

Rangitikei District Council

Telephone: 06 327-0099 Facsimile: 06 327-6970

Turakina Community Committee Meeting

Order Paper

Thursday 4 February 2016, 7.30 pm Ben Nevis Hotel, State Highway 3, Turakina

Website: www.rangitikei.govt.nz

Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz

Chair Stephen Fouhy

Membership

Kathleen Bayler, Alastair Campbell, Laurel Mauchline Campbell, Nicholas Eagland, Carol Neilson, Denise Wallen, Shona Welsh His Worship the Mayor, Andy Watson, (ex officio)

Councillors Soraya Peke-Mason and Lynne Sheridan

Please Note: Items in this agenda may be subject to amendments or withdrawal at the meeting. It is recommended therefore that items not be reported upon until after adoption by the Council. Reporters who do not attend the meeting are requested to seek confirmation of the agenda material or proceedings of the meeting from the Chief Executive prior to any media reports being filed.

Rangitikei District Council



Turakina Community Committee Meeting Order Paper – Thursday 4 February 2016 – 7:30 p.m.

Contents

1	Welcome	
2	Apologies2	
3	Confirmation of minutes	Attachment 1, page(s) 5-9
4	Matters arising	
5	Council decisions on recommendations from the Committee	Agenda note
6	Council Reponses to queries at previous meetings 2	Agenda note
7	Consultation – Draft Heritage Strategy	Attachment 2, page(s) 10-27
8	Proposed District Plan Changes	Attachment 3, page(s) 28-30
9	Consultation on Draft Treasury Policies	Agenda note
10	Small Projects Grant Scheme	Agenda note
11	Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities within the ward	Agenda note
12	General business	
13	Next meeting	
14	Meeting closed	

The quorum for the Turakina Community Committee is 3.

At its meeting of 28 October 2010, Council resolved that "The quorum at any meeting of a standing committee or sub-committee of the Council (including Te Roou Ahi Kaa, the Community Committees, the Reserve Management Committees and the Rural Water Supply Management Sub-committees) is that required for a meeting of the local authority in SO 2.4.3 and 3.4.3.

1 Welcome

2 Apologies

3 Confirmation of minutes

Recommendation

That the Minutes of the Turakina Community Committee meeting held on 3 December 2015 be taken as read and verified as an accurate and correct record of the meeting.

4 Matters arising

5 Council decisions on recommendations from the Committee

There were no recommendations from the Committee presented to Council's meeting on 29 January 2016.

6 Council Reponses to queries at previous meetings

There were no queries presented to Council's meeting on 29 January 2016.

7 Consultation – Draft Heritage Strategy

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 1-CP-5-2

Recommendation

The memorandum 'Consultation – Draft Heritage Strategy' be received.

8 Proposed District Plan Changes

A memorandum is attached.

File ref: 2-PL-2

Recommendation

The memorandum 'Proposed District Plan Changes' be received.

9 Consultation on Draft Treasury Policies

Following a review by PriceWaterhouseCoopers Council has revised its treasury policies.

These include the Statutory Liability Policy and the Investment Policy. Consultation is now open and these policies are available on Council's website.

10 Small Projects Grant Scheme

It was agreed the use of this be held until after the place-making workshop.

11 Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities within the ward

This update is taken from reports provided to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee, which last met on 11 November 2015 and which meets again on 11 February 2016. This timing means an update is not available to the Board's meeting. However, it will be circulated to members on 9 February 2016.

12 General business

13 Next meeting

Thursday 2 June 2016, 7.30pm

14 Meeting closed

Attachment 1



Rangitikei District Council

Turakina Community Committee Meeting Minutes – Thursday 3 December 2015 – 7:30 p.m.

Contents

1	Welcome	2
_		
2	Apologies	2
3	Confirmation of minutes	2
4	Matters Arising	2
		1
5	Update on leachate disposal from Bonny Glen	2
6	Small Projects Grant Scheme/ Placemaking Workshop	3
_		
7	Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities	3
8	General Business	3
		12/8
9	Next meeting	3
10	Meeting closed	2

Present Mr Alastair Campbell (acting chair for meeting)

Ms Laurel Mauchline Campbell

Mr Nick Eagland

Ms Denise Wallen

Ms Shona Welsh

Cr Soraya Peke-Mason

1 Welcome

The acting chair Mr Campbell welcomed Committee members to the meeting.

2 Apologies

Resolved minute number 16/TCC/ 014 File Ref

That apologies from Mr Steve Fouhy and Ms Carol Neilson be received.

D. Wallen / Cr Peke-Mason. Carried.

3 Confirmation of minutes

Resolved minute number 16/TCC/ 015 File Ref

That the Minutes of the Turakina Community Committee meeting held on 1 October 2015 be taken as read and verified as an accurate and correct record of the meeting.

Ms Welsh / Mr Eagland. Carried.

4 Matters Arising

It was noted that Cr Peke-Mason's apology for the Public Meeting held on 8 October, 2015, had been missed from the minutes.

Council staff to be advised that the Order Paper should be amended: Discussion on previous meeting (Matters Arising) should be moved to Item 4 (following acceptance of the minutes).

S Welsh advised that Council has now provided two Wheelie Bins for Koitiata. Ms Welsh noted her appreciation of the Councillor.

Garden - Cr Peke-Mason said she spoke with Mr Glasgow about removing the fence, however, this was seen as a possible danger to children. It was agreed to leave the fence in place. It is possible some flowers could be planted.

Animal Control by-Law Change

It was noted by Mr Eagland that Council staff had followed a fair and proper process with this issue. Mr Eagland spoke to his submission at Council. The result of the process was felt to be a thorough success. Cr Peke-Mason thanked Mr Eagland for raising this issue and following through the process.

5 Update on leachate disposal from Bonny Glen

The Committee members suggested they visit Bonny Glen Landfill in early 2016.

Resolved minute number

16/TCC/016

File Ref

That the reports on leachate disposal from Bonny Glen provided to the Assets/Infrastructure Committee in August and October 2015 be received.

Carried.

6 Small Projects Grant Scheme/ Placemaking Workshop

The Placemaking Workshop originally proposed for 16/17 January, has now been changed to possibly 31 January. As this is the day following the Caledonian Games Committee members said this would not be possible. Denise Servante at the Council to be contacted to see if there might possibly be a date available in February. More information may be required as to the content of the workshop. The date in February is likely to be a quick "brainstorm".

There are update meetings for Bulls and Marton on 7th and 8th December. Ms Wallen to find details and email Committee members.

7 Current infrastructure projects/upgrades and other Council activities

The floodgate at Koitiata is a joint project with New Zealand Transport Agency/Rangitikei District Council/Horizons Regional Council and Tunnel Hill Farm. An engineered ford is going to be built at the entrance to Koitiata and will be an ongoing project. The land on the left side of the same entrance is to be 'reshaped' to mitigate flooding.

Resolved minute number

16/TCC/017

File Ref

3-CC-1-4

That the memorandum 'Current Infrastructure Projects/Upgrades and Other Council Activities in the Turakina Ward' be received.

Ms Mauchline Campbell / Ms Wallen. Carried.

8 General Business

Turakina School Pool will not be open to residents this summer. Maintenance is costly and interest in using the pool had dropped plus there has been some abuse of the key honesty system.

9 Next meeting

The next meeting will be on Thursday, 4 February 2016, 7.30 pm at the Ben Nevis Hotel.

10 Meeting closed

The meeting closed at 8.33 pm.

Confirmed/Chair:	
• • •	

Date:



Attachment 2



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ratana Community Board, Taihape Community Board, Bulls Community

Committee, Hunterville Community Committee, Marton Community

Committee, and Turakina Community Committee

FROM:

Alex Staric

DATE:

13 January 2016

SUBJECT:

Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy

FILE:

1-CP-5-2

1 Background

- 1.1 Council has developed a draft Heritage Strategy to replace the outdated Heritage Protection Strategy 2008. While consultation is not mandatory for this strategy, Council would like to ensure that decision-making is open and transparent and that the community's views are well represented on this issue.
- 1.2 As of 18 January 2016, the draft Heritage Strategy is open for public consultation until 12pm 19 February 2016 (see Appendix 1 for the draft Strategy). Oral submissions are scheduled for 29 February 2016. A summary has been produced which outlines the key aspects of the draft Strategy and submission methods and is attached as Appendix 2. In addition, a submission form is attached as Appendix 3.

2 Recommendation

2.1 That the memorandum 'Consultation - Draft Heritage Strategy' be received.

Alex Staric Policy Analyst

Appendix 1



RANGITIKEI DISTRICT COUNCIL

HERITAGE STRATEGY 2015

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Rangitikei District has a vast range of heritage resources which contribute to the well-being of the community. These resources range, from outstanding natural landscapes, places of natural beauty, to areas of cultural significance and physical resources. All of these heritage features tell stories of the past and provide an important link through the present and into the future.
- 1.2 It is recognised that our heritage assets provide benefit for the community, creating communities and a District with a distinct identity. They are also potential attractions for visitors and thus may contribute to growth of the District's economy. However, the District has a wide range of other factors which contribute to community well-being. Ensuring communities are vibrant places of economic and social activity is essential. Importance needs to be placed on consideration of the management of heritage resources within the wider context of overall well-being of local communities and the potential end use of the site.
- 1.3 Heritage is also preserved, promoted and supported through the documentation of narratives and stories. These can be the stories of the lives, or traditions of local communities and tangata whenua or the social or cultural context surrounding built and natural heritage. These oral histories and experiences contribute to an important part of Rangitikei's heritage resource which, if not documented, may be lost over time.

2 Rangitikei Tangata Whenua Perspective – Heritage Protection

- 2.1 Toi tu te kupu, toi tu te mana, toi tu te whenua a plea to hold fast to our culture, for without language, without mana, and without land, the essence of being Maori would no longer exist but be a skeleton which would not give justice to the full body of Maoritanga.
- 2.2 This well-known saying reflects upon heritage being an important aspect to the overall drive for the sustainability of iwi Maori in general and also to hapu and iwi within the Rangitikei District. This is demonstrated by the extensive involvement of local hapu and iwi in ensuring their respective korero is nurtured for future generations.
- 2.3 In all gatherings of our people whakatauki and pepeha are recited and speakers are supported by waiata which all have elements of korero that link the people to the land and the rivers. They also refer to events in our history which also provide insight into our respective relationships within this land. Physically protecting places

of significance helps sustain the korero further whilst also giving it greater meaning and understanding to whanau, hapu, iwi and non — iwi within the District. The pending settlement of historic Treaty of Waitangi claims will clear a pathway for hapu and iwi to fulfil ambitions in heritage protection to take those responsibilities further to engage with their respective whanau and to an extent with all people.

2.4 Having a leading hand within this process is vital as the role of kaitiaki underpins the integrity of such pursuits to make it sustainable from a perspective of responsibility and also based upon a reciprocal relationship between people and place as well as with taonga and resources.

3 What is heritage?

- 3.1 Heritage is a term which is applied to buildings, sites, places, objects and other features of historical significance which are valued by people and communities. Heritage is inherited from the past and handed on for the benefit of future generations and includes:
 - Built heritage buildings and structures, such as those listed by the Heritage New Zealand.
 - Natural heritage natural places, objects and intangible attributes, such as identified outstanding natural landscapes and notable trees.
 - Cultural heritage objects and artefacts, places, language, stories, customs, protocols, knowledge and skills communities, groups and individuals recognise as part of their cultural heritage, such as sites of Waahi tapu.
 - Social heritage the history, traditions, knowledge and identities of local communities, such as the stories behind built heritage.

4 Statutory context

- 4.1 Rangitikei District Council has responsibilities for managing heritage within the District as follows:
 - Resource Management Act 1991 as a matter of national importance¹ to ensure heritage is recognised, provided for and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.
 - Reserves Act 1977 reserves may be classified as historic reserves and vested in local authorities to control and manage.
 - Building Act 2004 the need to facilitate the preservation of buildings of significant cultural, historical or heritage value needs to be taken into account². The Building Act 2004³ also contains a number of provisions regarding the need to ensure public safety and the priority to remedy issues with dangerous and insanitary buildings⁴.

¹ Section 6(f)

² Section 4(2)(I)

³ Subpart 6 of Part 2

⁴ The Buildings (Earthquake Prone Buildings) Amendment Bill will prescribe more vigorously how dangerous buildings should be treated, including heritage buildings.

- Public Records Act 2005 the requirement to ensure adequate protection and preservation of 'protected records'⁵.
- Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 promotes the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of the historical and cultural heritage of New Zealand. It specially seeks to register historic buildings, sites or areas, or Waahi tupuna, Waahi tapu sites or areas, and to protect archaeological sites.
- 4.2 There is no specific mention of heritage in the Local Government Act 2002. However, when 'well-being' of the community formed part of the purpose of local government, this was generally viewed as including a heritage dimension.⁶

5 Purpose

- 5.1 This strategy provides the long term vision to guide Council's management of heritage resources throughout the Rangitikei District.
- 5.2 Heritage should be managed in accordance with the following goals.
 - Goal 1: Document cultural and local histories.
 - Goal 2: Promote cultural and local histories of the Rangitikei.
 - Goal 3: Support tangata whenua to discover and document their physical, natural and intangible heritage.
 - Goal 4: Recognise the local context, providing management options which consider the overall and long term well-being of the community.
 - Goal 5: Consideration of the past use, current use and condition of the heritage resource⁷ and the potential long term use of the heritage resource and/or site.
 - Goal 6: Partner with the community in the preservation and management of heritage resources.
 - Goal 7: Seek opportunities for regional/national collaboration and funding to assist with the protection of the District's heritage.

6 Challenges

The management of heritage resources presents a wide range of challenges for both the Council and the community. The main challenges include:

⁵ Section 40

⁶ Original purpose statement in section 10.

⁷ Heritage resource can refer to a variety of heritage aspects such as; built heritage, cultural sites and natural landscapes.

<u>Tension between the public benefit of heritage protection and the private cost of doing so</u>

6.2 Often the cost or disadvantages associated with protecting heritage resources falls on the private property owner, hapu group, museum or historical society. However, the overall benefit of protecting the heritage resources may accrue to the wider community.

Cost of earthquake strengthening built heritage

6.3 The majority of heritage buildings are earthquake prone and require strengthening. Many are under-used. Often the cost of this strengthening work is prohibitive, with rents gained from tenants in the renovated building not able to cover that cost. It may be preferable to demolish such under-used buildings in the District's CBD areas and replace them with structures which are more efficient and meet the needs of local businesses. Not doing this runs the risk of such buildings being abandoned and eventually being demolished and not replaced.

The economic and demographic context

6.4 Rangitikei is a District which is experiencing a slow population decline, with economic activity within the town centres also declining. This has resulted in an oversupply of commercial buildings. These factors, combined with the costs of earthquake strengthening can result in vacant buildings. Main streets with empty buildings reduce the amenity of these areas and can adversely affect community well-being.

Capacity of Tangata Whenua

6.5 Tangata whenua often have limited capacity for identifying, managing and enhancing their cultural heritage. There are a large number of Waahi tapu sites which are known only to the tangata whenua, and often the public recognition of these sites is not desirable.

Capacity of Council

6.6 Council has limited resources to identify, manage and enhance heritage resources. However, because of its leadership role in the community, it has some ability to attract sponsorship and relationships which support heritage initiatives.

Capacity of local museums

6.7 The Rangitikei District's five museums are operated solely by volunteers⁸. This provides a number of challenges for long term sustainability of the management of the heritage resources the museums care for. These challenges include: the number of volunteers available, obtaining funding (funding is often sought via external funders), adequate facilities to care for collections and ongoing training of volunteers.

⁸ Bulls, Marton, Hunterville, Mangaweka and Taihape.

Present heritage can obscure past heritage

6.8 Often buildings, now considered as heritage, have replaced older buildings, whose appearance and use is effectively lost. In some situations it may be more important to resurrect some tangible evidence of the earlier structure or use.

7 METHODS

- 7.1 There are a wide range of possible methods for heritage management. The main methods Rangitikei District Council seeks to use through this strategy are:
 - Rangitikei District Plan
 - Rates Remission Policy
 - Heritage Inventories
 - Waiving of internal consenting fees
 - Information education and support
 - Advocacy for external sponsorship/funding

8 Rangitikei District Plan

- 8.1 A key method for the management of heritage resources throughout the District is the Rangitikei District Plan. The District Plan provides for protection of natural, cultural and physical heritage through identification of valuable heritage resources and controls surrounding their use and development.
- 8.2 The District Plan provides the strategic direction for the management of heritage resources to provide for the reuse of heritage in a manner which is appropriate for the particular context. It also seeks to ensure that the considerations surrounding the destruction of heritage resources involves how the replacement activities will provide for social, cultural and economic well-being of the affected community.
- 8.3 The relevant provisions from the District Plan are provided as Appendix 1.

9 Rates Remission Policy

- 9.1 Rangitikei District has a Rates Remission Policy which provides remissions for owners of earthquake prone buildings. As most heritage buildings are highly likely to be earthquake prone, this policy is highly relevant to the District's physical heritage resources.
- 9.2 The Rates Remission Policy provides remissions for up to six months during strengthening/construction works, as well as up to three years upon completion of the building work. These provisions seek to encourage property owners to develop the building so that they can be better used.

10 Heritage Inventories

10.1 The District's museums already have inventories of their own collections, increasingly available online. The inventory process, however, is not limited to what

is collected but rather what should be known: the development of a comprehensive heritage inventory increases the documentation and understanding about heritage resources throughout the District. Creating an inventory ensures that heritage resources are remembered, without necessarily requiring the physical resource to remain in perpetuity. It will be a continually evolving document, with new sites and items added as they are recognised and new information added when discovered.

- 10.2 Two heritage inventories could be developed, one for the built heritage resources and one for Māori heritage. Having a separate inventory for Māori sites would ensure that it would remain a confidential document where appropriate. The development of a Māori heritage inventory would need to occur in partnership with lwi and hapu. This will include discussions with Te Roopu Ahi Kaa, as well as with individual lwi and hapu. There is also the opportunity to develop further inventories for the District's natural heritage resources.
- 10.3 The heritage inventory process naturally extends to collecting information on narratives and associated collections from locals. These narratives and collections will provide an insight into Rangitikei's early history. Where possible such collections should digitised for long-term protection and access.

11 Waiving of Internal Consenting Fees

- 11.1 The waiving of internal consenting fees for work on heritage buildings will be determined on a case by case basis by Council⁹. The internal consenting costs are the staff time required to process building and planning related consent applications¹⁰. To provide some guidance, the areas of consideration by Council when deciding whether to waive fees could be, but are not limited to:
 - The extent to which heritage values will be retained or reused.
 - The end use of the proposed development.
 - The benefits of the proposed development.
 - The significance of the heritage resource for the community.
 - The significance of the social context behind the heritage resource and how it could be preserved.
 - The degree of impact (positive/negative) for tangata whenua.

12 Information Education and Support

12.1 Information and education are useful methods to increase awareness of heritage in the District and to engage communities with these resources. Information and education will be provided to local communities through the following methods.

^{9 15/}RDC/031

¹⁰ Costs not included as part of this provision are; external experts, such as fire safety experts, geotechnical advisors, heritage experts or the costs related to hearings processes.

Support for the Rangitikei Heritage Group¹¹

12.2 Provision of resources to support the ongoing activities of the Rangitikei Heritage Group. This support will be through providing administrative assistance, assistance applying for grants, or assistance through the Community Initiatives Fund.

Information about heritage resources

12.3 The Heritage Inventory will provide this information which could be supplied to property owners and interested community members. It will be available (once published) in the District's libraries, information centres and museums as well as being uploaded to the Council's website.

Support for the Treasured Natural Environment Group

12.4 Continue to provide administrative support and assistance for applying for grants for projects which enhance community engagement with the natural environment.

Use of the District libraries

12.5 The libraries hold a small collection of historical published works on the District. The databases accessible through the libraries are a key resource in finding historical information held in other places.

Archives Central

12.6 The Council's archives are housed in a purpose-built public facility shared with neighbouring councils in Feilding. An online database is available and there is an ongoing programme of scanning of high-use records such as rating books.

13 Advocacy for external sponsorship/funding

- 13.1 Council is able to provide co-ordination for major projects, and develop relationships with major heritage and funding agencies. For some initiatives this will be critical.
- 13.2 Council is also well-placed to be aware of regional or national programmes which could have potential application to assist with heritage identification, preservation and access within the Rangitikei.

14 Action Plan

GoalActivityGroups InvolvedCompletionDevelopment of a
heritage inventory of
built heritage.Research into heritage
resources (as identified by the
Rangitikei Heritage Group).Rangitikei District
Council
Rangitikei2016

¹¹ The Rangitikei Heritage Group consists of representatives from the District's museums and historical societies (Bulls, Marton, Hunterville, Mangaweka, Taihape, Turakina), from Te Roopu Ahi Kaa, and from other interested heritage groups (Whanganui Regional Heritage Trust).

	Publication of research.	Heritage Group	
Development of a heritage inventory of Māori narratives and collections	Research, interviews and publishing of stories.	Rangitikei District Council Local Iwi/hapu Ratana Community	2016/17
Development of a heritage inventory of European / non-indigenous settler narratives and collections.	Research, interviews and publishing of stories.	Rangitikei District Council Rangitikei Heritage Group	2017/18
Joint place naming	Using both the English and Māori place names in key Council correspondence/ documentation.	Council Iwi groups	2016

15 Review

The strategy will be due for review 1 December 2018.

NOTABLE TREES AND CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT FLORA

Objective

Notable Trees and culturally significant flora are identified, conserved and maintained, and their amenity values are recognised.

Policies

Require the protection and conservation of significant notable trees, as identified in Schedule C2 of the District Plan, from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.

Require the conservation of flora that has cultural significance for Māori and is within the conservation estate or is on publicly owned land.

Encourage public awareness and recognition of notable trees.

TANGATA WHENUA

Objective

To recognise and provide for the relationship of Tangata Whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga.

Policies

Provide for the relationship between Tangata Whenua and landscapes of cultural significance within the district through the development of non-statutory methods that ensure associative values are recognised and protected long-term.

Recognise the role of Tangta Whenua as kaitiaki of key natural and physical resources with which they have a strong ancestral relationship, by ensuring that their views are sought on applications that may materially affect key natural and physical resources, particularly those sites identified in Schedule C1.

Enable development to encourage lwi, hapu and whanau to resettle within the District and reconnect with the land, provided that the adverse of development, subdivision and use are avoided or appropriately managed.

Collaborate with lwi on the identification and appropriate protection of sites of significance to Tangata Whenua.

HERITAGE PROTECTION

Objective

Identify examples of historic, cultural, and other sites that reflect the District's heritage and cultural amenity, and provide for the management of those resources in a way that sustains the social, cultural and economic well-being of communities.

Policies

Ensure known examples of historic heritage are recognised in the District, and listed in Schedule C3.

Enable the protection, conservation or adaptive reuse of historic heritage listed in Schedule C3 of the Plan.

Evaluate in any application for the destruction or modification of heritage, the extent to which the replacement activities provide for the economic, social and cultural wellbeing of the affected community.

Appendix 2



Rangitikei District Council

Community Feedback

Draft Heritage Strategy

Council wishes to seek the views of the community on the draft Heritage Strategy, specifically:

- Whether the draft strategy is clear, unambiguous and easy to understand
- Whether the draft strategy reflects the community's views of how heritage should be managed.
- Whether the draft strategy is appropriate for the Rangitikei context.
- Whether they would like to see any changes to the draft strategy

Background

The draft Heritage Strategy replaces the Heritage Protection Strategy 2008, taking a more holistic approach by considering heritage resources to include built, natural, cultural and social characteristics.

The strategy recognises heritage plays an important role in the overall wellbeing of the community and in the creation of communities and a District with a distinct identity. In developing the draft heritage strategy, Council has taken into account the current and ongoing associated challenges including costs and capacity for Council, Iwi, and local museums and heritage organisations.

By using the six methods outlined in the draft strategy, Council believes the goals of promoting, documenting, supporting, and recognising heritage within the Rangitikei District will be achieved. These methods include Council's District Plan and Rates Remission Policy, waiving internal consenting fees, enhancing heritage collections, and Council co-ordination for major projects with major heritage and funding agencies.

Council proposes to work alongside key stakeholders, enabling activities such as research, interviews, and publishing of stories for delivery of key short term actions.

Further information

The draft Heritage Strategy is available from the Marton, Bulls, and Taihape Libraries, and the Council Office in Marton. It is also available from www.rangitikei.govt.nz or you may ask for a copy to be sent to you.

Feedback

Consultation on the draft Heritage Strategy is open from 18 January 2016 until 12noon 19 February 2016.

You can provide your feedback to Council via:

- 1. **Weblink** Click this link and you will be invited to complete the consultation online without the need to print, post, or email.
- 2. Posted: Rangitikei District Council

Attn: Katrina Gray

46 High Street, Private Bag 1102

Marton 4741

- 3. Emailed: info@rangitikei.govt.nz
- 4. **In person** Dropped into the Marton, Bulls, or Taihape Libraries or Marton Council Offices.

If you have questions or would like to further assistance with regards to this consultation, please contact **Katrina Gray** on 06 327 0099 or 0800 422 522.

Appendix 3



SUBMISSION FORM DRAFT HERITAGE STRATEGY 2016

	Name:
	Organisation: (if applicable)
Submissions close at	Phone:
12 noon on	Property address:
19 February 2016	Postal address:
Return this form, or send your written submission to:	
Draft Heritage Strategy Rangitikei District Council Private Bag 1102 Marton 4741	Email: Question 1: Do you think the draft Strategy is clear, unambiguous and
Email: info@rangitikei.govt.nz	easy to understand?
Fax: (06) 327 6970	O Agree O Disagree
Any questions phone: Katrina Gray Policy Analyst/Planner 0800 422 522	Comment:
Oral submissions	
Oral submissions will be held at the Marton Council Chambers on 29 February 2016. I wish to speak to my submission	Question 2: Do you think heritage , defined as built, natural, cultural, and social, is important to the Rangitikei District?
Ten minutes are allowed for you to speak, including questions from Elected Members. If you have any	O Agree O Disagree
special requirements, such as those related to visual or hearing impairments, please	Question 3: Are you supportive of the draft Strategy's Goals?
note them here:	O Agree O Disagree
Privacy	
All submissions will be public,	

Please complete the remaining questions on the reverse side of this page.

would like your name withheld

Question 4: Are you	Question 4: Are you supportive of Council's role as outlined in the draft Strategy?	
O Agree	O Disagree	
·		
Question 5: Do you h Heritage Strategy?	ave any further comment you wish Council to consider in relation to the draft	
Attach additional informo	ation or pages if necessary	
Signed:	Date:	

Attachment 3



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Taihape Community Board; Ratana Community Board; Turakina

Community Committee; Bulls Community Committee; Marton Community

Committee

FROM:

Katrina Gray

DATE:

26 January 2016

SUBJECT:

Proposed District Plan Changes

FILE:

2-PL-2

1 Background

1.1 The Rangitikei District Plan is the main document which influences land development throughout the District. The current plan has been in place since October 2013, following a full review.

2 Proposal

- 2.1 As with any large document issues are likely to arise. The proposed Plan Changes will seek to address these issues. The current issues being investigated are:
 - Natural hazards (liquefaction, ground shaking, refinement of flooding areas in Bulls and Hunterville)
 - Zoning of commercial properties for small settlements such as Mangaweka and Turakina.
 - Heritage
 - Rural boundary setbacks
 - Administrative errors
- 2.2 It is important to note that these topics are being investigated and changes may not ultimately be proposed.

3 Comment

- 3.1 The proposed District Plan changes are largely an administrative tidy up of provisions which are causing implementation issues. The changes aim to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the District Plan, removing barriers where they unnecessary, while ensuring that amenity values are retained.
- 3.2 There will be opportunity for the community to be involved in the process, with the proposed changes scheduled to be publicly notified during March. The chair of the Community Committee/Board will be notified of the consultation period.

4 Recommendation

4.1 That the memorandum 'Proposed District Plan Changes' be received.

Katrina Gray Policy Analyst/Planner