick up after Dog has done business otherwise there will be a fine for not doing so. (example Bulls Domain etc.)*
- * We need a Dog exercise Area in Morton*

Council welcomes additional information or pages if necessary

Signed:

Silvia Rizzi 

Date:

4/5/2016