

MINUTES

UNCONFIRMED: COUNCIL MEETING ANNUAL PLAN HEARING

Date: Wednesday, 6 May 2026

Time: 9.25am

Venue: Kokako Street Hall
Taihape

Council Chamber
Rangitikei District Council
46 High Street
Marton

Present

HWTM Andy Watson
Cr Dave Wilson
Cr Piki Te Ora Hiroa
Cr Coral Raukawa
Cr Jeff Wong
Cr Fi Dalgety
Cr Paul Sharland
Cr Alan Buckendahl
Cr Diana Baird
Cr Graeme O'Fee

In attendance

Mrs Carol Gordon, Chief Executive
Mr Arno Benadie, Deputy Chief Executive
Ms Leanne Macdonald, Group Manager – Corporate Services
Ms Katrina Gray, Group Manager – Community, Strategy and Democracy
Ms Tiffany Gower, Strategy Manager
Ms Gaylene Prince, Northern Area and Property Manager
Ms Kezia Spence, Governance Advisor

Order of Business

1 Welcome / Prayer..... 3

2 Apologies 3

3 Conflict of Interest Declarations..... 3

4 Confirmation of Order of Business 3

5 Reports for Information..... 3

5.1 Hearing of Submissions on the Annual Plan 2025/26 & Co, Proposed Fees and Charges 2026/27, amended Revenue and Finance Policy and Proposed Rates Remissions Policy 3

Unconfirmed

1 Welcome / Prayer

The Mayor opened the meeting at 9.25am and the Deputy Mayor read the council prayer.

2 Apologies

Resolved minute number 26/RDC/001

That the apologies be received from Cr Hainsworth.

Cr P Hiroa/Cr J Wong. Carried

Resolved minute number 26/RDC/002

That the apologies be received from Cr Field.

HWTM/Cr F Dalgety. Carried

3 Conflict of Interest Declarations

Cr Hiroa and Cr Wong declared a conflict of interest with the Taihape Grandstand Restoration Committee.

4 Confirmation of Order of Business

There was no change to the order of business.

5 Reports for Information

5.1 Hearing of Submissions on the Annual Plan 2025/26 & Co, Proposed Fees and Charges 2026/27, amended Revenue and Finance Policy and Proposed Rates Remissions Policy

The report was taken as read.

Resolved minute number 26/RDC/003

That the report Hearing of Submissions on the Annual Plan 2025/26 & Co, Proposed Fees and Charges 2026/27, amended Revenue and Finance Policy and Proposed Rates Remissions Policy be received.

HWTM/Cr J Wong. Carried

The meeting closed at 2.01pm

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed at the Ordinary Council Meeting held on .

.....

Chairperson

Unconfirmed

Submitter name	Organisation	Summary of topic and context	Summary of key questions posted by Elected Members and responses
#14 Charity Davis	Taihape Playground Group	<p>Tabled documents received:</p> <p>The group requests that Council pay for the toilets according to the draft design of the Taihape Grandstand.</p>	<p>Cr Dalgety – The plan for the park, that will go where the existing toilets are? Answer – Yes, we’ve applied for that whole site next to the grandstand where the current toilets are, so all that grass area and concrete area going up to the redwood tree is what we’ve asked for.</p> <p>HWTM –Your understanding of that process was that the toilets would be removed. Answer – Yes.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – How is the fundraising going and barriers going forward with this project? Answer - Our group has fundraised and raised over \$76,000 and the pledge from the Council of \$50,000 on top of that. But we still have a long way to go. We’ve discussed with the designer; we’re working with the Whanganui Playground committee who specialise in making playgrounds all around the world and have built the latest playground in Fielding. The design for the park is like this. And they are happy for us to do it in two stages at the moment, and both stages are going to be quite expensive. The first stage is going to be \$693,214 and the second stage is about the same, so we are still a long way off in terms of money.</p> <p>Cr Hiroa – So that is funding of \$1.3 million that you’re looking for. Are the toilets part of the design?</p>

			<p>Answer – No, it was never going to be a part of the design. That was always something we had asked for the Council to do.</p> <p>Cr Wilson – Are you requesting a standalone toilet block and replacement of the one sitting there currently?</p> <p>Answer: No, we’re requesting that the toilets go under the grandstand.</p>
<p>#26 Jock Stratton</p>	<p>Erewhon Rural Water Scheme</p>	<p>The Erewhon Rural Water Scheme is a rural gravity fed stock water scheme situated east of Taihape. It is council owned but is governed as a subcommittee of the Erewhon water scheme.</p> <p>In 2022 the committee asked council staff to prepare a CAPEX plan. There have been a number of areas identified of approx. 2.5kms of pipe that needs upgrading. These are in high pressure areas and considerably more expensive. To go to market the scheme needs financial backing from Council.</p>	<p>HWTM – What you are asking for is really the loan provision to be funded by the scheme users.</p> <p>Answer: Yes, it would be the scheme users who pay a water rate already. We did double the rate in 2022, and we haven’t touched it since, but we are aware that this will need to change. We haven’t consulted with the farmers yet. We’re waiting for the outcome of the CAPEX programme what it’s going to cost and where our funding is going to come from before we go and consult with farmers.</p> <p>HWTM – In terms of stage one costing \$692,000 and \$334,00 for stage two those are capital expenditures, but they sit within an operating budget that you want increased to a total operating budget of \$5 million.</p> <p>Answer – Yes there has been a bit of headroom created with 5 million. There’s been estimates between 2.5 to 4.9. That’s why we need to go to the market.</p> <p>Cr Wong – Alternative funding streams and alternative plans, what brought you to this conclusion to come to Council for this funding?</p> <p>Answer – Yes, we did start the process of applying to the rural infrastructure fund because that were giving grants as well as loans. When it became clear to us it</p>

			<p>was going to be a loan, we felt it may be easier to go to Council as we have a long financial history, and they know our scheme better. The rural infrastructure fund was the one we investigated the most. We've terminated that process. It was going to cabinet, but we stopped it there.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – Can you talk me through \$2 million in headroom? I'm just wanting to understand that better. Answer – They're estimates. We don't know the cost and along with the uncertainty in the Middle East and oil prices impacting the cost of plastic pipe which is the main component of what we'll be using. We don't want it to be that high but we're saying it could be that high. Once we go to the market and get some firm pricing we'll know more.</p> <p>HWTM – This may not happen year one that this could be across the long-term plan. So, you're not asking for the draw down loan to be passed to you of \$5 million. What you're asking for is the potential over time to that figure. Is that correct? Answer- That's correct and the total amount for those upgrades and then divide it by what will be acceptable to scheme users, and council fund will determine how far, how quick we do it or how far we spread it out.</p> <p>Cr Wong – The estimates that you have now for your project I take it they come from the consultant engineers. Where did those figures come from? Answer – Initially through Andrew van Bussel who's done a lot of work on our behalf, but these are quite</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>historical. Some of these date back to 2022/23 that's as good as we can get without going to the market to firm up those figures. One project which is about two kilometres long involves over a kilometre on a public road which will need traffic management. The second part of that stage is on a track that needs to be upgraded. So, there's a lot of uncertainty.</p> <p>HWTM – The Committee have given their approval for you to come to submit? Answer – Yes, the Committee have approved the submission and are aware that I'm submitting today.</p> <p>HWTM – Can you give us an indication the total number of farms involved in this? Answer – There's 27 connections, some of those farms have been amalgamated in the 40 years it's been running but when it started it was watering 18,650 hectares. I know from experience that it's extended for example we've bought neighbouring properties, and it's gone on to that. So that area is probably increased if anything.</p>
<p>#27 Peter Kipling Arthur</p>	<p>Taihape Heritage Grandstand Sub Committee</p>	<p>The Committee is asking that the Council work with them and that Council consider putting these toilets either underneath the grandstand or replacing them completely.</p>	<p>HWTM – Is there a preference? You said either located under the grandstand or external, does your subcommittee have a preference? Answer – The subcommittee has no preference either way. The grandstand is a level two heritage building, what is underneath can be altered and brought up to code. However, if you have toilets that are freestanding or outside, that may be better in terms of</p>

			<p>access for the wider public and general safety. Staff would have better knowledge of what's required.</p> <p>Cr Hiroa – The Taihape Heritage Grandstand Sub Committee is one of a number of trusts and organisations in our town that working on these upgrades. Have you spoken to Taihape Grandstand group or the Taihape Playground group? Answer- There has been discussion in the larger meetings with the grandstand group on what people would look for. We're not asking and saying it must be this, or it must be that. We're asking for something that is clean, tidy and workable.</p> <p>HWTM – I understand from other submitters that there's been mention of various prices. What's your understanding of the cost of that in terms of council? Answer – I have no idea of cost. I know it depends on what standard of toilet facility you're putting in whether it's a two-block like at Mangaweka or something more advanced that the costs will vary.</p> <p>Cr Wong – The toilet block, how would that be viewed as demolishing a heritage building? Answer – It's not a heritage building as far as we're concerned.</p>
#34 Gill Duncan	Taihape Grandstand Restoration Committee	<p>This submission is support of the Taihape Playground Group.</p> <p>That the project on restoring the Taihape Grandstand is efficient, cost</p>	<p>Cr Hiroa – Confirming that there has been a lot of korero with groups in Taihape around this? Answer – Yes, we've had a lot of discussion around this especially as the playground group is supported within the community. Everyone would love to see that</p>

		<p>effective and to be able to restore the grandstand as a multi-purpose building.</p>	<p>playground up and running and it is our focus to try and make that a working and a collaborative part of our project and to support them.</p> <p>HWTM – Your preference is for the toilet to be built underneath because it allows more storage room. Answer- No, more room for the playground to be established on the northern end of the grandstand because the green space that was allocated originally was larger than is now allowable. Council has gone back and relooked at that because of the requirement to have access to the grandstand for emergency vehicles. They have actually reduced the green space that has been allocated to the playground which means that if those toilets are on the green space, it reduces the area the playground can be built within.</p> <p>HWTM – So you’re taking the position with regard to this submission around giving maximum space for the playground effectively. Answer – Yes. And it is beneficial for the whole grandstand project that is rebuilt or reinstated and renovated multi-use facility.</p> <p>HWTM - There’s been mention in some of the submissions around the cost associated at \$256,000. As a request, is that your understanding of the budget to build this underneath the grandstand. Answer – Yes, I believe Council paid for that to be updated on the 05 October 25 and that is the latest budgeting that I could see around the actual costs of</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>the toilets. However, the scope of the toilets has not been finalised.</p> <p>HWTM – There is a separate sum of money that Council has approved as final funder for the grandstand project. Answer – Yes</p> <p>HWTM – And this \$256,000 of your view is separate to that amount of money. Is that your understanding? Answer- Yes, it is my understanding because Council have previously committed to replacing those toilets.</p>
#35 Gill Duncan	Taihape Community Board	Spoke that the Taihape Community Board supported the replacement of the toilets and supported the restoration committee of the Taihape Grandstand.	<p>HWTM – The request for water CCOs to be protected from eventual privatisation. Are you aware that it is much more difficult now that a new water entity has been formed for it to be privatised than currently? Answer - Yes, I have read quite a lot of the papers around this, and I do believe it is in some ways more difficult but it's still possible and I think it is if we look at many other big providers such as electricity networks, railways. Soon as you put anything into a company, you might put legislation around it to protect it from privatisation in the first place, but eventually it is vulnerable as are all things actually with a change of government or change of legislation.</p> <p>HWTM – You make the comment, that fees generally should recover full cost where service is used by an individual or identifiable group. What are you asking by way of that for instance if you attend a swimming pool? Answer – I think there are some exceptions to the rule, and I would think swimming pools is one of them.</p>

			<p>There are some things that Council provides such as toilets that you don't pay for that everybody pays for, and I think swimming pools are probably part of that. I was thinking more about venues that are hired and used by groups within the community.</p> <p>HWTM – There's quite a bit in your submission around this venue (Kokako Pavillion) and the need to increase the kitchen facilities and toilet hygiene. Have they been flagged as a request for service? Answer – I believe so. Over the last few years, I believe there have been. Especially the men's toilets.</p> <p>Cr Raukawa – What is in the community at the moment in terms of civil defence? Answer – We have got a civil defence group who have to be notified to be geared up. For instance, in the Valentine's weekend event, they weren't notified and so they didn't kick in until further along in the piece. So that was unfortunate. There is a trailer that is designated for Taihape, but it's located in Marton. It was brought up to Taihape for the next event, but it wasn't for the Valentine's event. So, we didn't have a number of other pieces of equipment that would have been helpful.</p> <p>HWTM – Why do you believe it has been designated to Taihape specifically? Answer – The Taihape Neighbourhood Support and the Chief Fire Office both called it the Taihape Civil Defence trailer.</p>
--	--	--	--

			<p>Cr Baird – You talk about land use, and your words are specifically more housing for business to expand, is that housing for businesses or housing for people or both?</p> <p>Answer – I would think that it would be all people that needed to for businesses to expand for example Hautapu Pine and Hautapu Rural have been restricted at times because they cannot find accommodation for workers.</p> <p>Cr Hiroa – Do you think that the communication link between council and the Taihape Community Board is working?</p> <p>Answer - Yes, I do. I'd like to thank the Northern Ward councillors. I think you're all great. You come to meetings when you can, and I think the communication and connection between the various groups is actually growing.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – Accepting your request for maintenance around the Kokako Hall, I'm interested in your thoughts when you're talking about user pays?</p> <p>Answer – Yes, I think there are different types of groups. There are groups that serve the community and there are other groups that serve themselves and perhaps there should be a definition there. If there is a group that is using the hall for pleasure predominantly not that I'm against, but I would think that they would be more likely to be a paid group that could contribute towards a hall such as this which is in desperate need of maintenance.</p>
--	--	--	---

<p>#46 Daniel Duxfield</p>		<p>Spoke about the role of bureaucracy and the need for council to have efficiencies and to be more customer focused.</p>	<p>HWTM – Could you give us some examples of where council has made rules to make things difficult? Answer – When we had a meeting here a week or so back there was a gentleman who was just trying to put a house on a piece of land he bought at a farm. He struck hurdle after hurdle and there was no common sense. The guy just wants to put a house that he could live in, attach it to services but then someone at council in the bureaucracy was constantly putting up, no, there’s a process you have to do for this and it might cost more. We can’t give you a final amount of how much it’s going to cost. HWTM – Are you aware and when you say that there’s a rising tide of risk nationally, are you aware that Council has to comply with the laws of the land set in government? Answer – Yeah, I know. But there has to be push back on that. If someone from Wellington comes up with a rule and thinks ‘Oh, we think it should be like this.’ Then someone’s got to sit them down and say, ‘Well, no, this doesn’t work for my region.’ This doesn’t work for us and it will not work if it adds cost or a time delay, things like a building consent, things like consents for anything. I mean, why does it have to take so long for stuff to get done. Cr Wong – You’ve made the comment to encourage people and businesses to move to the region. To increase the ratepayer base. The region being Horizons, Taihape or the Rangitikei District and have you got any specific areas that Council should do to enact this?</p>
----------------------------	--	---	--

			<p>Answer – Rangitikei region as a whole if we can encourage businesses to come that creates jobs, rezone land for housing and will have a whole flow on affect. If we can entice people to move from Wellington, Auckland and some of those regions bring manufacturing, bring stuff people need. If you can afford to give them some kind of rates rebate to entice them that might be worth looking at.</p> <p>Cr Baird – You’ve said you’d like to encourage more businesses to the district, and you’ve alerted us to your concerns about the consenting process and its cost, what do you think are the other barriers to the cost of people wanting to come here or what other enticement could be provided?</p> <p>Answer – It might be something to investigate if we can look at the processes, if someone wanted to move their business here, if we went through all the processes that they to go through and we just found a way to streamline it and we looked at the cost structure and maybe a survey to business and is this cost structure something you could manage if you wanted to move your business here, or is too much? How can we make it easier? I mean that’s the attitude that I think bureaucracy needs to have. I think if we can do that better than anyone else because we would be competing with other councils for their business and for their people.</p>
#20 Ian Rae	Taihape Community Development Trust	Spoke about housing in Taihape and the need for more to meet demand.	HWTM – What is your request of council with regard to this annual plan in terms of housing?

			<p>Answer – Taihape is dying and when you drill down there are number of influences, but the main one is the lack of housing. And despite publications saying that council supports housing, that’s not happening in reality.</p>
#21 Ian Rae	Taihape Community Development Trust	Requested that Council design a suitable stormwater system for the Taihape West Slip problem.	<p>HWTM – You’re referring your submission to the Tonkin and Taylor report from 2026, correct? Answer – Correct</p> <p>HWTM – Are you aware of the drainage that was put in as a consequence of that? Answer – Yes, but it didn’t address the issues or the recommendation the report suggested.</p> <p>HWTM – Are you aware that certainly there was some work done there but are you also aware of the very deep boring of drainage into the slip? Answer – There are tests there, but they were there for monitoring rather than tapping water.</p> <p>Cr Hiroa – You’ve given us statistics around the housing in 2022, where does it sit now? Do you think anything has changed? Answer – The attitude or way of dealing with it hasn’t changed. I suppose the point I’m trying to make is it’s affecting businesses coming here.</p> <p>HWTM – You are submitting on behalf of the Taihape Community Development Trust. Did they approve your submission? Answer – Correct.</p>

			<p>Cr Baird – I was wondering if you could help me understand please what you mean by the word support in your submission. Specifically, what are you expecting of Council?</p> <p>Answer – Well, you heard the previous speaker talk about bureaucracy and that’s probably as good example as I can give.</p>
<p>Meeting adjourned at 10.56am and returned at 12.45pm</p>			
<p>#24 Jo Rangooni</p>		<p>Advocated for Council to find creative solutions and being more strategic.</p>	<p>Cr Baird – You say that it’s important that we embrace change. Are there some changes you think we shouldn’t embrace?</p> <p>Answer – I think digital we’ve got be very weary of. I think people matter more than the digital thing.</p> <p>Cr Wilson – Just looking at your submission on the revenue and financing policy, you’ve got costs should be shared broadly to keep services affordable and you’ve ticked that you’re in favour of that approach. Would you consider that to be an approach for the entire cost of Council?</p> <p>Answer – I see council as very challenging because there are people that rates are a major struggle but then you’ve got work to do.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – In your submission you talk about effective succession plans, particularly for younger counsellors. Have you got any ideas on what we should be doing?</p> <p>Answer - I think it’s a challenge to be creative about. It scares me to death when I see a lot of decision makers who all look the same and when you see on TV all these people and they all look the same. I do like to see a</p>

			<p>range of interests, and you know the more inclusive you can be and find those people who struggle to get time to get here.</p>
<p>#36 Ian Strahan, Laura Morrison</p>	<p>Federated Farmers</p>	<p>Tabled document received.</p> <p>It was noted that rates have decreased; however, the reduction has not kept pace with inflation, and concerns were raised regarding the structure of general rates, particularly the disproportionate share of rate increases borne by larger property owners, alongside the Council's significant reliance on the rural rates base.</p>	<p>HWTM – The rural rate has gone up with the proposed rate increases above urban that is driven by land-based valuation. Are you saying that should not be a system that council use? Answer – Yes but if you use a differential like your neighbouring councils.</p> <p>HWTM – Should we be capital based still? Answer – Yeah.</p> <p>HWTM – Are you aware that we do use differentials? Answer - Yes on your SUIPs but there's a lot on your general rate that you don't use differentials.</p> <p>HWTM – Are you aware that we use differentials the other way, commercial and industrials? Answer – Yes. We agree with the forestry rate.</p> <p>Cr Wong - I see your submission has a lot of reference to the inflation rate, which is consumer CPI, are you aware that council's inflation isn't ranked along the ways of CPI. Answer – The CPI is a general clumsy method of measuring costs in the whole economy.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – Thoughts around the UAG and urban people and increasing the burden on them.</p>

			<p>Answer – The burden is increasing on everyone and if there is a measure that needs to be taken then it is how much everyone is contributing on general services that everyone benefits from and not sort of splitting that on a notion that farmers who have higher capital values.</p>
#40 Jennifer Lamm, Andrew Galloway (Zoom)	Alcohol Healthwatch	<p>Encouraged Council to consider a specific by law and that these bylaws cover the actual cost of alcohol licensing in the district.</p>	<p>Cr Wilson – How many councils are taking up or enacting a policy along the lines of what your submission is.</p> <p>Answer – That is in the written submission, there's about twelve.</p> <p>HWTM – I've looked at the examples; do you have a local example?</p> <p>Answer – No neighbouring councils but a range of sizes and certainly smaller ones. I would note that some councils have chosen to do it over a staged process.</p> <p>HWTM – To enact that bylaw when they did it was part of a long-term plan consultation process as opposed to an annual plan process.</p> <p>Answer – I believe it was an annual plan process. There's a specific way outlined in the submission to make a fees bylaw and using the special consultative procedure in the local government act.</p>
#41 Felicity Wallace, Geoff Mills	Interested Residents of Marton and Rangitikei	<p>Spoke of the Annual Plan Consultation Document and the lacking information in the document.</p>	
#43 Peter & Darryl Cousins		<p>Spoke about swimming pool fees and charges.</p>	<p>HWTM – In terms of principle, do you believe there should be a set charge to each pool or varied according to cost.</p>

			<p>Answer – I think certain components have to be shared. Obviously, I’m close by to the council, so I think the travel costs the vehicle running costs should be shared above the number of people having pools.</p> <p>Cr Wilson- Having a look at the letter here received on the 03 December from Council says here you’ve got a couple of options engage in IQP independent qualified pool inspector did you inquire? Answer – I did.</p> <p>Cr Wilson – What was the outcome of that? Answer – The nearest one was in Levin, and his travelling time was going to be pretty excessive.</p> <p>Cr Wilson - How old is the pool? Is it a new pool? Answer – Two years old.</p> <p>HWTM – What’s you’re understanding on how regularly we check or is it random? Answer –The date for the next check will be in three year's time.</p> <p>HWTM – Do you know of any other nearby neighbours that have swimming pools were checked at the same time? Answer – I was unaware of that, but I would have thought council staff would have looked at efficiencies.</p> <p>HWTM – You said in your submission that we have no database of pools. How would we have contacted you?</p>
--	--	--	---

			<p>Answer – Surely there must be some mechanism through the building application when I built the pool.</p>
<p>#31 Tim Matthews</p>		<p>Spoke of the Annual Plan and it's readability and categories of expenditure needing to be clear and the affordability of rates.</p>	<p>Cr Raukawa – You say we've regressed in terms of the Annual Plan booklet, what risk do you think this creates for public participation? Answer – People can't see where their rates are going. Sure, there's an allocation in the system for district promotion. There's what 15 categories of general rate funding when people can see how much they're actually paying from their rates, and it usually comes in the first-rate demand of the year. And that's what gets people off their bums and come to talk to you people and say look what value am I getting from this.</p> <p>HWTM – Within the booklet is this daily breakdown which is focussed on the average household it does detail where the money is spent. Answer – There are only about ten categories, whereas on the sheet I sent in as an appendix, there are 25 categories of expenditure.</p> <p>HWTM – What you're asking is a transition from the general rate capital value to either a UAGC or user fee? Answer – Not necessarily. It's really what you categorize as being a general rate rather than a targeted rate.</p> <p>HWTM – So in those are there any categories that you would like to see? Can you name one that should be under a UAGC? Answer – Probably can't find it quickly for you but there are a number of things there, I don't think your</p>

			<p>process in the revenue and financing policy is robust enough to identify uses and it's very silent. Even the revised revenue and financing policy is very silent on how you arrived at the need to change the general rate to the split. I would really like to see some detail as to why suddenly I'm paying nearly the same for a general rate as I am for my roading rate.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – This information is late submitted; it was only put up this morning Answer – Yes</p> <p>Cr Wilson – Is there one specific thing that you would say that you requesting here? Answer – If we look at the categories and expenditure is obviously one the swimming pools, parks and reserves and libraries but some others like building inspection, district planning. You know a lot of us never have any interaction with district planning other than when we for a consent to do something the rest of us and that goes for people in town.</p> <p>Cr Wilson – In regard to the document, you'd like to see that broken down? Answer – That's the 2017 version; I've given you 2025 version. It doesn't have it.</p>
<p>#44 Heidi Macaulay</p>	<p>Bulls & District Community Trust</p>	<p>Tabled document received. Spoke about the Bulls & District Community Trust and that the</p>	<p>Cr Sharland – How many bulls have we got? Answer – Seventeen in total including three families that's three cows and four calves.</p> <p>Cr Raukawa – How many on private land?</p>

		<p>funding request for the bulls are on private land.</p>	<p>Answer – So the ones on private land it’s technically not private land one is Waka Kotahi NZTA, also the Bull at the BP, Mint café, La Bull café, Rangitikei Tavern, Bulls Fire Station, Bulls Bridge, which I mentioned Keith A Homes, and the Bulls Domain because it’s actually on the rugby club.</p> <p>Cr Sharland – What are they constructed of? Answer – Fibreglass, they’ve had their backs strengthened a few years back because many people sit on them.</p> <p>Cr Wilson – Some time ago and referring back to that strengthening was that funded as a request to Council? Answer – So originally there was the placemaking, so all the bulls went under the placemaking. We look after them and any work that needed to be done, we got it done and we invoiced Council and then paid it back. Since this is now gone, they were assigned under artwork and Council only funds art on public land.</p> <p>Cr Wong – Being part of the community trust you’d be applying for a lot of funding. Have you looked at any other funding for the maintenance of the bulls? Answer – No because Council have always maintained it.</p> <p>Cr Dalgety – Can this be included in the MOU? Answer – That’s what I’ve requested is for \$3,000 per year increase.</p>
--	--	---	---

			<p>HWTM – If we were going to put that into your MOU as a \$2,000 cost, is there anything that could be reduced? Answer – No, because I’m actually already asking for an increase in the MOU in the same year.</p> <p>Cr Baird – Do you have an adopt a bull scheme? Answer – We’ve had a lot of businesses ask us for something similar, but they don’t want to just clean it, they want to paint them and make them their colours, and we don’t want that. We as a community, we want to keep our branding the same and that is black bulls.</p>
--	--	--	--

Unconfirmed