



Rangitikei District Council

Telephone: 06 327-0099

Facsimile: 06 327-6970

**Rangitikei**  
UNspoilt...

## Hunterville Community Committee

# Order Paper

**Monday, 15 February 2016, at 6.30pm**

**Library, Hunterville Town Hall, Bruce Street,  
Hunterville**

**Website:** [www.rangitikei.govt.nz](http://www.rangitikei.govt.nz)

**Email:** [info@rangitikei.govt.nz](mailto:info@rangitikei.govt.nz)

---

### **Chair**

Maureen Fenton

### **Membership**

Karen Kennedy, Jean Signal, Erina True, Jane Watson

His Worship the Mayor, Andy Watson, (ex officio)

Councillor Dean McManaway or any one other Councillor

---

**Please Note:** Items in this agenda may be subject to amendments or withdrawal at the meeting. It is recommended therefore that items not be reported upon until after adoption by the Council. Reporters who do not attend the meeting are requested to seek confirmation of the agenda material or proceedings of the meeting from the Chief Executive prior to any media reports being filed.

# Rangitikei District Council

## Hunterville Community Committee Meeting

Order Paper – Monday 15 February 2016 – 6:30 p.m.



### Contents

1	Welcome.....	2	
2	Apologies .....	2	
3	Confirmation of minutes .....	2	Attachment 1, page(s) 5-9
4	Council decision on recommendations from the Committee .....	2	<i>Agenda note</i>
5	Update on town centre project.....	2	<i>Agenda note</i>
6	Issues raised a previous meeting.....	2	<i>Agenda note</i>
7	Small Projects Grant Scheme (balance).....	2	<i>Agenda note</i>
8	Proposed District Plan Changes.....	2	Attachment 2, page(s) 10-12
9	Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy .....	3	Attachment 3, page(s) 13-30
10	Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities in the Hunterville Ward .....	3	Attachment 4, page(s) 31-32
11	General Business .....	3	
12	Next meeting .....	3	
13	Meeting closed .....	3	

The quorum for the Hunterville Community Committee is 4.

At its meeting of 28 October 2010, Council resolved that "The quorum at any meeting of a standing committee or sub-committee of the Council (including Te Roou Ahi Kaa, the Community Committees, the Reserve Management Committees and the Rural Water Supply Management Sub-committees) is that required for a meeting of the local authority in SO 2.4.3 and 3.4.3.

## **1 Welcome**

## **2 Apologies**

## **3 Confirmation of minutes**

### **Recommendation**

That the Minutes of the Hunterville Community Committee meeting held on 19 October 2015 be taken as read and verified as an accurate and correct record of the meeting.

## **4 Council decision on recommendations from the Committee**

There were no recommendations from the Committee's meeting on 19 October 2015 which required Council confirmation.

## **5 Update on town centre project**

Members will provide an update.

## **6 Issues raised a previous meeting**

- Lawn mowing

The Council's Parks & Reserves team has been mowing several areas which had previously been mowed under the Fulton Hogan contract but which were not part of the formal contract.

- Alternative town water supply – update

Investigation of an alternative water source is on hold until there is a decision from the Ministry for Primary Industries on funding support for evaluation of options for the rural water scheme.

## **7 Small Projects Grant Scheme (balance)**

The balance of the small projects Grant Scheme for the Hunterville Ward is \$329.00 (being the total \$809.00 , minus the \$480.00 for the 60 reams of A4 photocopy paper for the newsletter.)

## **8 Proposed District Plan Changes**

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 2-PL-2

### **Recommendation**

The memorandum 'Proposed District Plan Changes' be received

## **9 Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy**

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 1-CP-5-2

### **Recommendation**

The memorandum 'Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy' be received

## **10 Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities in the Hunterville Ward**

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 3-CC-1-5

### **Recommendation**

That the memorandum 'Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities in the Hunterville Ward' be received.

## **11 General Business**

## **12 Next meeting**

Monday 18 April 2016, 6.30 pm

## **13 Meeting closed**

# Attachment 1

# Rangitikei District Council

## Huntermville Community Committee Meeting

Minutes – Monday 19 October 2015 – 6:30 p.m.



### Contents

1	Welcome.....	3
2	Apologies .....	3
3	Confirmation of Minutes .....	3
4	Matters Arising .....	3
5	Update on town centre project.....	3
6	Small Projects Grant Scheme (balance).....	3
7	Current infrastructure projects/ upgrades and other Council activities in the Huntermville Ward.....	4
8	General Business .....	4
9	Next meeting .....	4
10	Meeting closed .....	4

The quorum for the Huntermville Community Committee is 4 non Council members plus one elected member of Council

At its meeting of 28 October 2010 Council resolved that 'The quorum at any meeting of a standing committee or sub-committee of the Council (including Te Roopu Ahi Kaa, the Community Committees, the Reserve Management Committees and the Rural Water Supply Management Sub-committees) is that required for a meeting of the local authority in SO 2.4.3 and 3.4.3.'

Present                      Ms Karen Kennedy  
                                    Ms Erina True  
                                    Ms Maureen Fenton  
                                    Ms Jean Signal

In Attendance              His Worship the Mayor, Andy Watson

Unconfirmed

## 1 Welcome

Karen Kennedy as Deputy Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

## 2 Apologies

That apologies be received from Jane Watson, Chair and Cr Dean McManaway.

Ms Fenton / Ms Signal. Carried.

## 3 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolved minute number                      15/HCC/007                      File Ref

That the minutes of the Hunterville Community Committee meeting held on 17 August 2015 be taken as read and verified as an accurate and correct record of the meeting.

Ms True / Ms Signal. Carried

## 4 Matters Arising

Ms Fenton wishes the title page of the order paper be amended. It reads Chair Maureen Fenton instead of Jane Watson.

### Lawn mowing

It has been noted that there are areas in town which haven't been mown but had been previously by the old contractors. His Worship the Mayor is to talk to representative and get him to contact secretary.

## 5 Update on town centre project

Ms Kennedy has nothing further to report on this subject.

## 6 Small Projects Grant Scheme (balance)

Resolved minute number                      15/HCC/008                      File Ref

That 60 reams of paper to print the local bulletin be approved.

Ms True / Ms Kennedy. Carried.



## **7 Current infrastructure projects/ upgrades and other Council activities in the Hunterville Ward**

Ms Fenton voiced concerns about the garden edging on the main street. She said she feels that without the groundcover to soften edges, it is an accident waiting to happen.

**Resolved minute number**

**15/HCC/009**

**File Ref**

**3-CC-1-5**

That the memorandum 'Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities in the Hunterville ward' be received.

## **8 General Business**

His Worship the Mayor informed the meeting that an application has been made for a grant from central government to investigate an alternative water supply for Hunterville.

### **Liquefaction**

Horizons are updating their old maps and this could lead to a change in our District Plan. There is to be a meeting held in Taihape to discuss this.

## **9 Next meeting**

The next meeting will be the third Monday of February, 2016 at 6.30pm

## **10 Meeting closed**

The meeting was closed at 7.30 pm.

**Confirmed/Chair:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:**

# Attachment 2



**Rangitikei**  
UNESPOILT...

# MEMORANDUM

TO: Taihape Community Board; Ratana Community Board; Turakina Community Committee; Bulls Community Committee; Marton Community Committee

FROM: Katrina Gray

DATE: 26 January 2016

SUBJECT: **Proposed District Plan Changes**

FILE: 2-PL-2

---

## 1 Background

- 1.1 The Rangitikei District Plan is the main document which influences land development throughout the District. The current plan has been in place since October 2013, following a full review.

## 2 Proposal

- 2.1 As with any large document issues are likely to arise. The proposed Plan Changes will seek to address these issues. The current issues being investigated are:
- Natural hazards (liquefaction, ground shaking, refinement of flooding areas in Bulls and Hunterville)
  - Zoning of commercial properties for small settlements such as Mangaweka and Turakina.
  - Heritage
  - Rural boundary setbacks
  - Administrative errors
- 2.2 It is important to note that these topics are being investigated and changes may not ultimately be proposed.

## 3 Comment

- 3.1 The proposed District Plan changes are largely an administrative tidy up of provisions which are causing implementation issues. The changes aim to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the District Plan, removing barriers where they unnecessary, while ensuring that amenity values are retained.
- 3.2 There will be opportunity for the community to be involved in the process, with the proposed changes scheduled to be publicly notified during March. The chair of the Community Committee/Board will be notified of the consultation period.

#### **4 Recommendation**

4.1 That the memorandum 'Proposed District Plan Changes' be received.

Katrina Gray  
Policy Analyst/Planner

# Attachment 3



# MEMORANDUM

TO: Ratana Community Board, Taihape Community Board, Bulls Community Committee, Hunterville Community Committee, Marton Community Committee, and Turakina Community Committee

FROM: Alex Staric

DATE: 13 January 2016

SUBJECT: **Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy**

FILE: 1-CP-5-2

---

## 1 Background

- 1.1 Council has developed a draft Heritage Strategy to replace the outdated Heritage Protection Strategy 2008. While consultation is not mandatory for this strategy, Council would like to ensure that decision-making is open and transparent and that the community's views are well represented on this issue.
- 1.2 As of 18 January 2016, the draft Heritage Strategy is open for public consultation until **12pm 19 February 2016** (see [Appendix 1](#) for the draft Strategy). Oral submissions are scheduled for 29 February 2016. A summary has been produced which outlines the key aspects of the draft Strategy and submission methods and is attached as [Appendix 2](#). In addition, a submission form is attached as [Appendix 3](#).

## 2 Recommendation

- 2.1 That the memorandum 'Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy' be received.

Alex Staric  
Policy Analyst

# *Appendix 1*

# RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL

## HERITAGE STRATEGY 2015



### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Rangitikei District has a vast range of heritage resources which contribute to the well-being of the community. These resources range, from outstanding natural landscapes, places of natural beauty, to areas of cultural significance and physical resources. All of these heritage features tell stories of the past and provide an important link through the present and into the future.
- 1.2 It is recognised that our heritage assets provide benefit for the community, creating communities and a District with a distinct identity. They are also potential attractions for visitors and thus may contribute to growth of the District's economy. However, the District has a wide range of other factors which contribute to community well-being. Ensuring communities are vibrant places of economic and social activity is essential. Importance needs to be placed on consideration of the management of heritage resources within the wider context of overall well-being of local communities and the potential end use of the site.
- 1.3 Heritage is also preserved, promoted and supported through the documentation of narratives and stories. These can be the stories of the lives, or traditions of local communities and tangata whenua or the social or cultural context surrounding built and natural heritage. These oral histories and experiences contribute to an important part of Rangitikei's heritage resource which, if not documented, may be lost over time.

### 2 Rangitikei Tangata Whenua Perspective – Heritage Protection

- 2.1 Toi tu te kupu, toi tu te mana, toi tu te whenua – a plea to hold fast to our culture, for without language, without mana, and without land, the essence of being Maori would no longer exist but be a skeleton which would not give justice to the full body of Maoritanga.
- 2.2 This well-known saying reflects upon heritage being an important aspect to the overall drive for the sustainability of iwi Maori in general and also to hapu and iwi within the Rangitikei District. This is demonstrated by the extensive involvement of local hapu and iwi in ensuring their respective korero is nurtured for future generations.
- 2.3 In all gatherings of our people whakatauki and pepeha are recited and speakers are supported by waiata which all have elements of korero that link the people to the land and the rivers. They also refer to events in our history which also provide insight into our respective relationships within this land. Physically protecting places



of significance helps sustain the korero further whilst also giving it greater meaning and understanding to whanau, hapu, iwi and non – iwi within the District. The pending settlement of historic Treaty of Waitangi claims will clear a pathway for hapu and iwi to fulfil ambitions in heritage protection to take those responsibilities further to engage with their respective whanau and to an extent with all people.

- 2.4 Having a leading hand within this process is vital as the role of kaitiaki underpins the integrity of such pursuits to make it sustainable from a perspective of responsibility and also based upon a reciprocal relationship between people and place as well as with taonga and resources.

### 3 What is heritage?

- 3.1 Heritage is a term which is applied to buildings, sites, places, objects and other features of historical significance which are valued by people and communities. Heritage is inherited from the past and handed on for the benefit of future generations and includes:

- *Built heritage* – buildings and structures, such as those listed by the Heritage New Zealand.
- *Natural heritage* – natural places, objects and intangible attributes, such as identified outstanding natural landscapes and notable trees.
- *Cultural heritage* – objects and artefacts, places, language, stories, customs, protocols, knowledge and skills communities, groups and individuals recognise as part of their cultural heritage, such as sites of Waahi tapu.
- *Social heritage* – the history, traditions, knowledge and identities of local communities, such as the stories behind built heritage.

### 4 Statutory context

- 4.1 Rangitikei District Council has responsibilities for managing heritage within the District as follows:

- *Resource Management Act 1991* – as a matter of national importance<sup>1</sup> to ensure heritage is recognised, provided for and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.
- *Reserves Act 1977* – reserves may be classified as historic reserves and vested in local authorities to control and manage.
- *Building Act 2004* – the need to facilitate the preservation of buildings of significant cultural, historical or heritage value needs to be taken into account<sup>2</sup>. The Building Act 2004<sup>3</sup> also contains a number of provisions regarding the need to ensure public safety and the priority to remedy issues with dangerous and insanitary buildings<sup>4</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 6(f)

<sup>2</sup> Section 4(2)(l)

<sup>3</sup> Subpart 6 of Part 2

<sup>4</sup> The Buildings (Earthquake Prone Buildings) Amendment Bill will prescribe more vigorously how dangerous buildings should be treated, including heritage buildings.

- *Public Records Act 2005* – the requirement to ensure adequate protection and preservation of ‘protected records’<sup>5</sup>.
- *Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014* - promotes the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of the historical and cultural heritage of New Zealand. It specially seeks to register historic buildings, sites or areas, or Waahi tupuna, Waahi tapu sites or areas, and to protect archaeological sites.

4.2 There is no specific mention of heritage in the Local Government Act 2002. However, when ‘well-being’ of the community formed part of the purpose of local government, this was generally viewed as including a heritage dimension.<sup>6</sup>

## 5 Purpose

5.1 This strategy provides the long term vision to guide Council’s management of heritage resources throughout the Rangitikei District.

5.2 Heritage should be managed in accordance with the following goals.

**Goal 1: Document cultural and local histories.**

**Goal 2: Promote cultural and local histories of the Rangitikei.**

**Goal 3: Support tangata whenua to discover and document their physical, natural and intangible heritage.**

**Goal 4: Recognise the local context, providing management options which consider the overall and long term well-being of the community.**

**Goal 5: Consideration of the past use, current use and condition of the heritage resource<sup>7</sup> and the potential long term use of the heritage resource and/or site.**

**Goal 6: Partner with the community in the preservation and management of heritage resources.**

**Goal 7: Seek opportunities for regional/national collaboration and funding to assist with the protection of the District’s heritage.**

## 6 Challenges

6.1 The management of heritage resources presents a wide range of challenges for both the Council and the community. The main challenges include:

<sup>5</sup> Section 40

<sup>6</sup> Original purpose statement in section 10.

<sup>7</sup> Heritage resource can refer to a variety of heritage aspects such as; built heritage, cultural sites and natural landscapes.

#### Tension between the public benefit of heritage protection and the private cost of doing so

- 6.2 Often the cost or disadvantages associated with protecting heritage resources falls on the private property owner, hapu group, museum or historical society. However, the overall benefit of protecting the heritage resources may accrue to the wider community.

#### Cost of earthquake strengthening built heritage

- 6.3 The majority of heritage buildings are earthquake prone and require strengthening. Many are under-used. Often the cost of this strengthening work is prohibitive, with rents gained from tenants in the renovated building not able to cover that cost. It may be preferable to demolish such under-used buildings in the District's CBD areas and replace them with structures which are more efficient and meet the needs of local businesses. Not doing this runs the risk of such buildings being abandoned and eventually being demolished and not replaced.

#### The economic and demographic context

- 6.4 Rangitikei is a District which is experiencing a slow population decline, with economic activity within the town centres also declining. This has resulted in an oversupply of commercial buildings. These factors, combined with the costs of earthquake strengthening can result in vacant buildings. Main streets with empty buildings reduce the amenity of these areas and can adversely affect community well-being.

#### Capacity of Tangata Whenua

- 6.5 Tangata whenua often have limited capacity for identifying, managing and enhancing their cultural heritage. There are a large number of Waahi tapu sites which are known only to the tangata whenua, and often the public recognition of these sites is not desirable.

#### Capacity of Council

- 6.6 Council has limited resources to identify, manage and enhance heritage resources. However, because of its leadership role in the community, it has some ability to attract sponsorship and relationships which support heritage initiatives.

#### Capacity of local museums

- 6.7 The Rangitikei District's five museums are operated solely by volunteers<sup>8</sup>. This provides a number of challenges for long term sustainability of the management of the heritage resources the museums care for. These challenges include: the number of volunteers available, obtaining funding (funding is often sought via external funders), adequate facilities to care for collections and ongoing training of volunteers.

---

<sup>8</sup> Bulls, Marton, Hunterville, Mangaweka and Taihape.

### Present heritage can obscure past heritage

- 6.8 Often buildings, now considered as heritage, have replaced older buildings, whose appearance and use is effectively lost. In some situations it may be more important to resurrect some tangible evidence of the earlier structure or use.

## **7 METHODS**

- 7.1 There are a wide range of possible methods for heritage management. The main methods Rangitikei District Council seeks to use through this strategy are:

- Rangitikei District Plan
- Rates Remission Policy
- Heritage Inventories
- Waiving of internal consenting fees
- Information education and support
- Advocacy for external sponsorship/funding

## **8 Rangitikei District Plan**

- 8.1 A key method for the management of heritage resources throughout the District is the Rangitikei District Plan. The District Plan provides for protection of natural, cultural and physical heritage through identification of valuable heritage resources and controls surrounding their use and development.
- 8.2 The District Plan provides the strategic direction for the management of heritage resources - to provide for the reuse of heritage in a manner which is appropriate for the particular context. It also seeks to ensure that the considerations surrounding the destruction of heritage resources involves how the replacement activities will provide for social, cultural and economic well-being of the affected community.
- 8.3 The relevant provisions from the District Plan are provided as Appendix 1.

## **9 Rates Remission Policy**

- 9.1 Rangitikei District has a Rates Remission Policy which provides remissions for owners of earthquake prone buildings. As most heritage buildings are highly likely to be earthquake prone, this policy is highly relevant to the District's physical heritage resources.
- 9.2 The Rates Remission Policy provides remissions for up to six months during strengthening/construction works, as well as up to three years upon completion of the building work. These provisions seek to encourage property owners to develop the building so that they can be better used.

## **10 Heritage Inventories**

- 10.1 The District's museums already have inventories of their own collections, increasingly available online. The inventory process, however, is not limited to what

is collected but rather what should be known: the development of a comprehensive heritage inventory increases the documentation and understanding about heritage resources throughout the District. Creating an inventory ensures that heritage resources are remembered, without necessarily requiring the physical resource to remain in perpetuity. It will be a continually evolving document, with new sites and items added as they are recognised and new information added when discovered.

- 10.2 Two heritage inventories could be developed, one for the built heritage resources and one for Māori heritage. Having a separate inventory for Māori sites would ensure that it would remain a confidential document where appropriate. The development of a Māori heritage inventory would need to occur in partnership with Iwi and hapu. This will include discussions with Te Roopu Ahi Kaa, as well as with individual Iwi and hapu. There is also the opportunity to develop further inventories for the District's natural heritage resources.
- 10.3 The heritage inventory process naturally extends to collecting information on narratives and associated collections from locals. These narratives and collections will provide an insight into Rangitikei's early history. Where possible such collections should be digitised for long-term protection and access.

## **11 Waiving of Internal Consenting Fees**

- 11.1 The waiving of internal consenting fees for work on heritage buildings will be determined on a case by case basis by Council<sup>9</sup>. The internal consenting costs are the staff time required to process building and planning related consent applications<sup>10</sup>. To provide some guidance, the areas of consideration by Council when deciding whether to waive fees could be, but are not limited to:

- The extent to which heritage values will be retained or reused.
- The end use of the proposed development.
- The benefits of the proposed development.
- The significance of the heritage resource for the community.
- The significance of the social context behind the heritage resource and how it could be preserved.
- The degree of impact (positive/negative) for tangata whenua.

## **12 Information Education and Support**

- 12.1 Information and education are useful methods to increase awareness of heritage in the District and to engage communities with these resources. Information and education will be provided to local communities through the following methods.

---

<sup>9</sup> 15/RDC/031

<sup>10</sup> Costs not included as part of this provision are; external experts, such as fire safety experts, geotechnical advisors, heritage experts or the costs related to hearings processes.

#### Support for the Rangitikei Heritage Group<sup>11</sup>

- 12.2 Provision of resources to support the ongoing activities of the Rangitikei Heritage Group. This support will be through providing administrative assistance, assistance applying for grants, or assistance through the Community Initiatives Fund.

#### Information about heritage resources

- 12.3 The Heritage Inventory will provide this information which could be supplied to property owners and interested community members. It will be available (once published) in the District's libraries, information centres and museums as well as being uploaded to the Council's website.

#### Support for the Treasured Natural Environment Group

- 12.4 Continue to provide administrative support and assistance for applying for grants for projects which enhance community engagement with the natural environment.

#### Use of the District libraries

- 12.5 The libraries hold a small collection of historical published works on the District. The databases accessible through the libraries are a key resource in finding historical information held in other places.

#### Archives Central

- 12.6 The Council's archives are housed in a purpose-built public facility shared with neighbouring councils in Feilding. An online database is available and there is an ongoing programme of scanning of high-use records such as rating books.

### **13 Advocacy for external sponsorship/funding**

- 13.1 Council is able to provide co-ordination for major projects, and develop relationships with major heritage and funding agencies. For some initiatives this will be critical.
- 13.2 Council is also well-placed to be aware of regional or national programmes which could have potential application to assist with heritage identification, preservation and access within the Rangitikei.

### **14 Action Plan**

Goal	Activity	Groups Involved	Completion
Development of a heritage inventory of built heritage.	Research into heritage resources (as identified by the Rangitikei Heritage Group).	Rangitikei District Council Rangitikei	2016

<sup>11</sup> The Rangitikei Heritage Group consists of representatives from the District's museums and historical societies (Bulls, Marton, Hunterville, Mangaweka, Taihape, Turakina), from Te Roopu Ahi Kaa, and from other interested heritage groups (Whanganui Regional Heritage Trust).

	Publication of research.	Heritage Group	
Development of a heritage inventory of Māori narratives and collections	Research, interviews and publishing of stories.	Rangitikei District Council  Local Iwi/hapu  Ratana Community	2016/17
Development of a heritage inventory of European / non-indigenous settler narratives and collections.	Research, interviews and publishing of stories.	Rangitikei District Council  Rangitikei Heritage Group	2017/18
Joint place naming	Using both the English and Māori place names in key Council correspondence/ documentation.	Council  Iwi groups	2016

## 15 Review

The strategy will be due for review 1 December 2018.



## Appendix 1 – Relevant provisions from the Rangitikei District Plan 2013

### NOTABLE TREES AND CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT FLORA

#### Objective

*Notable Trees and culturally significant flora are identified, conserved and maintained, and their amenity values are recognised.*

#### Policies

*Require the protection and conservation of significant notable trees, as identified in Schedule C2 of the District Plan, from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.*

*Require the conservation of flora that has cultural significance for Māori and is within the conservation estate or is on publicly owned land.*

*Encourage public awareness and recognition of notable trees.*

### TANGATA WHENUA

#### Objective

*To recognise and provide for the relationship of Tangata Whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga.*

#### Policies

*Provide for the relationship between Tangata Whenua and landscapes of cultural significance within the district through the development of non-statutory methods that ensure associative values are recognised and protected long-term.*

*Recognise the role of Tangata Whenua as kaitiaki of key natural and physical resources with which they have a strong ancestral relationship, by ensuring that their views are sought on applications that may materially affect key natural and physical resources, particularly those sites identified in Schedule C1.*

*Enable development to encourage Iwi, hapu and whanau to resettle within the District and reconnect with the land, provided that the adverse of development, subdivision and use are avoided or appropriately managed.*

*Collaborate with Iwi on the identification and appropriate protection of sites of significance to Tangata Whenua.*

### HERITAGE PROTECTION

#### Objective

*Identify examples of historic, cultural, and other sites that reflect the District's heritage and cultural amenity, and provide for the management of those resources in a way that sustains the social, cultural and economic well-being of communities.*

#### Policies

*Ensure known examples of historic heritage are recognised in the District, and listed in Schedule C3.*

*Enable the protection, conservation or adaptive reuse of historic heritage listed in Schedule C3 of the Plan.*

*Evaluate in any application for the destruction or modification of heritage, the extent to which the replacement activities provide for the economic, social and cultural wellbeing of the affected community.*



## *Appendix 2*

## **Rangitikei District Council**

### **Community Feedback**

#### ***Draft Heritage Strategy***

Council wishes to seek the views of the community on the draft Heritage Strategy, specifically:

- Whether the draft strategy is clear, unambiguous and easy to understand
- Whether the draft strategy reflects the community's views of how heritage should be managed.
- Whether the draft strategy is appropriate for the Rangitikei context.
- Whether they would like to see any changes to the draft strategy

#### **Background**

The draft Heritage Strategy replaces the Heritage Protection Strategy 2008, taking a more holistic approach by considering heritage resources to include built, natural, cultural and social characteristics.

The strategy recognises heritage plays an important role in the overall wellbeing of the community and in the creation of communities and a District with a distinct identity. In developing the draft heritage strategy, Council has taken into account the current and on-going associated challenges including costs and capacity for Council, Iwi, and local museums and heritage organisations.

By using the six methods outlined in the draft strategy, Council believes the goals of promoting, documenting, supporting, and recognising heritage within the Rangitikei District will be achieved. These methods include Council's District Plan and Rates Remission Policy, waiving internal consenting fees, enhancing heritage collections, and Council co-ordination for major projects with major heritage and funding agencies.

Council proposes to work alongside key stakeholders, enabling activities such as research, interviews, and publishing of stories for delivery of key short term actions.

#### **Further information**

The draft Heritage Strategy is available from the Marton, Bulls, and Taihape Libraries, and the Council Office in Marton. It is also available from [www.rangitikei.govt.nz](http://www.rangitikei.govt.nz) or you may ask for a copy to be sent to you.

#### **Feedback**

Consultation on the draft Heritage Strategy is open from **18 January 2016** until **12noon 19 February 2016**.

You can provide your feedback to Council via:

1. **Weblink** - Click this link and you will be invited to complete the consultation online without the need to print, post, or email.
2. **Posted:** Rangitikei District Council  
Attn: Katrina Gray  
46 High Street, Private Bag 1102  
Marton 4741
3. **Emailed:** [info@rangitikei.govt.nz](mailto:info@rangitikei.govt.nz)
4. **In person-** Dropped into the Marton, Bulls, or Taihape Libraries or Marton Council Offices.

If you have questions or would like to further assistance with regards to this consultation, please contact **Katrina Gray** on 06 327 0099 or 0800 422 522.

## *Appendix 3*



## SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT HERITAGE STRATEGY 2016

**Submissions close at  
12 noon on  
19 February 2016**

**Return this form, or send your  
written submission to:**

Draft Heritage Strategy  
Rangitikei District Council  
Private Bag 1102  
Marton 4741

**Email:** [info@rangitikei.govt.nz](mailto:info@rangitikei.govt.nz)

**Fax:** (06) 327 6970

**Any questions phone:**

Katrina Gray  
Policy Analyst/Planner  
0800 422 522

### Oral submissions

Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 29 February 2016. I wish to speak to my submission ☐

Ten minutes are allowed for you to speak, including questions from Elected Members. If you have any special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please note them here:

---

---

### Privacy

All submissions will be public, please tick this box if you would like your name withheld

☐

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Organisation: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Property address: \_\_\_\_\_

Postal address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

**Question 1:** Do you think the draft Strategy is clear, unambiguous and easy to understand?

☐ Agree

☐ Disagree

**Comment:**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Question 2:** Do you think **heritage**, defined as built, natural, cultural, and social, is important to the Rangitikei District?

☐ Agree

☐ Disagree

**Question 3:** Are you supportive of the draft Strategy's Goals?

☐ Agree

☐ Disagree

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*Please complete the remaining questions on the reverse side of this page.*

Question 4: Are you supportive of Council's role as outlined in the draft Strategy?

☐ Agree

☐ Disagree

---

---

---

Question 5: Do you have any further comment you wish Council to consider in relation to the draft Heritage Strategy?

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Attach additional information or pages if necessary*

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Thank you for completing the submission form.*

# Attachment 4



# MEMORANDUM



TO: Hunterville Community Committee  
 FROM: Samantha Whitcombe  
 DATE: 2 February 2016  
 SUBJECT: **Current Infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities within the Ward**  
 FILE: 3-CC-1-5

COMMUNITY AND LEISURE ASSETS GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2015/16				Nov-15, Dec-15, Jan-16
Major programmes of work outlined in the LTP/Annual Plan 2015/16				
Swimming Pools	Design/ Scoping	Tender/Contract docs	Under construction	Complete
Hunterville: urgent renewals viz resurfacing the main pool				

SEWERAGE AND THE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE GROUP OF ACTIVITIES 2015/16				Nov-15, Dec-15, Jan-16
Major programmes of work outlined in the LTP/Annual Plan 2015/16				
Projects	Design/ Scoping	Tender/Contract docs	Under construction	Complete
Hunterville: Ongo Rd Sewer Main Renewals	4 sections of Ongo road 86m/150mm GEW, 58m/150mm GEW, 232m/100mm GEW & 82m/150mm GEW replacements. Under investigation & design. (est \$150k).	Prelim design complete CCTV complete . Sewerline programmed for Dec 2015.	Sliplining completed. LJR's to be installed work to be completed March 2016.	

Samantha Whitcombe  
 Governance Administrator